

Mitch an Issussible Che Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITE

सं0 7]

नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, 13 फरवरी, 1965/माघा 24, 1886

No. 7]

NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, FEBRUARY 13, 1965/MAGHA 24, 1886

इस भाग में भिन्न पष्ठ संख्वा ही जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके।

Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation

मीटिस

NOTICE

नीचे लिखे भारत के ग्रमाधारण गजट 30 जनवरी 1965 तक प्रकाशित किए गए थे-

The undermentioned Gazettes of India Extraordinary were published upto the 30th January, 1965:—

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
18	S.O. 376, dated 25th January, 1965.	Ministry of Information and Broadcasting.	Approval of films specified therein.
19	S.O. 377, dated 30th January, 1965.	Ministry of Labour and Employment,	Appointing 1st February, 1965 on which the Payment of Wages (Amendment) Act, 1964, shall come into force.
20	S.O. 378, dated 30th January, 1965.	Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals.	Acquiring certain lands for laying pipelines—details given therein.
21	S.O. 379, dated 30th January, 1965.	Election Commission, India.	List of contesting candidates for the bye-election to the House of the People from Bangalore Parliamentary Constituency.

ऊपर लिखे असाधारण गजटों की प्रतियां प्रकाशन प्रबन्धक, सिविल लाइन्स, दिल्ली के नाम मांगपत्र भेजने पर भेज दी जाएंगी। मांगपत्र प्रबन्धक के पास इन राजपत्रों के जारी होने की लारीस से 10 दिन के भीतर पहुंच जाने चाहिएं।

Copies of the Gazettes Extraordinary mentioned above will be supplied on indent to the Manager of Publications, Civil Lines, Delhi. Indents should be submitted so as to reach the Manager within ten days of the date of issue of these Gazettes.

भारा 11-नवण्ड 3 उपस्थाह (ii)

PART II-Section 3-Sub-section (ii)

(रच्चामंत्रालय को छोड़कर) भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों और (संघ द्वेत्र प्रशासन को छोड़कर) केन्द्रीय प्राधिकरणों द्वारा जारी किए गए विधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं।

Statutory orders and notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence) and by Central Authorities (other than the Administration of Union Territories).

ELECTION COMMISSION, INDIA

New Delhi, the 28th January 1965

S.O. 467.—The following Order of the Chief Election Commissioner is published for general information:—

ORDER No. 17

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 8 of the Two-Memoer Constituencies (Abolition) Act, 1961, I hereby make the following amendments in Part-B of Schedule XI of the Delimitation of Parliamentary and Assembly Constituencies Order, 1961:—

(i) For the entries against items 128 and 129 the following entries are substituted, namely,—

"128. Narot Jaimal

Singh (SC)

Narot Jaimal Singh thana and Targarh Dholowal and Abadgarh zails in Sadar Pathankot thana in Pathankot tahsil; and Dina Nagar municipality and Dhamral zail, in Dina Nagar thana in Gurdaspur tahsil.

129. Pathankot

Sadar Pathankot thana (excluding Targarh, Dholowal and Abadgarh zails) City Pathankot thana and Dalhousie thana in Pathankot tahsil."

- (ii) After item 154, for the existing note, the following shall be substituted:-
- "Norg.—The references to districts and other territorial divisions in the entries in column 2 shall be taken to mean the areas comprised therein on the 1st October, 1964".

New Delhi,

Dated the 28th January, 1965.

K. V. K. SUNDARAM, Chief Election Commissioner.

[No. 282/PB/62]

By order,

PRAKASH NARAIN, Sery.

MINISTRY OF LAW

New Delhi, the 3rd February 1965

S.O. 468.—In exercise of the powers conferred by rules 1 and 2 of Order XXVII of the First Schedule to the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (5 of 1908), the Central Government hereby appoints the Inspector of Explosives, Central Circle, Gwalior, as the person who, being acquainted with the facts of the case, shall sign and verify on behalf of the Union of India the written statement and other documents and to act for the Central Government in D. B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1806/1964 Shri Abdul Gani son of Maula Bux Vs. Chief Inspector of Explosives and others in the High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan at Jodhpur.

[No. F. 18(1)/05-J.]

H. C. DAGA, Jt. Secy.

MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

New Delhi, the 1st February 1965

- **S.O.** 469.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to article 309 of the Constitution, the President hereby makes the following rules, namely:—
- 1. Short Title and Commencement.—(1) These rules may be called the Goa, Daman and Diu Administration Employees (Conditions of Service) Rules, 1965.
- (2) They shall not apply to any civil servant or employee of the ex-Portuguese Administration of Goa, Daman and Diu who was governed by the rules and regulations of that Administration before 20th December, 1961, and taken into the service of the Government of India with effect from that date and who is continuously in the service of the Government of India since that date.
 - (3) They shall come into force atonce.
- 2. Condition of service of persons appointed to the Central Civil Services and Posts under the Administrative Control of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.—The conditions of service of persons appointed to the Central Civil Services and posts, Class II, Class III and Class IV, under the administrative control of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu (hereinafter referred to as employees) shall, subject to any other provision made by the President, be the same as the conditions of service of persons appointed to other corresponding Central Civil Services and posts and the former shall be governed by the same rules and orders as are for the time being applicable to the latter category of persons;

Provided that the scales of pay and dearness and other allowances granted to such employees shall, until any other provision is made in this behalf continue to be governed by the orders in force immediately before the commencement of these rules;

Provided further that in the case of persons appointed to services and posts under the administrative control of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu, if they are drawing pay at the rates or scales of pay admissible to the corresponding categories of employees of the Central Government, it shall be competent for the Administrator to revise the rates or, scales of pay so as to bring them on par with the rates and scales of pay which may be sanctioned by the Central Government from time to time for the corresponding categories of employees.

- 3. Rules not to apply to matters relating to probation, confirmation, seniority and promotion.—Nothing contained in these rules shall apply to probation, confirmation, sepiority and promotion in respect of persons in relation to whom the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu has been authorised under the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of External Affairs No. F. 7/11/62-Goa, dated the 25th July, 1963, to make rules under the proviso to article 309 of the Constitution.
- 4. Repeal.—All rules and orders relating to matters for which provision is made in rule 2 in so far as they are applicable to persons referred to therein. and are inconsistent with the provisions of these rules are hereby repealed:

Provided that-

- (a) such repeal shall not affect the previous operation of the said rules or orders or anything done or any action taken thereunder;
- (b) any proceeding under the said rules or orders pending at the commencement of these rules shall be continued and disposed of, as far as may be, in accordance with the provisions of the rules and orders made applicable under rule 2.

[No. F. 1-43/64-Goa.]

V. P. MALHOTRA, Dy. Secy.

New Delhi, the 3rd February 1965

S.O. 470.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (2) of article 77 of the Constitution, the President hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Authentication (Orders and Other Instruments) Rules, 1958, published

with the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Home Affairs. No. S.O. 2297, dated the 3rd November, 1958, namely:—

- 1. These rules may be called the Authentication (Orders and Other Instruments) Amendment Rules, 1965.
 - 2. In rule 2 of the Authentication (Orders and Other Instruments) Rules, 1958—
 - (i) in clause (aa), the word "or" shall be added at the end;
 - (fi) after clause (aa), the following clause shall be inserted, namely:—
 - "(ab) in the case of orders and other instruments relating to the office of the Chief Controller of Imports and Exports, by the Chief Controller of Imports and Exports".

[No. 3/2/65-Pub.I.]

FATEH SINGH, Jt. Secy.

ORDER

New Delhi, the 2nd February 1965

- S.O. 471.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Goa, Daman and Diu (Administration) Act, 1962 (1 of 1962) and of all other powers enabling it in this behalf, the Central Government hereby makes the following order, namely:—
- 1. (1) This Order may be called the Goa, Daman and Diu (Administration) Removal of Difficulties Order, 1965.
 - (2) It shall come into force at once.
- 2. The Collector of Customs & Central Excise, Gon, Panjim and the Assistant Collector of Customs & Central Excise, Goa, Panjim shall exercise the powers and discharge the functions of the Tribunal do Contecloso Fiscal da la Instancia and Director da Alfandega de Mormugao and Panjim, respectively under the Contencioso Aduandeiro, that is to say, the law relating to customs offences in force in Goa, Daman and Diu immediately before the 30th day of January, 1963.

[No. 7/1/65-UTL.]

K. R. PRABHU, Dy. Secy.

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Expenditure)

New Delhi, the 25th January 1965

- S.O. 472.—In pursuance of clause (3) of article 77 of the Constitution and of all other powers enabling him in this behalf, the President hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Delegation of Financial Powers Rules, 1958, namely:—
- 1. These rules may be called the Delegation of Financial Powers Amendment Rules, 1965.
 - 2. In the Delegation of Financial Powers Rules, 1958.
- (i) in rule 11, after sub-rule (3), the following sub-rule shall be inserted, namely:—
 - "(4) The act of appropriation or reappropriation of funds shall operate as sanction to incur expenditure on minor works and it shall not be necessary to issue any formal order conveying sanction to incur expenditure in such cases (vide para 63 of Central Public Works Department Code)."
 - (ii) in Schedule I.
- (A) under the heading 'L. Ministry of Labour and Employment', after serial number 15 and the entry relating thereto, the following shall be inserted and shall

be deemed to have been inserted with effect from the 21st day of July 1964, namely:--

- "16. Iron Ore Mines Cess Commissioner for Madhya Pradesh. Indore.
- 17. Iron Ore Mines Cess Commissioner for Orissa, Cuttack.";
- (B) under the heading 'X. Union Territories',
- (1) under '(b) Himechal Pradesh Administration'
 - (a) for serial number 3, the following shall be substituted and shall be deemed to have been substituted with effect from the 4th day of September, 1964, namely:-
 - "2. Chief Engineer, Public Works Department."
 - (b) after serial number 6 of the entry relating thereto, the following shall be inserted and shall be deemed to have been inserted with effect from the 4th day of September, 1964, namely:—
 - "7. Chief Engineer. Multipurpose Project and Power."
- (2) under '(c) Goa, Daman and Diu', after serial number 3 and the entry restating thereto, the following shall be inserted and shall be deemed to have been inserted with effect from the 11th day of June, 1964, namely:—
 - "4. Development Commissioner."
- (3) after the entries relating to Goa, Daman and Diu, the following shall be inserted and shall be deemed to have been inserted with effect from the 6th day of August, 1964, namly:-
 - "(d) Dadra and Nagar Hayeli Administration.
- 1. Collector. Dadra and Nagar Haveli."
 (iii) in Schedule VII, against "loss of irrecoverable loans and advances" for the entry in column 3 against item "(vi) Administration Rehabilitation Finance Administration Unit, New Delhi", the following entry shall be substituted and shall be deemed to have been substituted with effect from the 18th March. 1961, namely:-
 - "1,000 (This power may also be exercised to write off outstanding balances of loans totally or to compound them for smaller amounts in cases where the outstanding amount before or after compounding settlement or otherwise is less than Rs. 1,000 and follow up action for recovery is considered to be not commensurate with the time and expenditure involved. This power will not, however, be available in regard to agges relating to long due from Limited Companies and regard to cases relating to loans due from Limited Companies and Cooperative Societies).

[No. F. 1(30)-E. II(A)/64.]

R. K. AGRAWAL, Dy. Secy.

(Department of Reonomic Affairs)

New Delhi, the 1st February, 1965

S.G. 473.—Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India, as on the 22nd January, 1965.

BANKING DEPARTMENT

Capital paid up		
	5,00,00,000	Notes
		Rupee Coin
Reserve Fund	80,00,00,000	Small Coin
National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	86,00,00,000	Bills purchased and discounted:— (a) Internal
		(b) External
National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation)		(c) Government Treasury Bills 82,80,60
Fund	9,00,00,00 0	Balances held Abroad* 6,71,81
National Industrial Credit (Long Term		Investments**
Operations) Fund	10,00,00,000	Loans and Advances to :
		(i) Central Government
		(ii) State Governments @ , 32:71:46

	Rupe	es			624,70,86,000	Rupees 624,70,86,000
Other Lizbilities				٠	67,93,79,000	Other Assets
Bills Payable					53,33,96,000	(b) Investment in bonds/debentures issued by the Development Bank
(c) Others	•	•	•	•	148,60,18,000	(a) Loans and Advances to the Development Bank . 50,18,000
(iii) Other Banks .	•	•	٠	•	7,37,000	Loans, Advances and Investments from National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund—
(ii) State Co-operative	Banl	KS.	•	•	3,0 7,06,∞ ∞	Loans and Advances to State Co-operative Banks
(i) Scheduled Banks		•			95,50,08,000	Loans and Advances from National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund—
(b) Banks:						(iii) Central Land Mortgage Banks (b) Investment in Central Land Mortgage Bank Debentures 4,45,53,000
						(ii) State Co-operative Banks
						(i) State Governments
(ii) State Governments					11,28,33,000	(a) Loans and Advances to—
(i) Central Government	٠	٠	•	•	5 1,90,09,600	Loans, Advances and Investments from National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund—
						(iii) Others
(a) Government:						(ii) State Co-operative Banks † ,
						(i) Scheduled Banks† 60,11,55,000
eposits:—						

^{**}Excluding investments from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Industrial Credit (Long Term

Dated the 27th day of January, 1965.

Operations) Fund. @ Excluding Lans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund, but including temporary overdrafts to

State Governments. †Includes Rs. 2,81,00,000 advanced to scheduled banks against usance bills under section 17(4)(c) of the R. B. I. Act.

fExcluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund.

Issue Department

Liabilities	Rs.	Rs.	Assets	Rs.	Rs.
Notes held in the Banking Depart- ment Notes in circulation	20,45,23,000 2548,95,18,000		Gold Coin and Bullion:— (a) Held in India (b) Held outside India	128,42,72,000	,
Fotal Notes issued		2569,40 ,41,000	Foreign Securities	74,79,07,000	203,21,79,00
			Rupee Coin Government of India Rupee Securities		99,79,65, 0 2266,38,97,0
_		·	Internal Bills of Exchange and other commercial paper		
TOTAL LIABILITIES		2569,40,41,000	TOTAL ASSETS		2569,40,41,00
Dated the 27th day of Janua	r y , 1965.			Р. С. Вна	Covernor,
				[No.	F. 3(2)-BC/65.

New Delhi, the 8th February 1965

S.O. 474-Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India, as on the 29th January, 1965

BANKING DEPARTMENT

Liabilities	Rs.	Assets					Rs.
Capital paid up	5,00,00,00 0	Notes	, ,		,		26,35 , 80 ,000
		Rupee Coin					9,60 ,000
Reserve Fund	80,00,00,08	Small Coin					11,10,000
National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	8 6,00,0 0,0 0 0	Bills purchased and discounted:— (a) Internal					
		(b) External					••
National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund	9,00,00,00	(c) Government Treasury Bil's Balances held Abroad*		•			62,73,32,000 5,21,75,000
National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	10,00,00,000	Investments** Loans and Advances to:	•			,	191,58 ,75,00 0
		(i) Central Government .					
		(#) State Governments@ .					39,17,02,000
Deposits :		Loans and Advances to:-					
		(i) Scheduled Banks;			•		55,85 ,42,00 0
(a) Government:		(ii) State Co-operative Banks ††					154,73,70,000
		(iii) Others					1,71,59,000

LIABILITIES	Rs.	Ass er s	Rs.
(i) Central Government 51,	,51,91,000	Loans, advances and Investments from National Agricultural Credit 'Long Term Operations, Fund—	
(ii) State Governments , 12	,96,01,000	(a) Loans and Advances to-	
		(i) State Governments	27,77,88,000
		(ii) State Co-operative Banks	11,10,61,000
		(iii) Central Land Mortgage Banks	
(b) Banks:		(b) Investment in Central Land Mortgage Bank Debentures	4,45,53,70
	,93,04,000	Loans and Advances from National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation.) Furd—	
	,01,27,000	Loans and Advances to State Co-operative Banks	
(mil) Other Banks	10,31,000	Loans, Advances and Investments from National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund—	
(c) Others	8,20,17,000	(a) Loans and Advances to the Development Bank	50,18,000
Bills Payable	8,07,33,000	(b) Investment in bonds/debentures issued by the Deve- lopment Bank	
Other Liabilities . 64	1, 10,72 ,00 0	Other Assets	31,78.51,00
Rupees 613	3,20,76,000	Rupees	613,20,76,0

^{*}Includes Cash and Short-term Securities.

Dated the 3rd day of February, 1965.

^{**}Excluding investments from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund, and the National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.

[@] Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund, but including temporary overdrafts to State Governments.

[†]Includes Rs. 8,19,00,000 advanced to scheduled banks against usance bills under section 17(4) (c) of the Reserve Bank of India Act.

[†]Buchding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund.

An Account pursuant to the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, for the week ended the 29th day of January, 1965

Limilities		Rs.	Rs.	Assets		Rs.	Rs.
otes held in the Banking	Depart-			Gold Coin and Bullion :			
ment otes in circulation		26,35,80,000 2543,43,67,000		(a) Held in India	٠	128,42,72,000	
Total Notes issu		2543,43,07,000		(b) Held outside India		••	
Loral Mores issu	eu .		2569,79,47,000	Foreign Securities		74,79,07,000	
				Rupee Coin Government of India Rupee Securit			203,21,79,00 100,19,10,00 2266,38,58,00
				Internal Bills of Exchange and othe mercial paper			
Total Liabietti	ES		2569,79,47,000	TOTAL ASSETS .			2569,79,47,00

R. K. SESHADRI, Director (Banking)

(Department of Revenue)

INCOME-TAX

New Delhi, the 1st February 1965

S.O. 475.—Whereas the Central Government, by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance (Revenue Division) No. 79 dated the 10th December, 1953, directed the inclusion of the institution "Shri Ram Institute for Industrial Research, Delhi" in the list appended to the notification of the Government of India in the Finance Department (Revenue Division) No. 54 Income-tax dated the 23rd November, 1946, the list containing the names of the associations, universities, colleges and institutions approved by the prescribed authority for the purposes of clause (xiii) of sub-section (2) of section (9 of the Indian Income-tax Act, 1922 (11 of 1922);

And whereas such approval continues to be in force by virtue of clause (L) of sub-section (2) of section 297 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961);

And whereas the said institution has changed its name to "Shri Ram Scientific and Industrial Research Foundation, Delhi";

And whereas the institution with the name so changed has been approved by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, the 'prescribed authority' for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 35 of the said Income-tax Act, 1961;

Now, therefore, the Central Government hereby directs that in the list appended to the said notification of the Government of India in the Finance Department (Revenue Division) No. 34-Income-tax dated the 23rd November, 1946 under the sub-heading "Scientific and Industrial Research Associations", for the entry "12C. Shri Ram Institute for Industrial Research, Delhi", the entry "12C. Shri Ram Scientific and Industrial Research Foundation, Delhi" shall be substituted.

2. The above notification is published for general information.

[No. 8/F. No. 10/90/64-IT(AI).]

New Delhi, the 3rd February 1965

S.O. 476.—It is hereby notified for general information that the institution mentioned below has been approved by the Indian Council of Medical Research, the "prescribed authority", for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961, (43 of 1961).

INSTITUTION

INDIAN ACADEMY OF MEDICAL SCIENCES, NEW DELHI.

[No. $9/\mathbf{F}$. No. $10/61/64-\mathbf{IT}(AI)$.]

G. R. DESAI, Dy. Secv.

(Department of Revenue)

ESTATE DUTY

New Delhi, the 3rd February 1965

- S.O. 477.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 4 of the Estate Duty Act, 1953 (34 of 1953), the Central Government hereby appoints the persons, whose names are given in the appendix, as Valuers for the purpose of the said Act for a period of three years from the date of this notification.
- 2. The scale of charges for the remuneration of Valuers appointed by the Central Government for valuing any property shall be as fixed below and no such Valuer shall charge a fee at a scale higher than the scale so fixed.

Provided that where two or more properties are required to be valued-

(i) by a Committee of Arbitration or by a third Valuer in pursuance of a single order, or

(ii) by a Valuer, in pursuance of a single reference made by a Controller of Estate Duty or at the instance of an accountable person.

all such properties shall be deemed to constitute a single unit of property for the purposes of fixing the fee payable to the Committee or the Valuer, as the case may be.

Scale of Changes

On the first Rs. 50,000 - of the property so valued $1/2^{\circ}_{0}$ of the value. On the next Rs. 1,00,000 - of the property so valued . 1 4% of the value. On the balance of the property so valued 1/8% of the value.

APPENDIX Serial Name Address No. I. ENGINEERS/SURVEYORS/ARCHITECTS Shri Maithal, K.C., Pusa Road 8A/73,W.E.A. B.Sc., A.I.S.M., A.M.I.M.M. (Lon.), M.M.G.I., Karol Bagh, New M.I.S.E., F.G.M.S. Shri Singh, Anant, C-109, South Extension II, B.Sc., C.E. (Hons.), M.J.E. New Delhi. 33/1, Mount Road, Nandanani, P.O. Madras-35. Shri Desai, M.G., B.A., Dip, Arch, (Lond.), F.R.I.B.A., F.I.I.A. Shri Dhurva, R.C., Nina Road No. 1, Bhaktinagar, B.E., B.Sc., Civil Engi neer. Rajkot (Western Rly.) Shri Karra, J.R., C/o Indian Construction Syndicate, Zenith House, Saifabad, B.E., A.M.I.E. Hyderabad-4. Shri Usman, Mohammed, 6-3-789, Ameerpet, B.E., A.M-.I.E. Hyderabad-16 (A.P.) Shri Kumar, G. L., 57, Babar Road, New Delhi-1. **B.E.**, (Civil Engineer) Shri Sabnis, M.B. 41, Hamum Street, B.Sc., (Hons.), B.E. (Civil), Fort, Bombay-1. A.M.I.E. (Ind). Shri Subramaniam, P.S., Director, Canon Managing Dunkerley & Co. (Madras) Private Ltd., GDC Building, 19, Cathedral Road, Madras-6. B.E., M.I.E.

10. Shri Iswaran, V.A., B.E.

II-ACCOUNTANTS

'Iswar Prasad', Dewan Bahadur Road, R.S. Puram Post,

Coimbatore—2 (Madras).

1. Shri Gargieya, B.D., Gargieva Niwas, G.D.A., F.C.A. Bcawar, 2. Shri Bhatty, Manjit Singh C/o Sodhbans & Co. Commercial Buildings, Mahatma Gandhi Road, Kanpur. 3. Shri Shah, Naramas M., C/o Shah & Co., F.C.A. Chartered Accountants, 418, Kalbadevi Road, Bombay-2.

Serial Name

Address

III—SPECIALIST IN AGRICULTURE AND FARM VALUATION

1. Shri Menon, K. Sivasankara,

109, Robinson Road, Palghat-1, Kerala.

2. Shri Ahuja, Arjan Singh, B.Sc. (Agr.)

Supdt. Sri Ganga Mech. Farm & Orchard, Sri Ganganagar (Rajasthan).

IV-ACTUARY

I. Shri Vora, V. H. B.Sc., F.I.A. (Lond)

28, Altamount Road, Bombay—26.

V-TEA ESTATES

1. Shri Sen, M. N.

B.Sc.

Rupali Tea Estate, P.O. Mal, Jalpaiguri.

2. Shri Roy, Amulya Charan

Manager,
Katalguri Tea Estate,
Katalguri P.O.
Banarhat T.O. & Rly. Station,
Ialpaiguri (West Bengal).

3. Shri Chakravorty, R. N.

Manager,
Totapara Tea Estate,
P. & T.O. Banarhat,
Distt, Jalpaiguri (West Bengal).

4. Shri Chaturvedi, H.C.

Superintendant, Towkok Tea Estate, Sonari P.O. Sibsagar (Assam).

[No. 1/F. No. 5/15/65-Ed.]

- **S.O. 478.**—The Central Government hereby renews the appointment of the undermentioned Valuers whose names were previously published in Part II. Section 3(ii) of the Gazette of India dated the 20th January, 1962 under S.O. 187 for a further period of three years with effect from 14th January, 1965.
- 2. The scale of charges for the remuneration of Valuers appointed by the Central Government for valuing any property shall be as fixed below and no such valuer shall charge a fee at a scale higher than the scale so fixed.

Provided that where two or more properties are required to be valued-

- (i) by a Committee of Arbitration or by a third Valuer in pursuance of a single order, or
- (ii) by a Valuer, in pursuance of a single reference made by a Controller of Estate Duty or at the instance of an accountable person,

all such properties shall be deemed to constitute a single unit of property for the purposes of fixing the fee payable to the Committee or the Valuer, as the case may be.

Scale of Charges

On the first Rs. 50,000/- of the property so valued On the next Rs. 1,00,000/- of the property so valued On the balance of the property so valued

- . ½% of the value.
- . I/4% of the value.
- . 1/8% of the value,

APPENDIX

Serial No.

Name

Address

I-ENGINEERS/SURVEYORS/ARCHITECTS

 Shri Ganguly, J. L., B.Sc. (Glass), A.M.E.E. (B. Tech.), A.M.I.E. 43, Vivekanand Road, Calcutta-7.

2. Shri Sarma, S. Varadarajan, B.E., M.I.E.

Pattom Palace P.O. Trivandrum-4.

H-ACCOUNTANTS

T. Shri Shah, Chimanlal C., B. Com., F.C.A.

C/o Naushir, M. Marfatia & Co., Chartered Accountants, P.O. Box No. 95, Gandhi Road, Ahmedabad,

2. Shri Shah, M. B., B. Com., F.C.A. C/o M. K. Dandekar & Co., Chartered Accountants, 275, Sixth Cross, Gandhi Nagar, Bangalore-9.

[No. 2/F. No. 5/190/64-Ed.]

G. R. HEGDE, Dy. Secy.

(Department of Revenue)

INCOME-TAX ESTABLISHMENTS

New Delhi, the 8th February 1965

S.O. 479.—In pursuance of clause (b) of Sub-rule (ii) of Rule 2 of the Appellate Tribunal Rules, 1946, the Central Government had been pleased to appoint Shri K. K. Dadlani, Income-tax Officer, Class II, West Bengal, Calcutta, as Junior Authorised Representative, Income-tax Appellate Tribunal, Patna Bench, camp at Calcutta, with effect from the forenoon of 24th September 1964 to the afternoon of 9th October 1964, to appear, plead and act for any Income-tax authority who was a party to any proceedings before the Income-tax Appellate Tribunal.

[No. 41.]

M. G. THOMAS, Under Secy.

(Department of Revenue)

STAMPS

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 13th February 1965

S.O. 480.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), the Central Government hereby remits the duty with which the bonds of the value of sixty lakks of rupees (subject to a retention margin of 10%) proposed to be issued by the Gujarat State Financial Corporation are chargeable under the said Act.

[No. 3/65-F. No. 1/9/65-Cus.VII.]

- **S.O. 481.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), the Central Government hereby remits the stamp duty with which the bonds of the value of one crore and twenty-five thousands rupees issued by the Bihar State Financial Corporation are chargeable under the said Act.
- 2. This Order shall be deemed to have taken effect from the 15th September, 1958.

[No. 4/65-F. No. 1/68/64-Cus. VII.].

- S.O. 482.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), the Central Government hereby remits the stamp duty with which the bonds of the value of fifty lakhs rupees issued by the Bihar State Financial Corporation are chargeable under the said Act.
 - 2. This Order shall be deemed to have taken effect from the 24th October, 1961.

[No. 5/65-F. No. 1/68/64-Cus. VII.]

M. G. VAIDYA, Under Secy-

THE MADRAS CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE, MADRAS

CENTRAL EXCISES

Madras, the 26th January 1965

S.O. 483.—In pursuance of Rule 5 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944, the undersigned empowers all Assistant Collectors of Central Excise in Madras Central Excise Collectorate, to exercise within their respective jurisdictions the powers of the Collector conferred under Rule 56A of Central Excise Rules, 1944 for according permission to manufacturers of excisable goods to avail of the procedure contemplated in Rule 56A of Central Excise Rules 1944, in so far as to the excisable goods to which the provisions of Rule 56A have been extended.

[C. No. IV/16/519/62,CE(Pol.).]

A. K. ROY, Collector.

POONA CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE

CENTRAL EXCISES

Poona, the 29th January, 1965

S.O. 484.—In exercise of the powers conferred on me under Rule 5 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944, I hereby empower the Assistant Collectors of Central Excise of this Collectorate to exercise, within their respective jurisdiction, the powers vested in me under Rule 56-A of the Central Excise Rules, 1944.

[No. CER: 1/65.]

M. C. DAS, Collector.

MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY & SUPPLY

(Department of Industry)

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 3rd February, 1965

S.O. 485/IDRA/6/13.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 6 of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951) read with rule

5(1) of the Development Councils (Procedural) Rules, 1952, the Central Government hereby appoints, till 30th December, 1966, Shri Bishnu Bancrjee, to be a member of the Development Council established by the Order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Industry and Supply No. S.O. 157 dated the 31st December, 1964, for the scheduled industries engaged in the manufacture or production of Oils, Detergents and Paints, including Cosmetics and Toiletries, and directs that the following amendment shall be made in the said Order, namely:—

In the said Order, after entry No. 29 relating to Shri F.G.T. Menezes, the following entry shall be inserted, namely:—

 Shri Bishnu Bunerjee, INTUC-Bengal Branch, SHRAMIK BHAVAN, 177/B, Acharya Jagdish Bose Road, Calcutta-14.

[No. 2(7)/Dev. Councils/64.]

New Delhi, the 5th February 1965

- S.O. 486/IDRA/6/4.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 6 of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951) read with rule 5(1) and 8 of the Development Councils (Procedural) Rules, 1952, the Central Government hereby appoints, till 18th August, 1965, Shri M. M. Suri, to be a member of the Development Council established by the Order of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Industry No. S.O. 2378 dated the 19th August, 1963, for the scheduled industries engaged in the manufacture or production of Machine Tools, and directs that the following amendment shall be made in the said Order, namely:—
 - In the said Order, for entry No. 29 relating to Shri R. V. Ramiah, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—
 - Shri M. M. Suri, Director, Central Mechanical Engineering Research Institute, Durgapur.

[No. 1(9)/Dev. Councils/63.]

- S.O. 487/IDRA/6/7.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 6 of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951) read with rule 5(1) and 8 of the Development Councils (Procedural) Rules, 1952, the Central Government hereby appoints, till 13th October, 1965, Dr. M. L. Dhar, to be a member of the Development Council established by the Order of the Government of India in the Jate Ministry of Industry No. S.O. 3022 dated the 14th October, 1963, for the scheduled industries engaged in the manufacture or production of Drugs & Pharmaceuticals and directs that the following amendment shall be made in the said Order, namely:—
 - In the said Order, for entry No. 23 relating to Dr. G. S. Sidhu, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—
 - 23. Dr. M. L. Dhar, Director, Central Drug Research Institute, Lucknow. [No. 1(10)/Dev. Councils/63.]

New Delhi, the 8th February, 1965

- S.O. 488/IDRA/6/21.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 6 of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951) read with rule 5(1) of the Development Councils (Procedural) Rules, 1952, the Central Government hereby appoints, till 31st March, 1966, the following persons to be the members of the Development Council established by the Order of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Industry S.O. 1269, dated the 1st April, 1964, for the scheduled industries engaged in the manufacture or production of Textile Machinery Industry, and directs that the following amendments shall be made in the said Order, namely:—
 - In the said Order, after entry No. 27 relating to Shri A. N. Buch, the following entries shall be inserted, namely:—
 - Shri Ram Sen, 164, Andul Road, P.O. Botanic Garden, Howrah, West Bengal.
 - 29. Shri Baldev Raj Behl, M/s. Pearl Engineering Ltd., Ludhlang.
 - Shri K. Sreenivasan, Director, South India Textile Research Assogiation, Colmbatore.

[No. 2(5)/Dev. Councils/63.] Rt. C. SETHI, Under Secy.

ORDER

New Delhi, the 8th February, 1965

S.O. 489/IDRA/6/14.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951) read with rules 2, 4 and 5 of the Development Councils (Procedural) Rules, 1952, the Central Government hereby appoints, for a period of two years with effect from the date of this Order, the following persons to be members of the Development Council for the scheduled industries engaged in the manufacture or production of Food Processing Industries in place of members, appointed under the late Ministry of Commerce and Industry Order No. S.O. 2779 dated the 30th August, 1962, as amended from time to time, whose term of office has expired by efflux of time or otherwise:—

Development Council for Food Processing Industries

Chairman

 Shri Chinubhai Manibhai, M/s. The Anil Starch Products Ltd., Ahmedabad.

Members

- Shri A. C. Khanna, M/s. Britannia Biscuit Co., 17, Alipore Road, New Delbi.
- Shri P. Mohan Lal, M/s. Parle Products Manufacturing Co., Private Ltd., Thackersey House, Graham Road, Ballard Estate, Fort, Bombay-1.
- Shri R. K. Vissanji, M/s. Wallace Flour Mills, 9, Wallace Street, Fort, Bombay-1.
- Shri A. Bose, M/s. Lily Biscuit Co., 3, Rama Kanta Sen Lane, Ultadanga, Calcutta-4.
- Shri Maruthiai Pillai, M/s. Century Flour Mills, 330, Thambu Chetty Street, Madras-1.
- 7. Shri S. K. Mookerji, M/s. Rawalgaon Sugar Farms, Rawalgaon, (Via) Manmad (Maharashtra).
- 8. Shri B. N. Aggarwal, M/s. C. & E. Morton Ltd., Marhowrah (Bihar).
- 9. Shri V. Radhakrishnan, M/s. Kamla Sugar Mills, Udumalpet, Madras.
- Mr. W. S. G. Nelson, M/s. Cadbury Fry India Ltd., Cadbury House, Bhulabhai Desai Road, Bombay.
- Shri V. Kurien, M/s. Kaira Distt. Cooperative Milk Producers Union, Anand (Gujarat).
- 12. Mr. R. D. Copas, M/s. Hindustan Milk Food Manufacturers (P) Ltd., Bir Niwas, Circular Road, Nabha (Punjab).
- 13. Mr. Elileo Naegell, M/s. Food Specialities Ltd., Express Bullding, Mathura Road, New Delhi.
- Shri B. P. Varma, Officer-in-Charge, Bacon Factory, Central Dairy Farm, Aligarh.
- 15. Shri R. Madhavan Nair, M/s. Cochin Co., P.B. No. 136, Ernakulam (Kerala State).
- Shri K. U. Patel, M/s. Tins Products Ltd., 15-India Exchange Place, Calcutta-1.
- 17. Shri B. B. Sardeshpande, M/s. Corn Products Co. (I) Private Ltd., Shree Niwas House, Waudby Road, P.O. Box No. 994, Bombay-1.
- 18. Shri Stanley Nicholos Roy, M/s. United Fruit Co. Ltd., Shillong (Assam).
- Shri R. Ramaswamy, M/s. Hindustan Lever Ltd., Scindia House, Ballard Estate, Fort, Bombay.
- 20. Shri Vittal Mallya, M7s. Kissan Products, Post Bag No. 2, Bangalore-16.
- Shri H. N. Kapadia, M/s. Poysha Industrial Co., Messent Road, P.O. Tank Road, Sewri, Bombay-33.
- 22. Secretary, Cashew Syndicate, Quilon (Kerala).
- 23. Dr. P. K. Kymal, Technical Adviser, Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Department of Food), New Delhi.
- 24. Shri R. T. Mirchandani, Agricultural Marketing Adviser, Ministry of Food and Agriculture, Nagpur.
- 25. Dr. Y. K. Subramaniam, Secretary, Central Committee for Food Standards, Ministry of Health, New Delhi.

- Dr. H. A. B. Parpia, Director, Central Food Technological Research Institute, Mysore.
- 2. Shri S. Rama Swamy, Development Officer, Directorate General of Technical Development, New Delhi, is hereby appointed to carry on the functions of Secretary to the said Development Council.

[No. 2(6)/Dev. Councils/64.]

C. BALASUBRAMANIAM, Dy. Secy.

(Department of Industry)

(Indian Standards Institution)

New Delhi, the 2nd February 1965

S.O. 490.—In pursuance of the provisions of sub-rule (2) of rule 3 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Rules, 1955, as amended in 1962, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the Indian Standards, particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, have been established during the quarter ending 31st December 1964.

THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	No. of India	n Sten	dard	d Title of Indian Standard				
ı.	IS: 1—1964.	-			Specification for The National Flag of India (Cotton Khadi) (Revised)			
2.	IS: 230-1964				Specification for Normal Butyl Acetate (Revised).			
3.	IS: 321-1964				Specification for Absolute Alcohol (Revised).			
4.	IS: 358-1964				Specification for Benzole, Industrial (Revised).			
<i>5</i> .	IS: 360 1964				Specification for Amyl Alcohol (Revised).			
6.	IS: 394-1963				Specification for Ink, Cloth Marking (Revised).			
	IS: 415-1963				Specification for Shuttlecocks (Revised).			
7· 8.	IS: 450-1964	•	•	•	Specification for Cotton-Covered Round Copper Conductors (Revised).			
9.	IS: 548-1964	•	•	•	Methods of Sampling and Test for Oils and Fats (Revised).			
10.	IS: 569-1964	•	•	٠	Method for Determination of Breaking Load (Strength) of Jute Yarn (Revised).			
II.	IS: 586-1964	•	•	•	Specification for Leclanche Type Dry Batteries for Telecommunication, Signalling and General Purposes (Second Revision).			
12.	IS: 656-1964	•			Specification for Logs for Plywood (Revised).			
13.	IS: 661-1964	•	•	•	Code of Practice for Insulation and Safe Operation of Cold Storages (Revised).			
14.	IS: 681-1964	•	•	•	Methods for Determination of Universal Count of Woollen and Worsted Yarn.			
15.	IS: 992-1964	•	•	٠	Specification for Forks (Table, Fish, Pastry and Serving), Stainless Steel (Revised).			
16.	IS: 993-1964	•	•	•	Specification for Forks (Table, Fish, Pastry and Serving). Brass and Nickel Silver (Revised).			
17.	IS: 994-1964				Specification for Butter Knives and Fish Knives (Revised)			
18.	IS: 995-1964		٠	•	Specification for Table Knives, Dessert Knives and Fruit Knives (Revised)			
19.	IS: 1021-1964				Specification for Caustic Soda Pure (Revised).			
2 0.	IS: 1061-1964	•	-	•	Specification for Disinfectant Fluids, Black and Whit (Revised).			
21.	IS: 1148-1964	•	•	•	Specification for Rivet Bars for Structural Purposes (Revised).			
22.	IS: 1149-1964		•		Specification for High Tensile Rivet Bars for Structural Purposes (Revised).			
23.	IS: 1653-1964	•	•		Specification for Rigid Steel Conduits for Electrical Wiring (Revised).			
24.	IS: 1943-1964				Specification for A-Twill Jute Bags (Revised).			
25.	IS: 2039-1964	•		•	Specification for Steel Tubes for Bicycle and Allied Purposes.			
26.	IS: 2295-1964				Specification for Superior Anjengo Type Yarn.			

2,7		•	•	•	Specification for Magnesium Surprise (Theori Said
QQ.	IS: 2731-1964				Methods of Measurements on Receivers for Free
_	7.0				Modulation Broadcast Transmissions.
οı.	IS: 2733-1964				Code of Practice for Sheep and Goats Housing.
63.	IS: 2735-1964			-	Specification for Seeds of Tomato.
63.	IS: 2736-1964				Specification for Seeds of Garden Beet.
64.	IS: 2737-1964			-	Specification for Seeds of Bhindi (Lady's Finger).
65.	IS: 2738-1964		•	•	Specification for Seeds of Bean.
66.	IS: 2739-1964	•	•	•	
2-	76 : 2/33 2204	•		•	Specification for Seeds of Pea.
67.	IS: 2748-1964				Methods of Measurements on Microphones.
08.	IS: 2749-1964				Specification for Austenitic Iron Castings.

Sl. No.	No. of Indian Standard		Title of Indian Standard
69 70	IS: 2750-1964	•	Specification for Steel Scaffoldings. Specification for Activated Carbon For Use in Respirators.
7 r	IS:2753(Part I)-1964 .	•	Methods for Estimation of Preservatives in Treated Timber and in Treating Solutions Part I Determination of Copper Arsenic, Chromium, Zinc, Boron, Crosote and Fuel Oil.
72.	IS: 2754-1964		General Requirements for Optical Instruments.
73-	IS: 2764-1964		Specification for Potassium Sulphate, Fertilizer Grade.
74.	IS: 2765-1964		Specification for Radiator Hose.
75.	IS: 2767-1964		Specification for Cold Thread (Silver Base).
76.	IS: 2769-1964		Sizes for Squares and Square Holes for General.
•			Engineering Purposes.
77.	IS: 2773-1964		Specification for Cauliflower.
78.	IS: 2774-1964		Specification for Cabbage.
79.	IS: 2775-1964		Specification for Carrots.
80.	IS: 2776-1964		Specification for Brinjals (Egg. Plant).
81.	1S: 2777-1964 ,	-	Specification for Peas-in-Pods.
82.	IS: 2779-1964		Specification for Potassium Chloride (Muriate of Potash),
_	TO		Fertilizer Grade.
83.	IS: 2780-1964		Specification for Sodium Bromide, Pure.
84	IS: 2781-1964		Glossary of Terms Relating to Ceramicware.
85-		-	Specification for Primary Nickel.
86.	IS: 2784-1964		Specification for Shuttles for Automatic Cop Changing
87.	IS: 2785-1964		Jute Looms. Specification for Hard Cheese, Processed Cheese and Processed Cheese Spread.
88.	IS: 2787-1964 ,		Specification for Oil Pressure Heaters.
89.	IS: 2789-1964		Specification for Special Proofed Paulins (Tarpaulins)
90.			Specification for 14, 12 and 9 Carat Gold.
91.	IS: 2791-1964	·	Specification for Soluble Coffee Powder.
92.	ÎS: 2793-1964	Ċ	Dimensions for Cranked Handles.
93.			Specification for Truing Tools, Single Diamond.
94.			Specification for Motor Gasoline, 83 Octane.
95.	***		Specification for Potassium Bromide.
96.	IS: 2803-1964	•	Specification for Capillary Pipette for Direct Miscros- copic Count of Milk.
97-	IS: 2805-1964		Dimensions for Ball Grips.
98.	IS: 2806-1964	•	Methods for Temperature Measurement by Electrical Resistance Thermometers.
99.			Method for Sampling of Cereals and Pulses.
100-			Specification for Slotted Tube Sampler.
IOI.			Specification for Grain Sampler (Parkhi Type).
102.	-a a 'a'	•	Specification for Indian Hessian.
103.	IS: 2824-1964		Method for Determination of the Comparative Tracking
	IC		Index of Solid Insulating Materials.
104.		•	Method for Calibration of Pressurized Storage Tanks.
105.		•	Specification for Steam-Jacketed Ghee Pans.
106.		-	Specification for Waterproof Silicon Carbide Paper. Specification for Heavy Cec Jute Bags.
107		•	Specification for Jute Corn Sacks.
108.	10 . 20/5-1904	•	opechication for Jule Corn Backs.

[No. MD/13:3.]

D. V. KARMARKAR, Joint Director (Marks).

MINISTRY OF STEEL & MINES (Department of Mines & Metals)

New Delhi, the 2nd February 1965

S.O. 491.—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Steel, Mines and Heavy Engineering S.O. 1283 dated the 25th March,

1964 under sub-section (1) of section 7 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957) the Central Government gave notice of its intention to acquire the lands in the locality specified in the Schedules appended to that notification;

And whereas the competent authority in pursuance of section 8 of the said Act has made his report to the Central Government;

And whereas the Central Government after considering the report and after consulting the Government of Bihar is satisfied that the lands measuring 224 acres (approximately) or 90.72 hectares (approximately) described in the Schedule appended hereto should be acquired;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 9 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the lands measuring 224 acres (approximately) or 90.72 hectares (approximately) described in the said Schedule are hereby acquired.

The plans of the area covered by this notification may be inspected in the Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Hazaribagh (Bihar) or in the office of the Coal Controller, 1, Council House Street, Calcutta or in the Office of the National Coal Development Corporation Limited (Revenue Section) "Darbhanga House" Ranchi.

SCHEDULE

Block-Patratu Extension

Drg. No. Rev/66/64 Dated 29-8-1964.

(South Karanpura Coalfield)

(Showing lands where rights to mine, quarry, bore, dig and search for, win, work and carry away minerals are to be acquired)

Mining Rights

546

S. No.	Village	Thana	Thana number	District	Area	Remarks	
ı.	Patratu .	Ramgarh	22	Hazarlbagh	* *	Part	
2.	Jainagar	23	25	,,		,,,	
				Total area: .	224 00		
				Or	acres 90·72	(approximately) hectares (approximately)	

Plot Numbers to be acquired in Village Patratu:

110(P), 111(P), 112, 113, 114(P), 115(P), 116(P), 117(P), 118, 119, 120(P), 121(P) 122(P), 125(P), 126(P), 127, 128(P), 134(P), 135, 136(P), 137(P), 138, 139) 140(P), 162(P), 163(P), 185(P), 185(P), 186(P), 187(P), 188(P), 189 to 240, 241(P), 242(P), 243(P), 244(P), 255(P), 256, 257, 258, 259(P), 260(P), 261(P), 262(P), 263, 264, 265, 266(P), 267(P), 268(P), 270(P), 271, 272(P), 273 to 337, 338(P), 339, 340(P), 341, 342, 343, 344, 345,(P), 348(P), 349(P), 350(P), 363(P), 544(P), 618(P), 619(P), 631(P), 1102(P), 1103 to 1146, 1147 (P) and 1376.

Plot Nos. to be acquired in Village Jainagar.

I to 25, 26(P), 27, 28, 29, 30(P), 34(P), 35(P), 37(P), 38, 39, 40(P), 41 to 48, 49(P), 50(P), 52(P), 53(P), 54(P), 56(P), 66(P), 67 to 78, 79(P), 80, 81(P), 82(P), 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88(P), 91(P), 92(P), 93(P), 94, 95(P), 110(P), 116(P), 117(P), 118(P), 119(P), 150(P), 151(P), 152(P), 153(P), 154(P), 155, 156, 157, 158(P), 159(P), 351(P), 352(P), 353(P), 354, 355, 356(P), 357, 358, 359(P), 360, 361, 362(P), 366(P), 854(P), 855(P), 866(P), 867 to 920, 921(P), 923(P), 924(P), 940(P), 941(P), 942(P), 1758(P) and 1761.

Boundary Description:

- A-B line passes through Plot Nos. 619, 618, 544, 121, 122, 125, 128, 126, 134, 136, 137, 140, 162, 163, 187, 188, 186, 185, 363, 338, 340, 350, 349, 348, 345, 1102 and 1147, in Village Patratu and meets at point 'B'.
- B—C line passes through Plot Nos. 942, 941, 940, 924, 923, 921, 866, 855, 854, 362, 359, 366, 356, 353, 352, 351, 351, 110, 95, 1758, 93, 92, 91, 92, 88, 82, 81, 79, 116, 117, 118, 119, 66, 30, 26, 34, 35, 37, 56, 40, 54, 53, 52, 50, 49, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 158, 159 and 158 in Village Jainagar and meets at point 'C'.
- C—D . line passes along the common boundary of Villages Sayal and Jainagar and meets at point 'D'.
- D-B . line passes along the part common boundary of Villages Sayal & Patratu and meets at point 'E'.
- E-A . line passes through plot Nos. 255, 272, 270, 268, 267, 266, 262, 261, 260, 259, 255, 244, 255, 243, 242, 241, 140, 117, 116, 115, 114, 111, 110, 120, 544, 631 & 619 in Village Patratu [Which is also the part common boundary of Patratu Block acquired U/S 9(1) of the Coal Act] and meets at point 'A'.

[No. C2-20(11)/63.]

K. SUBRAHMANYAN, Under Secy.

ERRATA

The three Notifications of Ministry of Steel and Mines, all numbered C 2-24(1)/61 and dated 22nd January, 1965, published in the Gazette of India (weekly) Part II—Section 3(ii), dated 6th February, 1965 as S. Os. 391 to 393, may be treated as cancelled.

MINISTRY OF HEALTH

New Delhi, the 30th January 1965

S.O. 492.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956) the Central Government, after consultation with the Medical Council of India, hereby directs that the medical qualification "M.D." granted by the University of Saint Thomas, Manila, Philippines, shall be a recognised medical qualification for the purposes of that Act.

[No. F. 32-35/64-MPT.]

S.O. 493.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), the Central Government, after consultation with the Medical Council of India, hereby directs that the medical qualification "M.D." granted by the University of Siena, Italy, shall be a recognised medical qualification for the purposes of that Act.

[No. F. 32-29/64-MPT.]

New Delhi, the 1st February 1965

S.O. 494.—Whereas the Central Government have, in pursuance of the provisions of clause (a) of sub-section (I) of section 3 of the Indian Mcdical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1958), nominated in consultation with the Government of West Bengal, Dr. C. L. Mukherjee, M.O. (Cal.), F.R.C.O.G. (Lond.), Ph.D. (Glas.), Director, Institute of Post-graduate Medical Education and Research, Calcutta, to be a member of the Medical Council of India with effect from the 6th February, 1965;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (I) of section 3 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby makes the following further

amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Health No. 5-13/59-MI, dated the 9th January, 1960, namely:—

In the said notification, under the heading "nominated under clause (a) of sub-section (I) of section 3", for the entry against serial No. 10, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:

"Dr. C. L. Mukherjee, M.O. (Cal), F.R.C.O.G. (Lond), Ph.D. (Glas.), Director, Institute of Post-graduate Medical Education and Rescarch, Calcutta".

[No. F. 4-28/64-MPT.]

New Delhi, the 2nd February 1965

- S.O 495.—Whereas, in pursuance of the provisions of clause (b) of subsection (1) of section 3 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956). Dr. C. Venkatramaiah, M.B.B.S., M.Sc., Principal, S. V. Medical College, Tirupati, has been elected by the Shri Venkateswara University to be a member of the Medical Council of India with effect from the 6th February, 1965;
- Dr. C. Venkatramaiah appearing at serial No. 14 under the heading "Elected under clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 3" in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Health No. F, 5-1359-MI, dated the 9th January, 1960, shall continue to be a member of the Medical Council of India for a further period of five years with effect from the 6th February, 1965 or until his successor is elected whichever is longer.

[No. F. 4-28/64-MPT(A).1

S.O. 496.—Whereas, in pursuance of the provisions of clause (b) of subsection (1) of section 3 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), Dr. Manibhai Dayabhai Desai. 36, Parimal Society, Ahmedabad-6, has been elected by the University of Gujarat to be a member of the Medical Council of India with effect from the 6th February, 1965;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Health No. 5-13/59-MI, dated the 9th January, 1960, namely:—

In the said notification, under the heading "Elected under clause (b) of subsection (1) of section 3", for the entry against serial No. 11, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"Dr. Manibhai Dayabhai Desai, 36, Parimal Society, Ahmedabad-6"

[No. F. 4-28/64-MPT(B).]

S.O. 497.—Whereas the Central Government, have in pursuance of the provisions of clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), nominated in consultation with the Government of Mysore, Major-General S. L. Bhatia, I.M.S. (Retd.), Nandidurga Road, Bangalore, to be a member of the Medical Council of India with effect from the 6th February, 1965;

Major-General S. L. Bhatia appearing at serial No. 12 under the heading "Nominated under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 3" in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Health No. F. 5-13/59-MI, dated the 9th January, 1960, shall continue to be a member of the Medical Council of India for a further period of five years with effect from the 6th February, 1965 or until his successor is nominated whichever is longer.

[No. F. 4-28/64-MPT.]

New Delhi, the 3rd February 1965

- S.O. 498.—Whereas the Central Government have, in pursuance of the provisions of clause (a) of sub-section (i) of section 3 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), nominated in consultation with the Government of Punjab, Dr. D Bhatia, F.R.C.S.. Director, Health Services, Punjab, to be a member of the Medical Council of India with effect from the 6th February, 1965;
- 2. And, whereas the Central Government have, in pursuance of the provisions of clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the said Act, nominated Dr. Tulsi Das, F.R.C.S., D.O.M.S., Director, Research and Medical Education, Punjab, to be a member of the said Council with effect from the 6th February, 1965;

3. Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendments in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Health No. 5-13/59-MI, dated the 9th January, 1960, namely:—

In the said notification,

.....

- (t) under the beading "Nominated under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 3", for the entry against serial No. 11, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—
 - "Dr D. Bhatia, F.R.C.S., Director, Health Services, Punjab"
- (ii) under the heading "Nominated under clause (e) of sub-section (1) of section 3", for the entry against serial No. 8, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—
 - "Dr. Tulsi Das, F.R.C.S., D.O.M.S., Director, Research & Medical Education, Punjab"

[No. F. 4-28/64-MPT.]

New Delhi, the 5th February 1965

- S.O. 499.—Whereas the Central Government have in pursuance of the provisions of clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956) nominated, in consultation with the Government of Orissa, Dr. G. S. Mohapatra, F.R.C.P. (Edin), D.T.M. & H. (Lond), Director of Health Services, Orissa, Bhubaneswar, to be a member of the Medical Council of India with effect from the 6th February, 1965;
- Dr. G. S. Mohapatra appearing at serial No. 1 under the heading "Nominated under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 3" in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Health No. F. 5-13/59-MI, dated the 9th January, 1960. shall continue to be a member of the Medical Council of India for a further period of five years with effect from the 6th February, 1965 or until his successor is nominated whichever is longer.

[No. F. 4-28/64-MPT.]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 3rd February 1965

S.O. 500—Whereas the Government of India in the Ministry of Health has, by notification No. 32-16/64-MPT, dated the 21st January. 1965 made, in exercise of powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Indian Medical Council Act. 1956 (102 of 1956), recognised the medical qualification "M.D." granted by the Temple University, Philadelphia (U.S.A.) for the purposes of the said Act;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to subsection **(1) of section 14 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956) the Central Government hereby specifies the period of two years with effect from the date of this order or so long as Dr. Robert R. Larsen who possesses the said qualification, continues to work in the Nekursini Christian Hospital, Khatnagar, Midnapore District (West Bengal) to which he is attached for the time being for the nurposes of leaching, research or charltable work, whichever is shorter, as the period to which the medical practice of the said Dr. Robert R. Larsen shall be limited.

[No. F. 32-16/64-MPT.]

S.O. 501.—Whereas the Government of India in the Ministry of Health has, by notification No. F 32-30/64-MPT dated the 23rd January. 1965 made, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Indian Medical Council Act 1956 (102 of 1956), recognised the medical qualification "M.D." granted by the University of Columbia, N.Y. (U.S.A.) for the purposes of the said Act;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to subsection (1) of section 14 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956) the Central Government hereby specifies the period of two years with effect from the date of this order or so long as Dr. David H. Barnhouse who possesses the said qualification, continues to work in the Christian Medical College, Ludhiana to which he is attached for the time being for the purposes of teaching research or charitable work, whichever is shorter, as the period to which the medical practice of the said Dr. David H. Barnhouse shall be limited.

[No. F. 32-30/64-MPT.]

LUA . 15, 1 1/ 4.

S.O. 502.—Whereas the Government of India in the Ministry of Health has, by notification No. 32-70/64-MPT, dated the 23rd January, 1965 made, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), recognised the medical qualification "M.D." granted by the University of Creighton (U.S.A.) for the purposes of the said Act;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to subsection (1) of section 14 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956) the Central Government hereby specifies the period of two years with effect from date of this order or so long as Dr. Charles Walton Emerick who possesses the said qualification, continues to work in the Miraj Medical Centre, P.O. Miraj, Sangli District (Maharashtra) to which he is attached for the time being for the purposes of teaching, research or charitable work, whichever is shorter, as the period to which the medical practice of the said Dr. Charles Walton Emerick shall be limit-

[No. F.32-70/64-MPT.]

S.O. 503.—Whereas the Government of India in the Ministry of Health has, by notification No. 32-41/64-MPT, dated the 23rd January, 1965 made, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), recognised the medical qualification "M.D." granted by the University of Heidelburg (Federal Republe of Germany) for the purposes of the said Act;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to subsection (1) of section 14 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956) the Central Government hereby specifies the period of two years with effect from the date of this order or so long as Dr. W. Rohwedder who possesses the said qualification, continues to work in the Gossner Evangelical Lutheran Church Hospital, Amgaon, District Sambalpur (Orissa) to which he is attached for the lime being for the purposes of teaching, research or charitable work, whichever is shorter, as the period to which the medical practice of the said Dr. W. Rohwedder shall be limited.

[No. F.32-41/64-MPT.]

S.O. 504.—Whereas the Government of India in the Ministry of Health has, by notification No. 32-28/64-MPT, dated the 21st January, 1965 made in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Indian Medical Council Act. 1956 (102 of 1956), recognised the Medical qualification "M.D." granted by the University of Rochester, New York, U.S.A. for the purposes of the said Act:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the nowers conferred by the proviso to subsection (1) of section 14 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956) the Central Government hereby specifies the period of two years with effect from the date of this order or so long as Dr. R. H. Carman who possesses the said qualification, continues to work in the Christian Medical College and Hospital, Vellore to which he is attached for the time being for the purposes of teaching, research or charitable work, whichever is shorter, as the period to which the medical practice of the said Dr. R. H. Carman shall be limited.

[No. F.32-28/64-MPT.]

B. B. L. BHARADWAJ, Under Secv.

MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT

(Transport Wing)

(LIGHTHOUSES AND LIGHTSHIPS)

New Delhi, the 30th January 1965

S.O. 505.—In pursuance of sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Indian Lighthouse Act, 1927 (17 of 1927), the Central Government hereby appoints a Central Advisory Committee for Lighthouses for a period of two years from the date of this notification, consisting of the following persons, namely:--

Chairman

Members

- Director General of Shipping, Bombay (ex-officio);
- Nautical Adviser to the Government of India (ex-officio);
- Financial Adviser, Ministry of Transport (ex-officio);
- Chief Hydrographer, Indian Navy (ex-officio);
- Deputy Secretary in charge of the Department of Lighthouses and Lightships, Ministry of Transport (ex-officio);
- Shri H. M. Desai, Manager, Scindia Steam Navigation Co. Ltd., Scindia House, Ballard Estate, Bombay-1.
- Shri D. Hogg of M/s. Macneill and Barry Ltd., 28, Barakhamba Road, P.B. 166, New Delhi-1.
- Shri T. M. Goculdas, c/o Scindia Steam Navigation Co. Ltd., Ballard Estate, Bombay-1.
- Captain J. C. Anand, Operations Manager, Jayanti Shipping Co. Ltd., 61, 'ADVENT', 12A, Foreshore Road, Bombay-1.
- Shri Govind H. Seth, Managing Director, Shipping Corporation of India, Steelcrete House, Dinshaw Wacha Road, Bombay-1.
- 11. Shri D. M. Parekh, Mul Ratna, 332/34, Narsi Natha Street, Bombay-9.
- Shri K. Agathiappan, 18, Ellaimman Koil Street, Porto Novo, South Arcot District, Madras State.
- Shri P. R. Ramakrishnan, Member, Lok Sabha, 17, Canning Lane, New Delhi-1.
- Shri Sudhir Ghosh, Member, Rajya Sabha, 95, South Avenue, New Delhi-11.
- Director General of Lighthouses and Lightships, New Delhi (ex-officio)— Member—Secretary.

[No. 4-ML(2)/64.]

B. P. SRIVASTAVA, Dy. Secy.

(Transport Wing)

(Ports)

New Delhi, the 3rd February 1965

S.O. 506.—Whereas Shri P. A. Abdul Majeed has been elected by the Cochin Chamber of Commerce and Industry, Cochin to be their representative on the Board of Trustees of the port of Cochin vice Shri R. J. Watson resigned.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-sections (1) and (6) of section 3 of the Major Port Trusts Act, 1963 (38 of 1963), the Central Government hereby notifies the appointment of Shri P. A. Abdul Majeed as a trustee of the Board of Trustees of the Port of Cochin and makes the following amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Transport (Transport Wing) No. F. 19-PG(40)/64, dated the 31st March, 1964, namely:—

In the said notification, against item 9, for the entry 'Shri R. J. Watson', the entry 'Shri P. A. Abdul Majeed' shall be substituted.

[No. 6-PG(47)/64.]

R. RANGARAJAN, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND COOPERATION

(Department of Cooperation)

New Delhi, the 29th January 1965

S.O. 507.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5B of the Multi-Unit Cooperative Societies Act, 1942 (6 of 1942), the Central Government hereby directs that all the powers or authority exercisable by the Central Registrar of Cooperative Societies under the said Act, shall also be exercisable by the following officers in respect of the Multi-Unit industrial cooperative societies which are or

are deemed to be actually registered in the State of Madras, subject to the condition that any proposal for registration of new multi-unit industrial societies or amendment to the bye-laws of existing or new multi-unit societies shall have the prior approval of the Central Government.

- Shri T. A. S. Balakrishnan, I.A.S., Director of Industries and Commerce, Madras.
- Shri D. Mariasusai, Joint Director of Industries and Commerce (Industrial Cooperatives), Madras.

[No. 3-14/64-CT.]

A. C. BANDOPADHYAY, Dy. Secy.

CENTRAL WAREHOUSING CORPORATION

(A Government of India Undertaking)

NOTICE

New Delhi, the 3rd February 1965

S.O. 508.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Rule 10 of the Central Warehousing Corporation Rules, 1963, the Chairman of the Central Warehousing Corporation has accepted on 2nd February, 1965, the nomination of the following candidates for election as a director from the class of shareholders mentioned below. The election will be held on 16th February, 1965, at 11-00 A.M. in the 'Auditorium' of the Indian Council of Agricultural Research, 'Krishi Bhavan', New Delhi-1.

Class of shareholder: COOPERATIVE SOCIETIES

The names and address of validly nominated candidates are as under:-

- Shri B. Bhakthavathsalu Naidu, President, Cooperative Central Bank Ltd., VELORE. (N. A. District) Madras State.
- Shri Byomkes Majumdar, Chairman, West Bengal Provincial Cooperative Bank Ltd., 16, Old Court House Street, Calcutta-1, West Bengal.
- 3. Shri Devendra Pal Singh, Managing Director, Aligarh District Cooperative Bank Ltd., Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh,
- Shri S. Kirpal Singh, Vice President, Rupar Cooperative Cold Store Ltd., Rupar. (District Ambala) Punjab.

[No. CWC/XII-1/65-Secy.]

P. L. ANAND,

Chief Executive Officer & Secretary.

MINISTRY OF REHABILITATION

(Office of the Chief Settlement Commissioner)

New Delhi, the 1st February 1965

S.O. 509.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (1) of Section 3 or the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954, (No. 44 of 1954), the Central Government hereby appoints for the State of Punjab Shri Rattan Lal Gupta for the time being holding the post of Accounts Officer under the Regional Settlement Commissioner, Jullundur as Settlement Officer for the purpose of performing the functions assigned to such officers by or under the said Act.

[No. 4(14)Adm(Prop)/60.]

New Delhi, the 3rd February 1965

S.O. 510.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (a) of Sub-Section (2) of Section 16 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation& Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (44 of 1954) the Central Government hereby appoints for the States of Maharashtra, Gujarat, Andhra Pradesh, Madras, Mysore & Kerala the following Officers

in the office of Regional Settlement Commissioner, Bombay as Managing Officers for the custody, management and disposal of compensation pool with effect from the date they took over charge of their offices.

1. Shri Bhagwandas Sugna Singh	A.S.O.
2. Shri B. S. Mahara	A.S.O.
3. Shri K. H. Bhatia	A.S.O.
4. Shri C. L. Mehta	A.S.O.

[No. 8/56/56/A, GAZ/62,]

KANWAR BAHADUR.

Settlement Commissioner (A) & Ex-Officio Dy, Secy.

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SECURITY

New Delhi, the 2nd February 1965

S.O. 511.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government, having regard to the location of the factory in an implemented area, exempts the Government Press, Shoranur, from payment of employers special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for the period upto and inclusive of the 3rd January, 1968.

[No. F. 6/13/64-HI.]

S.O. 512.—Whereas the Central Government was satisfied that Government Silk Filatures was situated in Kanakapura area which was a sparse area (that is, an area whose insurable population was less than 500) in the district of Bangalore in the State of Mysore;

And, whereas by virtue of its location in a sparse area, the aforesaid factory was granted exemption from the payment of the employers' special contribution under section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), until enforcement of the provisions of Chapter V of the Act in that area by the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour and Employment notification No. 2871, dated the 11th September, 1962;

And, whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the insurable population of the Kanakapura area in the district of Bangalore in the State of Mysore has now exceeded 500, and it is no longer a sparse area;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the Ministry of Labour and Employment notification No. 2871, dated the 11th September, 1962, namely:—

In the Schedule to the said notification, in the entries against Serial No. 1 the entries "Kanakapura" and "Government Silk Filature" occuring in columns 3 and 4 respectively shall be omitted.

[No. F. 6/41/62-HI.]

S.O. 513.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 87 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby exempts M/s. Handbags Production-Cum-Training Centre, 43-Okhla Industrial Estate, New Delhi, from all the provisions of the said Act, except Chapter VA, for a period of one year with effect from the 1st January, 1865.

[No. F. 6(131)/63-HI,]

S.O. 514.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby exempts, having regard to the location of the factory in an implemented area, the Municipal Mechanical and Transport Workshop, Agra, from the payment of the employers' special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a period of one year commencing on the 1st March, 1965.

[No. F. 6/12/65-HI.]

S.O. 515.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government, having regard to the location of factories in implemented areas, hereby exempts the Government Automobile Workshops at Madurai and Salem belonging to the Madras State Transport Department (Motor Vehicles Maintenance Organisation) from the payment of the Employers' Special Contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for the period upto and including of the 5th January, 1966.

[No. F. 6/10/65-HI.]

S.O. 516.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government thereby exempts, having regard to the location of the factory in an implemented area, Eluru Water Works Pumping Supply Station, Eluru, West Godavari District, Andra Pradesh from the payment of the employers' special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a further period of one year with effect from the 1st March, 1965.

[No. F. 6/4/65-HI.]

S.O. 517.—Whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the Posts and Telegraphs Motor Service Workshop, Bombay, belonging to the Government of India, Department of Posts and Telegraphs, are otherwise in receipt of benefits substantially similar to the benefits provided under the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 90 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948, and in continuation of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment No 6(127)/63-HI, dated the 2nd January, 1964, the Central Government hereby exempts the above mentioned factory from all the provisions of the said Act for a further period of one year from the 15th day of January, 1965.

[No. F. 6/78/64-HI.]

S.O. 518.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby exempts, having regard to the location of the factories in an implemented area, Kerala State Transport Garage, Trivandrum, State Transport Central Depot, Trivandrum (Thampanoor) and the State Transport District Garage, Kottayam from the payment of the employers' special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a further period of one year with effect from the 21st December, 1964.

[No. F. 6/11/65-HI.]

S.O. 519.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby exempts, having regard to the location of the factory in an implemented area, the Indian Medicine Pharmacy, Charminar, Hyderabad, from the payment of the employers' special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a further period of one year commencing on the 1st February, 1965.

[No. F. 6/5/65-HI.]

S.O. 520.—The following draft of rules further to amend the Employees' State Insurance (Central) Rules, 1950, which the Central Government proposes to make, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 95 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), is hereby published, as required by subsection (1) of the said section, for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 28th February, 1965.

Any objections or suggestions which may be received from any person in respect of the said draft before the date so specified will be considered by the Central Government.

Draft Rules

1. These rules may be called the Employees' State Insurance (Central) Second Amendment Rules, 1965.

- 2. In the Employees' State Insurance 'Central') Rules, 1950, in rule 18, the existing sub-rule (ix) shall be renumbered sub-rule (x) and before sub-rule (x) as so renumbered the following sub-rule shall be inserted, namely:—
 - "(ix) to make adequate arrangements for providing medical benefit to insured persons and (where such benefit is extended to their families), their families, in places where the Corporation has been entrusted or asked by the State Government to take over the provision of medical care under the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948)."

[No. F. 1/21/64-HI.]

S.O. 521.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby exempts, having regard to the location of the factory in an implemented area, the Government Press, Udaipur, from the payment of the employers' special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the sald Act, with effect from the 1st January, 1965.

INo. F. 6(69)/63-HI.]

S.O. 522.—Whereas the Central Government was satisfied that Kankanahally Power Station, Mysore State Electricity Board was situated in Kanakapura area which was a sparse area (that is, an area whose insurable population was less than 500) in the district of Bangalore in the State of Mysore;

And, whereas by virtue of its location in a sparse area, the aforesaid factory was granted exemption from the payment of the employers' special contribution under section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), until enforcement of the provisions of Chapter V of the Act in that area by the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour and Employment notification No. 889, dated the 4th March, 1964;

And, whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the insurable population of the Kanakapura area in the district of Bangalore in the State of Mysore has now exceeded 500, and it is no longer a sparse area;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the Ministry of Labour and Employment notification No. 889, dated the 4th March, 1964, namely:—

In the Schedule to the said notification, in the entries against Serial No. 1 the entries "Kanakapura" and "Kankanahally Power Station, Mysore State Electricity Board" occurring in columns 3 and 4 respectively shall be omitted.

INo. F. 6/41/62-HI.]

S.O. 528.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act. 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment No. S.O. 716, dated the 18th February, 1964, namely:—

In the said notification,—

"In item III, after the entry 'Poruvazhy' the following entry shall be inserted, namely:—

"Sasthamcotta".

The amendment made by the notification shall be deemed to have effect as and from the 1st of March, 1964.

[No. F. 13(4)/64-HI.]

S.O. 524.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 13 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri V. S. Datar to be an Inspector also for the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu for the purposes of the said Act or of any Scheme framed thercunder, in relation to any establishment belonging to, or under the control of the Central Government, or in relation to any establishment connected with a railway company, a major port, a mine or an oilfield or a controlled industry,

[No. 20(65)/64-PF-I.]

New Delhi, the 5th February, 1965

- S.O. 525.—In pursuance of clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 5A of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), read with section 14 of the Employees' Provident Funds (Amendment) Act, 1963 (28 of 1963), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri N. N. Chatterjee as a member of the Central Board of Trustees and makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Labour No. S.R.O. 1861 dated the 31st October, 1952, namely:—
 - In the said notification, for entry 2, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—
 - "2. Shri N. N. Chatterjee, Joint Secretary to the Government of India Ministry of Labour and Employment, New Delhi."

[No. 12/5/63/PF-II.]

New Delhi, the 6th February 1965

S.O. 526.—Whereas the Government of State of Madras has, in pursuance of the powers conferred by clause (d) of section 4 of the Employees' State Insurance Act. 1948 (34 of 1948), nominated Shri T. S. Sankaran, Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras, Industries, Labour and Cooperation Department as a member of the Employees' State Insurance Corporation to represent that Government vice Shri T. N. Lakshminarayanan.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of section 4 of the Employees' State Insuranc Aect. 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour and Employment, No. S.O. 1879 dated the 11th June, 1962, namely:—

In the said notification, under the heading "Members" under the subheading "(Nominated by the State Governments under clause (d) of section 4)", in item 14 for the entries "Shri T. N. Lakshminarayanan Secretary to the Government of Madras Industries Labour and Cooperation Department, Madras" the entries "Shri T. S. Sankaran Joint Secretary to the Government of Medras, Industries, Labour and Cooperation Department, Madras" shall be substituted.

[No. F.1/52/65-HI.]

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 2nd February 1965

S.O. 527.—In the Schedule to the notification of the Government of India in the Department of Social Security No. S.O. 3290 dated the 2nd September 1964, published in Part II, Section 3 sub-section (ii), of the Gazette of India, dated the 12th September, 1964 against Serial No. 10 for "Navarjeevana" and "Nizar" occurring in column 4 read "Navajeevana" and "Mizar" respectively.

[No. F. 6(64)/64-HU]

S. A. AHMAD, Dy. Secv

MINISTRY OF LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT

New Delhi, the 1st February 1965

S.O. 528.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers to relation to the Dhemo Main Colliery. Post Office Sitarampur, District Burdwan and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 27th January, 1965.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, DHANBAD

In the matter of a reference under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 47).

REFERENCE No. 12 of 1963

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Dhemo Main Colliery, P.O. Sitarampur

AND

Their workmen

PRESENT:

Shri Raj Kishore Prasad, M.A., B.L., Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

For the Employers.—Shri S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate.

For the Workmen.-Shri Nikhil Das, Advocate.

STATE: West Bengal.

INDUSTRY: Coal-

Dhanbad, dated the 28th December, 1964

AWARD

Ministry of Labour & Employment, Government of India, by its Order No. 6/21/62-LRII dated the 28th January, 1963 referred for adjudication to this Tribunal under Section 10(1)(d) of The Industrial Disputes Act. 1947, an industrial dispute existing, between the employers in relation to the Dhemo Main Colliery and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule below:

SCHEDULE

"Whether the dismissal of the following workmen by the management of Dhemo Main Colliery with effect from the dates specified against each of them was legal and proper; if not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?

	1 Chri Sadananda	Mukherjee-Underground	Time	Keeper	24-10-62
--	------------------	-----------------------	------	--------	----------

- 2. Shri Sudhir Chatterjee
- 3. Shri Balaram Chatterice
- 4. Shri Bhuban Mukherjec
- 5. Shri N. C. Chattaraj
- 6. Shri Sadananda Das
- 7. Shri Pijush Acharjee
- 8. Shri Birenchi
- 9. Shri Baidyanath Das

Sand Cleaner

Attendance Clerks.

2-11-62

24-10-62

Asstt, Time Keeper

31-10-62."

2. The company on 22nd April 1963 filed their written statement in answer to the statement of demands submitted by the workmen earlier on 16th March 1963.

The case of the company was that the General Manager Shri Swaika in course of probe to tone up the administration obtained several verbal reports from different sources that attendance of Birenchi, Workman No. 8 in the schedule referred to above, alleged to be a Sand Cleaner, was being recorded falsely and payments were being made on falsely prepared wage sheets. The duties of Workmen 2 to 7, mentioned above in the schedule were to mark attendance; the duty of No. 1 was to prepare wage sheets on the basis of attendance registers and the duty of No. 9 was to make the payments on the basis of the wage sheets and No. 8 Birenchi was the disputed workman concerned. On having verbal reports, the General Manager called upon Sadananda Mukherjee, Workman No. 1 in the Schedule, W.W. 1, on 1st September 1962 to produce the said workman No. 8 Birenchi but he was not produced. Two or three days later the General Manager again asked Sadananda Mukherjee, Workman No. 1, W.W. 1 to produce Birenchi W.W. 2, Workman No. 8 but again he failed to produce him and, instead of producing Birenchi, Sadananda Mukherjee W.W. 1 produced leave application dated 3rd

September 1962 Form No. 6160 in the leave application Form Register Exhibit M. 32 purporting to be leave application of Birenchi dated 3rd September 1962 which did not bear Birenchi's signature or thumb impression and the signature of the Manager although the earlier leave application No. 6159 was dated 4th September 1962 and subsequent leave application No. 6161 was dated 7th September 1962, but curiously enough the application of Birenchi was dated 3rd September 1962 which clearly showed that Sadanand Mukherjee W.W. I resorted to this method to cover his failure to produce Birenchi in confirmation of his alleged work in the colliery as per entry of attendance in the Attendance Register and this confirmed the reports received by the General Manager for further probe into the complaint that Birenchi was not working in the colliery from 1st January 1962 to 31st August 1962.

- 3. A preliminary enquiry was held on 30th August 1962 by the Agent as will appear from his report Exhibit M. 1 as per letter dated 30th August 1962 Exhibit M. issued to Sadanand Mukherjec W.W. 1 by the agent to explain certain irregularities in respect of the persons mentioned therein. At the said enquiry three old sand cleaners. Badri Das. whose statement at the subsequent enquiry on 27th September, 1962 is Exhibit M. 14(2), Sahi Ram, whose subsequent statement at the final enquiry on 27th September 1962 is Exhibit M.13 and Maduli Das, whose subsequent statement at the final enquiry on 27th September 1962 is Exhibit M. 13(1) were examined and their evidence proved that Birenchi never worked as Sand Cleaner at the collicry at any time within the period of the last 4 or 5 years and, therefore, records for the year 1962 dated 3rd September 1962 and for part of 1961 were examined and it was found that one Birenchi had been shown as working as Sand Cleaner during the said period.
- 4. On the basis of the materials obtained separate charge sheets Exhibits M. 2, M. 4 and M. 6 were issued on 10th September 1962 to the eight of the nine workmen concerned except Birenchi No. 8, to which all the 8 workmen concerned, except Birenchi who was not charge sheeted, submitted their replies to the charge sheets on 14th September 1962 Exhibits M. 3, M. 5, M. 7 to M. 12. The enquiry was held, in presence of all these 8 workmen concerned, except of course Birenchi, on 27th September 1962 by the Agent himself and most of the witnesses were cross examined by Sadanand Mukherjee, on behalf of all the workmen concerned. The Agent, who held the enquiry, submitted his enquiry report on 18th October 1962 Exhibit M. 25 in which after discussion and consideration of the evidence and circumstances in the case he held that all the concerned workmen were guilty of the charges made against them, and, therefore, Sadanand Mukherjee workman No. 1 Underground Time Keeper was dismissed on 24th October 1962 Exhibit M. 27, and the Attendance clerks Workmen Nos. 2 to 7 from 24th October 1962 Exhibit M. 28, and B. Das No. 9 Assistant Time Keeper from 31st October 1962 Exhibit M. 29.
- 5. There was no charge sheet or enquiry against Birenchi, as stated earlier, but it appears from the letter of 2nd November 1962 Exhibit M. 30 that his services were terminated without any charge sheet and enquiry with immediate effect on the ground that he took employment on 4th October 1962 on false representation although he left the collicry long ago and ceased to be a worker of the colliery for more than two years.
- 6. On the above grounds, therefore, the stand of the management was that the enquiry held was fair and proper and the finding of the enquiry officer was also not perverse but supported by the evidence at the enquiry and as such, the workmen having been found guilty at the domestic enquiry were rightly dismissed and, therefore, they were not entitled to any relief. The case of the management regarding Birenchi was that he was not an employee of the colliery and never worked as a sand cleaner, and, therefore, there was no question of charge sheet or enquiry against him.
- 7. On behalf of the workmen, the General Secretary of the Colliery Mazdoor Congress filed a statement of demands. The defence of the workmen was that Birenchi. Workman No. 8 concerned, did not leave his job as alleged by the company because from his leave records it will appear that he had availed leave in the year 1962 during the period 26th March 1962 to 16th April 1962 and Exhibit W. 3 dated 18th September 1962 will show that Birenchi's application for extension of leave was refused; that the General Manager was also told by Sadanand Mukherjee W.W. 1 when he was asked to produce Birenchi that Birenchi had taken leave with effect from 3rd September 1962 by applying in proper leave application form in a different department wherefrom the leave of such workmen are granted irrespective of any reference to the underground Time Keeper, meaning obviously Exhibit M. 2; that, therefore, there was no reason whatsoever for

suspecting the bona fide of the explanation of Sadananda but the General Manager caused the charge shects to be issued against workmen concerned which were false; that when Birenchi came back after the expiry of the leave he was allowed to resume his duty on 4th October 1962 and, therefore, there was no reason for the management to proceed with the charges brought against the workmen, but with a vindictive and malafide motive dismissed all the workmen; that while conducting the enquiry the management has also grossly and wantenly violated the principles of natural justice and allowed the enquiry to be held by the Agent himself who was virtually the complainant.

8. At the hearing, the company was represented by Sri S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate, and the workmen concerned were represented by Sri Nikhil Das, Advocate. The management examined two witnesses, M.W. 1 Chintannani Pandey, Welfare Officer of the Dhemo Main Colliery from 1st October 1962 and, M.W. 2, Amitabha Choudhury, a Hand Writing and Finger Print Expert to prove that the thumb impressions on the wage sheets Ext. M-31 series, against the name of Birenchi were not of Birenchi W.W. 2, the Workman No. 8 concerned. The workmen also examined three witnesses, namely, W.W. 1 Sadananda Mukherjee, Workmen concerned No. 1, W.W. 2 Birenchi Das, Workman Concerned No. 8 and Baldyanath Das, Workman concerned No. 9 in the schedule to the reference.

It may be mentioned, at this very stage, that Kailoo, brother of Birenchi W.W. 2, was not examined by any party either at the enquiry stage or before this Tribunal.

Both the parties filed documents, in support of their respective cases, which with mutual consent, were taken in evidence, and marked Exhibits on behalf of both parties. Documents filed by the workmen were marked Exhibits W. to W. 10 and the documents filed by the management were marked Exhibits M. to M. 34.

9. It will be useful if I mention here the two admitted facts, namely, (1) that Birenchi was not charge sheeted and, therefore, no enquiry was held in his case; but his services were terminated with effect from 2nd November 1962, and, (2) that Birenchi, W.W. 2, never received his wages himself, but on his behalf his brother Kailoo, who has not been examined by any party at any stage, has throughout received his wages by putting his own thumb impressions on being authorized by his brother Birenchi by a letter dated 15th November 1961 Exhibit W. 10.

It was, therefore, admitted by both sides that in this view the question whether the wage sheets Ext. M-31 series did not bear thumb impressions of Bironchi was of no importance, and, as such, it is not at all necessary to consider the evidence of the Hand Writing Expert and Thumb Impression Expert M.W. 2 on this question or of other persons on this question.

10. The only question for determination, therefore, is, whether the allegation of the management that Birenchi W.W. 2 never worked in the colliery and was not in the colliery from 1st January 1962 to 31st August 1962, which is the material period, is true?

On the above question the management relied on the enquiry papers and on the statements of the witnesses examined at the enquiry on behalf of the management, who were co-workers of Birenchi W.W. 2. These facts and circumstances prove, according to the management, that Birenchi was never in the colliery during the relevant period. As regards the workmen Nos. 1 to 7 and 9, whose admitted duties I have described earlier, the case of the management is that they entered into p conspiracy and they falsely marked throughout the relevant period Birenchi present in the attendance registers knowing that he was not present at the colliery and that in collusion and in pursuance of the conspiracy workmen Nos. 2 to 7, Attendance Clerks marked Birenchi present in the attendance registers during the material period from 1st January 1962 to 31st August 1962, the wage sheets on the basis of the workman No. 1 were prepared by W.W. 1 and payment was made on the basis of the same by Sri Baidyanath Das Workman No. 9, W.W. 3.

The defence of the workmen concerned, however, is that Birenchi was present throughout the relevant period at the colliery, and, therefore, he was correctly marked in the attendance registers as present during the relevant period and wage sheets were prepared accordingly and payments were made correctly and, as such, there was nothing wrong in it.

11. The Tribunal is not sitting in appeal over the enquiry officer's report and therefore it cannot go behind domestic enquiry and the finding arrived at as a result of the domestic enquiry unless it comes to the conclusion that the enquiry was not proper and fair or that the finding was perverse. On such a finding

alone it would be open to the Tribunal to come to its own conclusions on the evidence on the record. I would, therefore, address myself first to this question.

Birenchi No. 8

12. The case of Birenchi W.W. 2, concerned workmen No. 8, may be disposed of first. Admittedly he was never charge sheeted, and, therefore, no enquiry was made against him and his services were terminated on 2nd November 1962. In these circumstances, there is no doubt that the termination of services of Birenchi amounted to his dismissal and his dismissal was illegal without serving him with a charge sheet and without holding an enquiry into it.

It was contended on behalf of the management that when Birenchl was not working in the mine there was no question of serving a charge sheet on him and as such there was no question of his dismissal as he was not an employee of the colliery. I am unable to accept this contention as correct. Exhibit M. 30 is a letter dated 2-11-1962, which was issued by the Manager of the Colliery to Birenchi saying that it had been proved that Birenchi had left the colliery long ago and ceased to be a worker for more than 2 years and that he took employment on 4-10-1962 on false representation and, therefore, his employment was being terminated with immediate effect. From this letter Exhibit M. 30 it is, therefore, clear that Birenchi was an employee of the colliery, according to the management before two years and on the date his services were terminated he was under re-employment, rightly or wrongly, with effect from 4-10-1962. In these circumstances, the management should have served him with a charge sheet and hold an enquiry into the facts mentioned in the said letter Exhibit M. 30 and given a chance to the workmen Birenchi to prove if the allegation of the management was correct and if the allegation would have been proved to be correct then only it was open, after a fair and proper enquiry to terminate the services of the concerned workman Birenchi and then to dismiss him. This obvious step having been not taken, I cannot but hold that the termination of the service of Birenchi, which amounts to his dismissal, was illegal and is set saide. He is, therefore, reinstated to his former job as a Sand Cleaner with effect from the date of dismissal, with full back wages that is, with effect from 2-11-1962, with continuity of service.

Workmen Nos. 1 to 7 and 9

13. As regards the case of workmen Nos, 1 to 7 and 9 is concerned it depends more or less on the domestic enquiry. If I hold that the domestic enquiry was fair and proper and in accordance with the principles of natural justice and further that the finding of the Enquiry Officer was not perverse I do not think I will have jurisdiction to go behind the finding of the Enquiry Officer and to set aside the dismissal of these eight workmen concerned.

The workmen Nos. 2 to 7 are attendance Clerks and, therefore, their duty, as said earlier also, was to mark attendance. They were charge sheeted on 10-9-1962 under Exhibit M. 6. Their replies are dated 14-9-1962 Exhibits M. 7 to M. 12. They were charge sheeted, as appears from Exhibit M. 6, for having made false entries marking Birenchi present in the attendance register till 1-9-1962 although he left the colliery long ago and did not work in the colliery as Sand Cleaner and they caused false wage sheets to be made for payment of wages during all these period by him in the name of Birenchi.

Sadananda Mukherjee, Workmen No. 1 W.W. 1, Underground Time Keeper, was charge sheeted on 10-9-1962 as will appear from Exhibit M. 2 for making false entries showing Birenchi present in the Attendance Register Form C till 1-9-1962 although Birenchi had left the colliery long ago and for making false wage sheets. It was further said in the charge sheet Exhibit M. 2 that Sadananda Mukherjee was asked by General Manager to present Birenchi but Sadananda Mukherjee instead caused a false application to be made in his name in the Leave Form Register after 4-9-1962 with a back date of 3-9-1962 thereby causing financial loss to the company, and defrauded the company in conspiracy with others. Sadananda Mukherjee W.W. 1 in his reply Exhibit M. 3, denied the charge and said that he was a Time-Keeper and prepares wage sheets on the basis of attendances booked by attendance clerks and that it is incorrect to say that he caused a false application for leave in the name of Birenchi to be made and that when search for Birenchi was ordered by General Manager then only he came to know that Birenchi was on leave and this information could not be passed on to the General Manager as he was absent the same afternoon from his office. The original leave slip sanctioned on 3-9-1962 was handed over to the General Manager by the Leave Clerk. Therefore, the allegation that Sadananda Mukherjee caused financial loss

to the company was false and as such he says that he was not guilty of the charge.

Baidyanath Das, Workman No. 9, Assistant Time Keeper, was charge sheeted on 10-9-1962 under Exhibit M. 4 on the ground that Birenchi had not been working in the colllery since long and he never worked as a Sand Cleaner and even then the concerned workman made payments of wages week by week to a wrong person who was not Birenchi. In reply Exhibit M. 5 dated 14-9-1962 this workman denied the charges in toto.

- 14. As stated earlier in Para 3, a preliminary enquiry was held by the Agent at which three old sand cleaners, Badri Das, Sahi Ram and Maduli Das were examined and, thereafter, the Agent gave his preliminary enquiry report Exhibit M. 1 but it does not bear any date but most likely it is after 19-9-1962. The statements of Badri Das, Sahi Ram and Maduli Das, which were recorded in the handwriting of Ram Bilas Ojha, Miners' Contractor on 8-9-1962, as mentioned in the final enquiry report Exhibit M. 25 are also not on the record, and, therefore, no reference is made to them.
- 15. The final enquiry was held by the Agent himself on 3 dates, in the presence of the above eight workmen concerned, all of whom attended the enquiry.

On the first date, that is, on 27-9-1962 Sahi Ram was examined and cross examined, Exhibit M. 13; Maduli Ram was examined and cross examined by Sadananda Mukherjee W.W. 1 Exhibit M. 13(1); Ram Dhani Singh was examined Exhibit M. 14 but there is no mention if he was cross-examined; Gullo Das Exhibit M. 14(1) was examined, and cross examined; Badri Das was examined and cross-examined Exhibit M. 14(2); Sita Ram Singh was examined and cross examined Exhibit M. 15 and Sushil Kumar Ghatak was examined and cross examined Exhibit M. 16. These seven witnesses were examined by the management on 27-9-1962 and except Ram Dhani Singh and Gullo Das all others were cross examined. In Exhibit M. 13(1) it is specifically mentioned that Maduli Das was cross-examined by Sadananda Mukherjee on behalf of himself and on behalf of the other workmen concerned.

On the second day that is, on 1-10-1962 statements of some of the concerned workmen, namely, Balaram Chatterjee Exhibit M. 17; Nami Gopal Chatterjee Exhibit M. 18; Sadanand Das Exhibit M. 19; Pijus Acharjee Exhibit M. 21 were recorded. On that day the accused also examined defence witness Suresh Chandra Bhadra Exhibit M. 20 who was examined and to whom questions were put by Sadananda Mukherjee W.W. 1.

On the third day, that is, on 3-10-1962 statements of the other concerned workmen, namely, Baidvanath Das W.W. 3 Exhibit M. 21(1); and of Birenchi Exhibit M. 23, were recorded. The accused that day also examined one more defence witness, named Bhubaneshwar Das Exhibit M. 22. This Bhubaneshwar Das is brother of Kailoo and Birenchi. This fact is admitted by Birenchi also in his evidence Exhibit M. 23. It may be mentioned that Birenchi, although not charge sheeted and not accused, was produced as a defence witness by Sadananda Mukherjee, W.W. 1, workman No. 1, at the enquiry and, therefore, his statement Exhibit M. 23 was recorded.

- 16. It will thus be seen that the workmen concerned examined three defence witnesses, namely, Suresh Chandra Bhadra Exhibit M. 20; Birenchi, Workman No. 8 Exhibit M. 23, and Bhubneshwar Das Exhibit M. 22. After the examination of the remaining accused and the remaining defence witnesses Exhibits M. 22 and M. 23 above named, it appears that all the workmen, including Birenchi, were asked on 3rd October 1962 if they wanted to cross examine, as will appear from Exhibit M. 24. To this each of them answered in the negative. Thereafter, on 18th October 1962 the Agent, who held the enquiry, gave his enquiry report Exhibit M. 25, in which he considered the individual cases of the workmen concerned and after consideration of the evidence on the record he found that the charge had been proved against each of these eight workmen concerned against whom the charge sheet was submitted and an enquiry into which was held and, therefore, he dismissed all of them from service with effect from the dates mentioned before.
- 17. On the foregoing facts, Sri Nikhil Das, Advocate, appearing for the workmen, challenged the enquiry on the ground (a) that no opportunity, as will appear from Exhibit M. 24, had been given to the accused to cross examine the prosecution witnesses, because they were examined before the accused were examined and certainly before 3rd October 1962, (b) that the finding of the enquiry officer

was perverse inasmuch as the statements of S. K. Ghatak Exhibit M. 16 and of Sitaram Singh Exhibit M. 15 do not support the finding of the enquiry officer, (c) that the General Manager was not examined as a witness, (d) that the enquiry report is vitiated for relying on the statements of Yunus and Pravu Jadav who were not offered for cross-examination, and (e) that the Agent himself who was the real complainant held the enquiry and passed dismissal order on the basis of his own enquiry report.

In my opinion, there is no substance in any of the above objections.

Re; (a);

It will appear from Exhibits M. 13, M. 13(1), M. 14(1), M. 14(2), M. 15 and M. 16 that these witnesses, who were examined by the company were cross-examined on 27th September 1962 and it further appears from Exhibit M. 13(1) that Maduli Das was cross-examined by Sadananda Mukherjee and from this it can be inferred that the other prosecution witnesses, namely, Sahi Ram (Exhibit M. 13), Gulloo Das [Ext. M. 14(1)], Badri Das [Ext. M. 14(2)], Sitaram Singh (Exhibit M. 15) and S. K. Ghatak. (Exhibit M. 16), who were examined on 27th February 1962, were cross examined by Sadananda Mukherjee on behalf of himself and his co-workers. It may be remembered that from Exhibit M. 14, statement of Ram Dhani Singh, I could not find out if he was cross-examined or if his cross-examination was declined. But when all the other five witnesses examined by the management were cross-examined by the workmen concerned it may be taken that the cross-examination of this witness was declined or that it is mixed up with his examination in chief. The opportunity was there and, therefore, there is no prejudice.

It is, therefore, not correct to say that the workmen were not given an opportunity to cross examine the prosecution witnesses. The fact that on 3rd October 1962 the workmen were again asked by Exhibit M. 24, if they wanted to cross-examine, simply means this that the enquiry officer wanted to give further opportunity to the workmen to put questions, if any, to the company's witnesses and nothing more. But this would not vitiate the enquiry nor would it detract the value of the examination and cross-examination of the prosecution witnesses who were examined on 27th September 1962. The first objection, therefore, is rejected. Re: (b):

Sitaram Singh in his statement Exhibit M. 15 stated that there was one miner, namely, Birenchi about 3 or 4 years ago and this he says from memory, and, that he never saw Birenchi working as a Sand Cleaner. S. K. Ghatak, in his statement Exhibit M. 16, said that he did not know Birenchi as a Sand Cleaner and that no sand cleaning has been done in the back shift and further that he never came across the name of Birenchi while taking the attendance in the register. These are the statements which have been referred to by the Enquiry Officer in his report and these statements do support the case of the company that Birenchi was not working in the mine. The finding of the Enquiry Officer is amply supported by the statements of the prosecution witnesses, namely, Sahi Ram (Exhibit M. 13), Maduli Das, Exhibit M. 13(1), Ram Dhani Singh, Exhibit M. 14, Sitaram Exhibit M. 15, and Badri Das, Exhibit M. 14(2), and, therefore, it cannot be said that the finding is perverse. The second objection is also rejected.

Re: (c):

Non-examination of the Manager does not vitiate the enquiry. It is open to the management to examine such witnesses as they like.

Re: (d):

It was argued by Shri Nikhil Das, on behalf of the workmen, that the Enquiry Officer has relied on the evidence of Mohd. Yunus and Pravu Jadab, who were not offered for cross-examination to the accused but this criticism is not correct, because it appears from the report Exhibit M. 25 itself that subsequently the enquiry officer does mention that he is leaving Yunus's statement and the written statements of the villagers out of consideration and, therefore, there is no question of the accused having no opportunity to cross-examine them. This objection, also, therefore, does not stand.

Re; (e):

As regards the last objection that the Agent who was the real complainant held the enquiry and he passed the order of dismissal on the basis of his own enquiry report and, therefore, the dismissal is illegal, Shri Nikhil Das, was not able to show to me any rule or authority to support his contention that if the Agent is the complainant he has no power to hold the enquiry himself and that

If he does hold the enquiry he cannot himself pass an order of dismissal. I am satisfied on the evidence that the Agent was free from bias or any malatide motive. It must, therefore, he held that there is no merit in this objection also.

- 18. For the reasons given above, I hold that the enquiry was fair and proper and in accordance with the principles of natural justice and quite valid and that the enquiry report is not perverse at all.
- 19. On the above finding, therefore, as stated earlier, the Tribunal has no power to sit in appeal over the finding arrived at by the enquiry officer at the domestic enquiry and to come to its own conclusions. I may mention that in the written statement there was absolutely no allegation about any of the aforesaid objections challenging the enquiry. The only allegation made by workmen was in para 25 in which it was said that while conducting the enquiry the management grossly and wantonly violated the principles of natural justice and they have allowed the enquiry to be held by the officer who was in charge of imposing punishment. None of the grounds urged before me for saying that the enquiry was not fair and was illegal have been stated at all. But even then, I have considered them and on consideration of the same I find that there is no merit in any of them.
- 20. I may also mention that in his statement recorded on 3rd October 1962 at the enquiry Exhibit M. 23, Birenchi stated that he was working as Sand Cleaner in the second shift for the last 8 months and before that he used to work as a miner and he went on leave from 3rd September 1962 and before this also he went on leave in March 1962. The question of leave from 3rd September 1962 may now be considered whether it is true. The Leave Form No. 6160 which is in the Leave Form Register Exhibit M. 32, leaves no manner of doubt that it is fabricated. It is dated 3rd September 1962, whereas, immediately the earlier one No. 6159 is dated 4th September 1962 and immediately the subsequent one No. 6161 is dated 7th September 1962 and immediately the subsequent one No. 6161 to 6177 are dated 7th September 1962. All the subsequent applications Nos. 6161 to 6177 are dated 7th September 1962 and as such No. 6160 could not possibly come before 7th September 1962 on 3rd September 1962. That clearly supports the case of the management that it was got up by Sadananda Mukherjee W.W. 1 to explain the presence of Birenchi in the mine before, when he was asked by the General Manager to produce Birenchi before him.

Furthermore, how is it that if Birenchi was in the mine why was he not produced, as ordered by the manager on 1st September 1962? There is no satisfactory explanation to explain this. The leave story is false and a got up one. Taking into consideration all these circumstances, there is no doubt that the concerned Workmen Nos. 1 to 7 and 9, against whom charge sheets were issued and enquiry was made, were rightly found guilty of the charges against them. The enquiry officer in his report Exhibit M. 25 has definitely found that Birenchi W.W. 2 never worked in the Colliery from January 1962 to 31st August 1962 and he has further found that the leave application dated 3rd September 1962 Exhibit M. 2 was forged. On behalf of the workmen, Leave Applications of other persons were filed Exhibits W. to W. 2 to show that that is the leave application Form. This fact is not denied, but the only question is whether the leave Form No. 6160 dated 3rd September is genuine. For the reasons given above, I have no manner of doubt whatsoever that it has rightly been found by the Enquiry Officer to have been forged by Sadananda Mukherjee W.W. 1 to cover up his fault as he in conspiracy with the others used to get wage sheets prepared on the basis of which payments used to be made by Baidyanath Das Workman No. 9 W.W. 3, in respect of Birenchi W.W. 2.

- 21. The result, therefore, is that the reference is answered partly in favour of the workmen and partly in favour of the management in the manner indicated below:
- (1) The dismissal of Sri Birenchi, Sand Cleaner, Workman No. 8 with effect from 2nd November 1962, under Exhibit M. 30, was not legal and proper and, therefore, his dismissal is set aside and he is reinstated to his former job of Sand Cleaner with full back wages from 2nd November 1962 till the date of his reinstatement with continuity of service.
- (2) The dismissal of Workmen Nos. 1 to 7 and 9, namely, of (1) Sadananda Mukherjee, (2) Sudhir Chatterjee, (3) Balaram Chatterjee, (4) Bhuban Mukherjee, (5) N. G. Chattaraj. (6) Sadananda Das, (7) Pijush Acharjee and (9) Sri Baldyanath Das, was legal and proper and justified, and, therefore, they are not entitled to any relief.

22. This is the award which I make and submit to the Government of Incia under Section 15 of the Act.

Dhanbad.

The 31st December, 1964

(Sd.) RAJ KISHORE PRASAD,
Presiding Officer,
Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal,
Dhanbad.

[No. 6/21/62-LR.II.]

New Delhi, the 3rd February 1965

S.O. 529.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Hyderabad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Kothagudium and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 30th January, 1965.

BEFORE THE HON'BLE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, ANDHRA PRADESH, HYDERABAD

PRESENT:

Dr. Mir Siadat Ali Khan, M.A., LL.B., Fasel (Osm); B.C.L., (Oxon); D.Phil., (Oxon); Bar-at-Law; (Lincoln's Inn) (London); Chairman, Industrial Tribunal, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE NO. 18 OF 1964

BETWEEN:

The Workmen of the Singareni Collieries Company Ltd., Kothagudem.

AND

The Employers of the Singareni Collieries Company Ltd., Kothagudem,

APPEARANCES:

Sri S. Narayana Reddy, General Secretary, Andhra Pradesh Colliery Mazdoor Sangh.—for Workmen.

Messrs, B. G. Pradhan, Dy. General Manager, N. Bhaskarachary, P.L.M., and T. Govardhan Rao, Jr P.L.M., representatives of the Employers.

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Employment, New Deshi, by Letter No. 7/19/63-LRII, dated 5th May 1964, referred the industrial dispute between the employers of the Singareni Collieries Company Limited. Kothagudem, and their workmen for adjudication with the following issues framed in the schedule to the order of reference, viz.

- "Having regard to the nature of duties actually performed by Shri K.
 Suryanarayana, of General Accounts Section, Head Office, whether
 the management is justified in not allowing to him the grade of pay
 as allowed to Cash Book Clerks. If not, to what relief is the workman entitled and from what date?"
- 2. The reference was registered here as industrial dispute No. 18 of 1964. Both the parties delayed filing their respective statements. The claims statement was not received before 28th July 1964 and the counter was received only on 31st August 1964. Even after that, the parties were not ready for prosecuting the case and asked for adjournments. However, I am happy to note that, as urged by me, a compromise has been reached and is filed today. The compromise is as follows:—

"Terms of Settlement:

- (i) It has been agreed that Mr. K. Suryanarayana be promoted as a Sr. Clerk with effect from 1st January 1960 on a commencing salary of Rs. 110 per mensem in the grade of Rs. 70—5—90—102—EB—8—158.
- (ii) The dispute stands settled fully and completely.".

3. First I satisfied myself that the compromise was genuinely entered into by the representatives of the parties. Next, I considered whether it meets the terms of reference. Obviously it does. The first issue was on the demand for the grade of Rs. 70—158 for the workman. This has been conceded. Second issue was as to the date from which it should be given. The compromise discloses that it has been agreed to give it retrospectively from 1st of January 1960. Hence it is clear that both the issues have been conceded by the Employer. As the compromise is in the interest of the workman and peace in the industry, I allow it. Let it be recorded in the file.

Report accordingly to the Government, given under my hand the seal of the Court, this the 22nd Day of January 1965.

M. S. ALI KHAN. Industrial Tribunal.

[No. 7/19 63-LR. II.]

S.O. 530.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Kotma Colliery of Messrs Associated Cement Companies Limited, Post Office Kotma Colliery, District Shahdol (Madhya Pradesh) and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 1st February, 1965.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT BOMBAY In the matter of

REFERENCE No. C.G.I.T. 10 of 1964

AND

REFERENCE No. C.G.I.T. 26 of 1964

Between

The Associated Cement Companies Ltd., Kotma Colliery, P.O. Kotma Colliery

AND

Its Workmen as represented by Kotma Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, P.O. Kotma Colliery.

PRESENT:

Shri Salim M. Merchant, Presiding Officer.

Dated at Bombay this 27th day of January 1965

Industry: Coal Mining.

STATE: Madhya Pradesh.

AWARD

The Central Government, by the following two orders made in exercise of the powers contained in Clause (d) of Sub-Section 1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act 14 of 1947), was pleased to refer the two disputes mentioned above to me for adjudication:

Serial No.	Order No. and date				1	Noti- I leation No.	Part II,	of India
I,	5/2/64 LRII dated 31-1-1964		•		•	S.O. 491	8-2-64	649
2.	5/2/64 LRII dated 12-2-1964	4	٠	•	•	S.O. 641	22-2-64	864

^{2.} By their joint application dated 18th January 1965, the parties above named have applied for permission to withdraw these two disputes, copy of which is enclosed herewith and marked annexure No. 1 which shall form part of this Award.

- 3. The subject matters of each of these two disputes have been stated in the Schedule annexed to the respective orders, which are reproduced in the Annexure 'A' to the Annexure No. 1 hereto.
- 4. As I am satisfied that it would be in the interest of industrial peace to allow the application of the parties, I allow the dispute to be withdrawn in terms recorded in Annexure No. 1. I am making a single award in these two disputes as there is a single joint application of the parties.
 - 5. No order as to costs.

(Sd.) SALIM M. MERCHANT,
Presiding Officer.

ANNEXURE I

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BOMBAY

In the matter of Reference Nos. CGIT 10 of 1964 and CGIT 26 of 1964.

Between

The Associated Cement Companies Ltd., Kotma Colliery, P.O. Kotma Colliery

And

Its Workmen as represented by Kotma Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, P.O.. Kotma Colliery.

May it please the Hon'ble Tribunal:

Whereas the Central Government referred various disputes as are specified in the following Notifications (copies of which are annexed hereto and marked collectively as Annexure 'A') between The Associated Cement Companies Ltd., Kotma Colliery, P.O. Kotma Colliery (hereinafter referred to as the 'Company') and its Workmen as represented by the Kotma Colliery Mazdoor Sangh (hereinafter referred to as the 'Sangh') under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, for adjudication to this Hon'ble Tribunal;

Serial No.	Governme	nt of Inc loyment (Noti- fication No.	Published in Gazette of India, Part II, Sec. 3(ii)				
								Date	Page
Ι.	30-1-1964						S.O. 491	8-2-64	649
2.	12-2-1964	-		•	-		S.O. 641	22-2-64	864

^{2.} And whereas the said disputes are pending adjudication before this Hon'ble Tribunal:

^{3.} And whereas after discussion an understanding was reached to refer all the disputes as are specified in the Notifications referred to in paragraph 1 above, for arbitration under Section 10-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, to Shri F. Jeejeebhoy, Ex-President of the Labour Appellate Tribunal of India, residing at 'Firuz Ara', Churchgate Reclamation Bombay-1.

^{4.} And whereas it was agreed that the parties will apply to this Hon'ble Tribunal for permission to withdraw all the disputes as are covered in the Notifications referred to in paragraph 1 above;

Dated at Katni this 18th day of January 1965.

For and on behalf of the Kotma Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, P.O. Kotma Colliery.

For and on behalf of the Associated Cement Companies Ltd., Kotma Colliery, P.O. Kotma Colliery.

Sd./- D. K. CHATURVEDI,

Manager, Kotma Colliery.

(Sd.) K. B. CHOUGULE,

President.

ANNEXURE A (Collectively)
MINISTRY OF LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT

Order

New Delhi, the 31st January 1964

S.O. 491.—Whereas, the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Employers in relation to the Kotma Colliery of Messrs Associated Cement Cos. Ltd., and their Workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed.

And, whereas, the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial 'Iribunal, Bombay, constituted under Section 7A of the said Act,

SCHEDULE

Whether the Miners employed in Kotma Colliery were required to push empty tubs beyond 500 feet? If so, to what relief are they entitled and from what date?

(Sd.) A. L. Handa, Under Secy.

(5-2-64--LR. II.)

MINISTRY OF LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT

ORDER

New Delhi, the 12th February, 1964

S.O. 641.—Whereas, the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Kotma Collicry of Messrs Associated Cement Companies Limited, and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas, the Central Government Considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of subsection (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Dispute Act 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, constituted under Section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the demand of the workers for allowing accumulation and carrying forward of sick leave in justified? If so, to what extent?

(Sd.) A. L. HANDA, Under Sccy. (5-2-64-LR. II.)

[No. 5/2/64-LR. II.]

S.O. 531.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Jambad Colliery of Messrs, North Adjai Jambad Coal Company (Private) Limited and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 30th January, 1965.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL: CALCUTTA REFERENCE No. 59 of 1964.

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Jambad Colliery of M/s. North Adjai Jambad Coal Company (P) Ltd.,

AND

Their workmen.

PRESENT:

Shri L. P. DAVE-Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

Shri G. L. Ganguli, On behalf of employers

Labour Adviser.

On behalf of

workmen

Shri Phani Ghose.

STATE: West Bengal.

INDUSTRY: Coal Mines.

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Employment, by their order No. 6/54/64-LRII dated 15-9-1964, have referred the industrial dispute existing between the employers in relation to the Jambad Colliery and their workmen in respect of the question whether the termination of services of Shri Bholanath Palit by the management through its letter dated the 23rd May 1964 was justified and if not, to what relief the workman was entitled, for adjudication to this Tribunal.

2. Notices were issued to the parties calling upon the workmen to file written statement within ten days, and directing the employers to submit their written statement within ten days of the receipt by them of the written statement of the workmen. In response to this notice, the workmen duly filed their written statement. The management however did not do so. The matter was then fixed for hearing on 11-12-1964 but in the notice issued to the parties it was mentioned that the employers had not so far filed their written statement but if they wanted to do so, it would be accepted as a special case if it was filed on or before 30-11-1964 and that it would not be accepted after this date. No written statement was however filed either on or before 30-11-1964 or on or before the date of hearing. The date of hearing which was originally fixed for 11-12-1964 was changed to 26-12-1964 and the parties were informed about it. On 26-12-1964 no one appeared on behalf of the employers. Shri Ghose appearing on behalf of the workmen asked for an adjournment and the matter was adjourned to 15-1-1965. This date was changed to 19-1-1965 on which date no one at first appeared on behalf of the employers. After waiting for some time, hearing of the case was started and a witness was being examined. At that time, one Shri Das Gupta appeared and stated that he was appearing on behalf of employers and asked for an adjournment. He had however not brought any letter of authority. The adjournment was however granted so that the employers may not be denied the opportunity of being heard. The matter was adjourned to 21-1-1965. On this day, Shri Ganguly appeared neard. The matter was adjourned to 21-1-1905. On this day, Shri Ganguly appeared on behalf of the employers and produced a written statement and orally requested that this may be accepted. When it was pointed out to him that sufficient opportunity had already been given to the employers to do so and that unless he could convince the Tribunal that he was prevented for sufficient cause from filling the written statement, it would be difficult to accept it. He then said that papers were lying with some person and that the proprietor did not know about it. There was however nothing to support this oral allegation. At least an

affidavit should have been filed in support of this allegation but nothing was done and hence the written statement was not accepted. Shri Ganguly was however allowed to appear. He was also allowed to file certain documents and also to cross examine the witness of the workmen. In doing so, he traversed the points which he had raised in the written statement.

- 3. The present dispute relates to the termination of service of one Shri Bholanath-Palit who was admittedly working as an Assistant Cashier in the colliery for quite a number of years. He was served with a letter on 23-5-1964 to the effect that his services were no longer required and he should take his full and final payment from the cashier. He was also paid a sum of Rs. 2.500/- and odd on that day. On the very next day, he wrote a letter to the management stating that the language of the letter was not clear enough to terminate his services. He sent a copy of this letter to the Colliery Mazdoor Congress who addressed a letter to the Conciliation Officer on 25-5-1964. Thereupon conciliation proceedings were held but ended in failure and thereafter the matter was referred for adjudication to this Tribunal.
- 4. Before I come to the merits, I may refer to some technical or legal objections which have been raised on behalf of the employers both in the written statement and also by Shri Ganguly in his arguments. It was firstly contended on their behalf that the order of Reference was bad in law inasmuch as it was not in conformity with any prescribed form under Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules, 1957. Shri Ganguly was asked to point out any Rule or any Form prescribed by these Rules but he was unable to do so and he withdrew his contention at the time of arguments.
- 5. It was then contended that the name of the Union had not been mentioned in the body of the order of Reference and as such it could not be said to be an industrial dispute. It was further contended that the workman concerned was an Assistant Cashier and could not be described as mazdoor and hence he could not be a member of the Colliery Mazdoor Congress which had taken up his cause and lastly that the dispute could not be an industrial dispute as it could not be sponsored by the Colliery Mazdoor Congress which must give strict proof about its rights to sponsor the case of the concerned workmen. I am unable to accept any of these contentions.
- 6. It is not necessary that the name of the Union should be mentioned in the body of the order of Reference. The Government have got to be satisfied about the existence of an industrial dispute and on their being so satisfied, they can make an order of Reference, as they have done in the present case. The party to the dispute would be the workmen of the colliery and not an Union. The Union would appear as representing the workmen; but the Union as such would not be a party. That being so, the name of the Union would not and could not appear in the body of the order of Reference. It may be noted here that a copy of the order of Reference has been endorsed by the Government to the Colliery Mazdoor Congress because they had taken up the matter in conciliation as representing the workmen of the colliery.
- 7. It was then said that the workman concerned in this dispute, namely Bholanath Palit, was an Assistant Cashier and he could not be described as a Mazdoor and hence he could not be a member of the Colliery Mazdoor Congress. This contention presupposes that only persons doing manual work could join the Union and a clerk could not do so. Merely because a Trade Union is described as Colliery Mazdoor Congress, it does not mean that it represents only manual workers or that only manual workers could be members thereof. The word "Mazdoor" used in the Colliery Mazdoor Congress cannot be construed literally while considering whether non-manual workers could or could not be members of the Union.
- 8. The concerned workman has been examined as a witness and he has stated an oath that he was a member of the Colliery Mazdoor Congress from before the termination of his services. He has also said on oath that the other clerks of the Colliery are also members of the Union. I believe him. There is no evidence to the contrary. I am satisfied that clerks could and did become members of the Colliery Mazdoor Congress which had every right to take up the case of one of its members. It may be noted at this stage that immediately on termination of his service, Shri Palit approached the Colliery Mazdoor Congress who took up the matter before the Conciliation Officer. The employers never challenged the right of this Union to raise this dispute before the Conciliation Officer. On the whole, I am satisfied that Colliery Mazdoor Congress had every right to raise the present

dispute and they had legitimately done so and that it is not an individual dispute but is an industrial dispute.

- 9. Coming to the merits of the case, Palit's services were admittedly terminated by a letter dated 23-5-1964. This letter is a very short one and it mentions "We are sorry to inform you that your service is no longer required by the Company. Please take your full and final payment from Cashier." The workmen's case is that this was a case of retrenchment and this workman was retrenched without paying him retrenchment compensation as required by Section 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act.
- 10. In their written statement, the employers have alleged that the concerned workman was over 70 years of age; that he was physically and mentally incapable and infirm to continue his usual work and hence he desired to retire from services and so the company issued him the above letter which the workman accepted and the workman was also paid a total amount of Rs. 2508.80 paise as stated in the written statement. In other words, the employers' case as made out in the written statement is that it was a case of voluntary retirement and that the workman voluntarily retired because he was old and was not able to work. There is not an iota of evidence in support of this allegation. Not only has the workman denied this in his deposition but he had challenged the termination of his services almost immediately thereafter. Further, if the workman wanted to retire voluntarily, the natural course would have been to have taken from him a letter of resignation or a letter showing his intention to retire. No letter was taken from him; but on the contrary, the employers gave him a letter stating that his services were no longer required. Even in this letter, it was not mentioned that the workman wanted to retire voluntarily.
- 11. It is important to note here that in the conciliation proceedings the employers had taken up a different stand. They had then contended that their action in terminating the workman's services was in accordance with clause 40 of the Standing Orders for the Coal Mining Industry as certified by the Appellate Authority on 1-4-1950 wherein it had been provided that workman might be retired after attaining the age of 60 years. It was further contended that the termination of services after paying him all his dues was legal and justified. In other words, the employers had not alleged at that stage that the workman wanted to retire voluntarily. On the contrary, they had urged that their action in terminating his services was in accordance with Standing Orders and was legal and justified.
- 12. On the whole, I do not believe the employers' case that the workman wanted to retire voluntarily or that it was not a case of termination of services by the employers but was a case of voluntary retirement. In my opinion, it was a case where it was the employers who terminated the services of the workman.
- 13. I may mention at this stage that the allegation of the employers before the Conciliation Officer that their action in terminating the service was in accordance with clause 40 of the Standing Orders is not correct. The Standing Orders contain only 28 clauses and no more; that is, there is no clause like clause 40. There is also no clause in the Standing Orders under which the employers had a right to retire a workman on his attaining the age of 60 years.
- 14. Incidentally I may mention here that before the Conciliation Officer the employers had stated, that they had paid Rs. 2258-80 paise to the workman in full and final settlement of all his dues and that he was thereafter paid a sum of Rs. 300/- towards the cost of transport of his personal effects. Actually, the workman was paid a total sum of Rs. 2508-80 paise, of which Rs. 250/- was towards the cost of transport and all the entire amount was paid on the very day. In other words, he was paid Rs. 250/- and not Rs. 300/- for transport charges; and this amount was paid along with the other amount and not later on. The employers had thus made an incorrect statement before the Conciliation Officer.
- 15. From the vouchers and the deposition of the workman it appears that he was paid a total sum of Rs. 2508 80 paise on 23-5-1964. This amount was made up not the following items:—
 - (1) Rs. 1255.66 ... Salary due from May 1963 to November 1963.
 - (2) Rs. 538·14 ... Salary for the months of April to June 1964.
 - (3) Rs. 250·00 .. Paid as Travelling charges and transport costs.
 - (4) Rs. 465:00 ... Bonus for the 4 quarters of 1963 and the quarter ending 31-3-1964.

- 16. It would appear from this that the workman was paid all the arrears of his salaries, bonus etc. and in addition he was paid one month's notice pay. (He was discharged on 23-5-1964 but he was paid for the month of June 1964 also).
- 17. The voucher under which he was paid his salary for the months of April to June 1964 mentions that he was paid the amount in full and final settlement of his salary due including one month's notice pay. This does not mean that he accepted the amount in full and final settlement of all his dues or all his claims. The amount was paid in full and final settlement of his salary dues. I am therefore not satisfied that the amount was paid in full and final settlement of all his dues.
- 18. Apart from this, when a payment of this type is made, we cannot always take the recitals of the receipt as showing the correct facts. The employer is always in a position to dominate over the clerks. It may then be noted that in this particular case salary for 8 or 9 months was in arrears. The clerk would be in need of money and would naturally sign any receipt in order to get money. The fact that he raised a protest the very next day shows that he had not accepted the amount in full and final settlement of all his dues; otherwise he would not have raised the dispute immediately.
- 19. It was then urged that it was not a case of retrenchment as it was not shown that this clerk was in excess of the requirement of the employers. On the other hand, it was urged on behalf of the workmen that the case was covered by the definition of retrenchment given under Section 2(00) of the Industrial Disputes Act.
- 20. The workman concerned has stated that after he was discharged from services the work he was doing has been distributed among the other workers. Not a single question has been put in cross examination challenging this statement. This would show that it was a case of retrenchment as the clerk was found to be superfluous.
- 21. I need not however give a definite finding as to whether this is a case of retrenchment or not. If it was a case of retrenchment, it would be a case to which Section 25F of Industrial Dispute Act would apply. Under that section, certain conditions were necessary to be fulfilled before a person could be retrenched and one of the conditions was payment to the workman of compensation equal to 15 days' salary for each year's service. This has admittedly not been paid and hence if it was a case of retrenchment, it was not legal.
- 22. If however we take it that it was not a case of retrenchment and it is a case purely of termination of service, it would be a sort of dismissal without any chargesheet, without any inquiry and without assigning any reasons. As I mentioned above, the employers' case is that it was a case of voluntary retirement but I do not believe that case. In other words, even if this was not a case of retrenchment and even it is a case of dismissal or termination of service, it is illegal and not justified in law.
- 23. In any case, therefore, I hold that the termination of service of the workman concerned was not justified. He is therefore entitled to be reinstated with payment of full back wages. It is accordingly ordered that the management shall reinstate the workman Shei Bholanath Palit in his former job with payment of full back wages, that is he will be paid all his dues including bonus etc. as if he has been in service all the time. I further direct that the management shall pay Rs. 200/- as costs of this reference to the Union.

I pass my award accordingly.

Dated, the 22nd January 1965.

(Sd.) L. P. DAVE,

Presiding Officer.

[No. 6/54/64-LR.II.]

S.O. 532.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 04 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Stjua, Bhelatand, Malkera-Choitudih, Jamadoba, 6 and 7 pits Collieries and Coal Washing Plant at Jamadoba, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 27th January 1965.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, DHANBAD

In the matter of a Reference under Section 10(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 47).

REFERENCE No. 46 of 1964

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to Sijua, Bhelatand, Malkera-Choitudih, Jamadoba, 6 and 7 Pits Collieries and Coal Washing Plant at Jamadoba

AND

Their workmen represented by the Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, Katras Road, Dhanbad.

PRESENT:

Shri Raj Kishore Prasad, M.A., B.L.,—Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

For the Employers: Sarvashree S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate, G. Prasad, Chief Personel Officer, and S. N. Singh, Legal Assistant.

For the workmen: Shri S. Das Gupta, Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh,

STATE: Bihar Industry: Coal.

Dhanbad, dated the 24th December, 1964

AWARD

By its Order No. 8/189/63-LR II dated the 10th March, 1964, Ministry of Labour & Employment, Government of India, referred the present industrial dispute for adjudication to the Tribunal at Calcutta, which was received by it on 21st March, 1964. But, subsequently, by an order dated the 18th April, 1964, the Ministry transferred it to this Tribunal for adjudication to proceed with the proceedings from the stage at which they are transferred to it and to dispose it of according to law. It was received by this Tribunal on 22nd April, 1964.

2. The Ministry under Section 10(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, on the joint application of both the parties, referred for adjudication, as stated above first to the Calcutta Tribunal and then subsequently transferred the same to this Tribunal an industrial dispute existing between the employers in relation to Sijua. Bhelatand, Malkera-Choitudih, Jamadoba, 6 & 7 Pits Collieries and Coal Washing Plant at Jamadoba and their workmen, represented by Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, in respect of the matters specified below:

SCHEDULE

- "1. Whether the demand of the workmen for payment at 1½ times for Sunday work and/or for work on the new days of rest arising out of the power shortage and consequent staggering of the rest day in the colliery and washing plant of Messrs. Tata Iron and Steel Co. Ltd. is justified. If so, what relief the workmen are entitled to?
 - Whether the refusal of the management to provide work on the first new rest day is justified. If not, what relief the workmen are entitled to?"
- 3. On behalf of the workmen, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, represented by Shri S. Das Gupta, Secretary, filed a written statement on 11th May 1964. In the written statement their main case was that there was a long standing practice that whenever a morth may be such as the work on a Sunday, he is given normally an alternative day of rest during the week and aid at 1½ times wages for working on Sunday in terms of the Conciliation Board's award read with Joshi Agreement; that, in the Instance case, on 11th September 1963 the management notified that due to acute shortage of power the rest days of the workers would be staggered and the working of the collieries would be as mentioned in Para 7 of the written statement commencing on the 15th September, 1963, and the workers were further informed by the same notice that payment of wages at 1½ times will thus be made not for Sunday, which now becomes a normal working day, but on the days of rest mentioned against each colliery in Ext. M.1 and also in para 7 of the written statement; that the management's proposals for non-payment of extra wages for Sunday came to the knowledge of the Union's representatives only when they received a copy of the notice dated 10th September, 1963, Exhibit M read with its Annexure Exhibit M. 1 and then the Union Secretary immediately contacted the management but the management did not agree, with the result that the workmen of Siyua. Bhelatund

and Malkera-Choitudih Collierics refused to work on 15th September, 1963; that the miners of Jamadoba Colliery could be persuaded to go down after a few hours and almost all the miners of 6 and 7 Pits Colliery refrained from work during the first, second and third shifts, and such miners reported for work on next Tuesday, i.e. on 17th September, 1963; but their attendance was not marked by the management; that, subsequently, negotiations were held and a settlement was arrived at on 18th September, 1963, but the dispute about payment of 1½ times both for Sunday, the usual rest day, and also for the new rest day was not agreed upon between Sri R. H. Modi and Sri Kanti Mehta and, therefore, the matter was referred to the Tribunal; that the workmen, therefore, prayed that 1½ times wages be paid for working on 15th September, 1963, which was a Sunday and on subsequent Sundays and 1½ times wages be further paid to those workmen who worked on each of the substituted rest day.

- 4. The company filed its written statement on 3rd July, 1964. Its main defence, in short, was that the workmen were entitled, if they work on a rest day, at 1½ times the normal wages but they were not entitled to another 1½ times wages for Sunday 15th September, 1963, because it had become a normal working day and, as such, the claim of the workmen that they should be paid 1½ times for working on Sunday, 15th September 1963, which had become a normal working day in addition to 1½ times for working on substituted rest day under the new schedule was unjustified and unreasonable. The management, further, contended, in the course of the argument, that the workmen were bound by the agreement dated 18th September, 1963, entered into between Colliery Mazdoor Sangh and the management. Exhibit M. 4. The further case of the management was that as 17th September. 1963, was the new substituted rest day, the workers were not given any work and as such they were not entitled to any relief on that account.
- 5. The management was represented by Sarvashree S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate, G. Prasad, Chief Personnel Officer, and S. N. Singh, Legal Assistant. The workmen were represented by Sri S. Das Gupta, Sccretary of the Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, which represented the workmen concerned.
- 6. On behalf of the management, four witnesses were examined, namely, M.W. 1, S. N. Panday, Welfare Officer, Jamadoba Colliery; M.W. 2 P. Chakravarty, Attendance Clerk in A Shift of 15th September 1963 of 6 and 7 Pits Colliery; M.W. 3 S. Banerjee, Attendance Clerk of 6 and 7 Pits in 2nd shift of 15th September 1963, and M.W. 4 G. B. Singh, Attendance Clerk of 6 and 7 Pits in the C shift of 15th September, 1963.
- 7. On behalf of the workmen, two witnesses were examined, namely, W.W. 1 G. Singh, an employee of the Tata Collieries since 1943 and Treasurer of the Colliery Mazdoor Sangh and W.W. 2 K. K. Sirkar, Assistant Secretary of the Local Branch of the Colliery Mazdoor Sangh.
- 8. Both the parties filed documents which, with mutual consent, were taken in evidence and marked Exhibits in the case. Documents filed by management were marked Exhibits M to M, 5 and documents filed by the workmen were marked Exhibit W, to W, 3.
- 9. It may be stated at the outset that Sri Mukherjee, on behalf of the management, conceded that on a rest day workers, if they work, get wages at 1½ times and, therefore, if Sunday is a rest day certainly workers if they work on that Sunday will get their wages at 1½ times. There is, therefore, no dispute on this part of the case of the workmen. The only dispute between the parties is, whether, in the instant case, on the evidence to be referred to hereinafter. Sunday, which was the usual rest day, continued to be the normal rest day or it was substituted by a staggered rest day as mentioned in Exhibit M. 1, which provides different days of rest days for different collieries and Sunday is the rest day for Digwadih Colliery only with which we are not concerned here.
- 10. In order to decide this question it is necessary to state briefly the facts leading to the present dispute.

The material facts, put briefly, are these:

(a) on 10th September 1963 the C.M.E. issued a notice to all the managers etc of all the collieries. Exhibit M, informing that due to heavy percolation of water power off-take of the collieries has since reached its peak and, therefore, the power house is now no more able to cope with the additional requirements and further running the power house in the over-loaded condition may also result in breakdown of the power station and thereby totally cutting off the power supply,

and as such, it has been decided in order to even-out the load, "to stagger the days of rest at our 6 collieries and the Washery as per draft notice enclosed Exhibit M. 1. The workers would, therefore, be entitled to 1½ times wages where applicable only if they are called to work on the days of rest as now fixed."

(b) The draft notice, Exhibit M. 1, referred to in Exhibit M, says that the working of the collieries will be on the schedule mentioned therein commencing from week ending 21st September 1963 i.e. from 15th September 1963, and, thereafter, the different collieries and their working days and their staggered rest days are mentioned in the following terms:

	I Golliery		II Working days				 	III Rest Day
1. 2. 3.	Dígwadih	:	Monday to Saturday Tuesday to Sunday Wednesday to Monday		:	,		Sunday Monday Tuesday
4. 5. 6. 7.	Sijua Malkera-Chtd. Bhelatand Coal Washing Plant	•	Thursday to Tuesday Friday to Wednesday Saturday to Thursday Friday to Wednesday	:				Wednesday Thursday Friday Saturday.''

Thereafter, it is mentioned that in the circumstances it will be seen that the rest days of the workers which happens normally to be Sunday has been staggered which has become essential to maintain production on available power, and, therefore, it may be noted that payment of 1½ times where applicable will thus be not for Sunday which now becomes a normal working day but on the days of rest mentioned above in this notice Exhibit M. 1 against each colliery.

- (c) On 12th September 1963 the C.M.E. sent a letter Exhibit W. 2 to Sri S. P. Singh, Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, in which he said inter alia that:
 - "Sunday which hitherto used to be the rest day for all our workers shall be substituted wherever necessary. A detailed notice is being sent to you on the subject. Other conditions shall be maintained as usual. We are giving this information to you so that you may keep your Branches informed that in case of need they may be able to explain the position to the workers,"
- (d) On 14th September. 1963. Sri Das Gupta, Secretary of the Union, sent a letter to the Acting C.M.E. Exhibit M. 2 protesting against the staggered rest day and saying that:
 - "The unanimous decision of the meeting (i.e. of the workers) was that the workers should not work on Sundays if they were not assured of the Sunday extra allowance. Further it was decided that on the different rest days intimated the workmen should report for duty as usual and they should be paid lay-off compensation if they are not offered work on such days."

and, therefore, a request was made to agreed to pay Sunday extra allowance to all workmen who may work on Sundays.

- (e) On 16th September, 1963, the Acting C.M.E. sent a reply to the Secretary of the Union Exhibit M. 3 saying that it was not possible for the management to accept the workers' request to pay 1½ times for working on Sunday and also to pay further 1½ times, in addition, if the workers were called upon to work on new rest days for this purpose. He said further that as Sunday wherever mentioned, is a normal working day till further intimation, payment at 1½ times will be made only to those workers who are called to work on the rest day under the new arrangement. It was also said that the Secretary of the Union acted illegally in advising the workers to go on illegal strike.
- (f) On 18th September 1963, an Agreement Exhibit M. 4 between the management and their workmen, represented by Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, in respect of the Collieries, with which we are concerned, was arrived at. The Terms of

Agreement Exhibit M. 4, which were acted upon, are the first three and they are these:

- "(1) The management agreed not to enforce the new schedule of work at the Sijua Group of Collieries with effect from 15th September 1963. In other words, Sunday, the 15th September was to be treated as the normal rest day and the workers were to be allowed to work on all the week days as usual.
- (2) The Union agreed that the workers in the Sijua Group of Collieries would work according to the new schedule with effect from 22nd September 1963 i.e. they would work on Sundays and take rest as set out in the schedule.
- (3) It was agreed that the Jamadoba Colliery, Jamadoba Washing Plant and Jamadoba Power House shall continue to work on the new schedule as they had done on 15th September 1963."

Terms 4 to 6 relate to the demand of wages at $1\frac{1}{2}$ times for Sunday and also at $1\frac{1}{2}$ times for the new rest day which was substituted in addition to Sunday, which is the matter under reference and which was not agreed upon by the Arbitrators Shri K. Mehta and Shri R. H. Modi.

- (g) On 27th September 1963 a general notice Exhibit M. 5 was issued by the C.M.E. saying that the old schedule of working as existed prior to 15th September 1963 will come into effect from 29th June 1963 and that hence forward Sundays shall be the general day of rest. According to the management, therefore, the notice Exhibit M. read with Exhibit M. 1 remained effective from 15th September 1963 to 28th September 1963.
- (h) On 9th October 1963 Sri S. Das Gupta, Secretary of the Union sent to the Manager of 6 and 7 Pits Colliery, a list exhibit W of the 271 workmen of 6 and 7 Pits Colliery who reported for work on Tuesday the 17th September, 1963 but they were not given work and their attendances were also not marked.
- 11. On the foregoing facts, it will thus appear that the new schedule of work with staggered holidays commenced on 15th September 1963 and went up to 28th September 1963, and, during this period from 15th September 1963 to 28th September 1963 there were only two Sundays, namely, Sunday, September 15 and Sunday, September 22. We are concerned, in the present dispute, with the first Sunday, that is, September 15 and with the first substituted rest day, that is, Tuesday. September 17, which was fixed for 6 and 7 Pits.
- 12. Sri Das Gupta, on behalf of the workmen, contended that the agreement Exhibit M. 4 is not binding on the workmen, although they were parties to it, because it is against the provisions of Section 36(4) of the Mines Act, 1952. He further contended that the claim of the workmen is supported by the report of the Board of Conciliation (Colliery Dispute) appointed by the Government of India, Department of Labour, under Notification No. LR. 2(78), dated the 5th February, 1947, under the Chairmanship of Mr. W. R. Puranik, a retired Judge of the Nagpur High Court, and also by Joshi Agreement dated 1st March 1948, both of which deal with payment of Overtime rates.

Section 36(4) of the Mines Act, 1952, provides that:

"(4) Where it is proposed to make any alteration in the time fixed for the commencement or for the end of work in the mine generally or for any relay or in the rest intervals fixed for persons employed above ground, an amended notice in the prescribed form shall be pasted outside the office of the mine not less than seven days before the change is made and a copy of such notice shall be sent to the Chief Inspector not less than seven days before such change." "(underlined by me)".

Relying on the above underlined passage it was contended that the notice Exhibit M. was given on 10th September 1963, which was to take effect from 15th September 1963, that is, within less than 7 days, and, therefore, the change was invalid. Sri Mukherjee, on behalf of the management, in reply, contended that Sec. 36(4) of the Mines Act. 1952 will have no application here because of the Agreement Exhibit M. 4 which was arrived at between both parties with mutual agreement. This contention of Shri Mukherjee seems to be correct in law. When both the parties have agreed and when Shri Das Gupta does not challenge the Agreement Exhibit M. 4, as having been arrived at by undue influence or the like, I cannot understand how he can get over it. It is open to

parties to waive the seven days rules envisaged by Section 36(4) by mutual agreement and that is what has actually been done here. It was a matter of procedure and period of notice which in law could be waived. In spite of Section 36(4) both the parties entered into Agreement Exhibit M. 4.

- Sri Das Eupta, in this connection, also referred to Section 9A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, and submitted that without a notice there could be no change in the rest interval which was a condition of service. Here again, he was met by Shri Mukherjee by pleading that in view of the Agreement Exhibit M. 4 Section 9A will not apply. Here also I agree with Shri Mukherjee that this provision of Section 9A has no application in the face of the Agreement Ext. M. 4 between the parties.
- 13. (a) The findings of the Board of Conciliation on the general demands submitted to them are summarised in para. 17, and para. 17(10) deals with Overtime, at page 22 of the report, which is to the following effect:
 - "(10) Overtime.—The Industry in its written reply has agreed to payment of all overtime in addition to payment for the rest day (already in force) at 13 times the normal daily rate.
 - This compares favourably with the requirements of the Factories Act which takes no cognisance of the rest day for computations of over-time rates.
 - We consider this to be a reasonable concession and we do not support the demand for double time."
- (b) Joshi Agreement, which is applicable to the Bengal and Bihar Coalfields sets out different terms of agreement between representatives of the coal industry and labour leaders of both the places in presence of Shri S. C. Joshi, Chief Labour Commissioner. Para. 4 deals with payment of overtime work at 1½ times the normal rate and it has made its recommendations in sub-paras. (a) (b) and (c) which deal with the different classes of workers.
 - Para. 4 of Joshi agreement is in the following terms:
 - "4. Payment of overtime work shall be at 1½ times the normal rate and shall be regulated as stated below:
- (a) To the daily rated workers.—For work on a Sunday or the recognised day of weekly rest except that the whole of a particular category may have a rest day at veriance with that generally applicable to the whole colliery, and for work on a closed holiday without pay, $1\frac{1}{2}$ times the normal rate.
- (b) To the daily-rated workers.—For work done on a day which is a holiday with pay 1½ times of the normal rate in addition to the day's normal wages.
- (c) To the monthly-rated staff.—For work done on a Sunday or the recognised day of rest or on a day which is a closed holiday—1½ times of the daily wage, which shall be standardised at 1/26th of the monthly pay, in addition to his monthly salary.

Explanation A.—The above mentioned payment for overtime work for monthly rated staff is payable to:

- (i) All categories of underground workers upto and including the overman.
- (ii) All categories of surface workers excluding office and supervisory staff." We are not concerned with the rest of Para. 4.
- 14. In the present case, Shri Mukherjee, on behalf of the management, does not dispute payment of overtime work on Sunday, if it is a rest day, at 1½ times the normal rate. This fact is admitted as mentioned earlier. The only dispute is whether, in the present case in view of the Agreement Exhibit M. 4 Sunday. 15th September 1963, should be considered the usual rest day or the normal working day, as agreed upon between the parties? If it is considered to be the usual rest day then certainly those workmen who worked on 15th September 1963 will get overtime payment at 1½ times the normal rate. The Joshi Agreement or the Report of the Conciliation Board Award, therefore, do not take us any further, when it is admitted that payment for rest day is at 1½ times the normal rate.

- 15. To me it appears that the workmen are bound by the Agreement Exhibit M. 4 and it is not open to the Union, which was a party to it, on behalf of the workmen, to repudiate that agreement and to say that now they are not bound by it.
- 16. As a result of the above finding, it follows that when, according to the Agreement Exhibit M.4, Sunday, 15th September 1963, was to be treated as a normal working day at the Sijua Group of Collieries, workmen who worked on 15th September 1963, will not be entitled to overtime at 1½ times at all; but those workmen who worked on the substituted rest day, that is, on 17th September 1963, in 6 and 7 Pits Colliery will be entitled to overtime at 1½ times the normal wages. Further, in view of the Agreement, Exhibit M. 4, the management was justified in refusing work on the first new substituted rest day i.e. on 17th September 1963, according to Exhibit M. 1 and the Agreement Exhibit M. 4.
- 17. The reference is, accordingly, answered partly in favour of the workmen and partly in favour of the management in the following terms:
- (1) Demand of the workmen for double payment at $1\frac{1}{2}$ times for working on Sunday i.e. on 15th September 1963 and also, in addition, for working on the new rest day on 17th September 1963 is not justified, and, therefore, the workmen are not entitled to double relief. Workmen, however, who worked on the new Rest Day, i.e. on 17th September 1963, in 6 and 7 Pits Colliery, will be entitled to overtime at $1\frac{1}{2}$ times the normal wages.
- (2) Demand of the workmen for payment at 1½ times for Sunday work and/or work on the new days of rest, except as held above, arising out of power shortage and consequent staggering of the rest day in the collieries and the washing plant of Messrs. Tata Iron and Steel Co. Ltd. is not justified as the worken are not entitled to double benefits.
- (3) The management, therefore, was justified in refusing to provide work to 'he workmen on the first new rest day, i.e. on 17th September 1963, in the circumstances of the case, and as such, the workmen were not entitled to any relief for being refused work on the first new rest day, i.e., on 17th September 1963.
- 18. This is the award which I make and submit to the Government of India under Section 15 of the Act.

Dhanbad, The 24th December, 1964. (Sd.) RAJ KISHORE PRASAD.

Presiding Officer.

Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal,

Dhanbad. [No. 8/189/63-LR II.]

New Delhi, the 6th February 1965

- S.O. 533.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (14 of 1947) and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment No. S.O. 1288 dated the 2nd April, 1964, the Central Government hereby appoints each of the officers mentioned in column 2 of the Table annexed hereto as conciliation officers for:—
 - (i) all industries carried on by or under the authority of the Central Government;
 - (ii) all railways;
 - (iii) all controlled industries specified by the Central Government under item (i) of clause
 (a) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947;
 - (iv) the Employees' State Insurance Corporation;
 - (v) the Agricultural Refinance Corporation;
 - (vi) the Deposit Insurance Corpostion;
 - (vii) the Unit Trust of India;
 - (viii) all banking and insurance companies;
 - (ix) all mines, oil fields, Cantonment Boards, and major ports

in the respective areas specified in the corresponding entries in column 3 of the said Table.

THE TABLE

Sl. No.	Designation of Officers	Territorial jurisdiction
1	2	3
ī	Chief Labour Commissioner (Central), New Delhi	Whole of India but with regard to the State of Jammu & Kashmir, the jurisdiction extends only in relation to industrial disputes concerning workmen employed under the Government of India.
2	Deputy Chief Labour Commissioner	Do.
3	(Central), New Delhi. Deput y Chief Labour Commissioner (Central) (Training), New Delhi.	Whole of India but with regard to the State of Jammu and Kashmir, the jurisdiction extends only in relation to industrial disputes concerning workman employed under the Government of India.
4	Regional Labour Commissioner (Central)	Do,
5	(Verification), New Delhi, Welfare Adviser to the Chief Labour Com-	Do.
6	missionr (Central) New Delhi. Regional Labour Commissioner (Imple-	Do.
7	mentation) (Central), Dhanbad. Regional Labour Commissioner, (Central)	Do.
8	(Training), New Delhi. Conciliation Officers (Central) (Training),	Do.
9	New Delhi. Regional Labour Commissioner (Central,	Do-
10	Kanpur, Regional Labour Commissioner (Central),	Do.
11	Jabalpur. Regional Labour Commissioner (Central),	Do.
12	Bombay, Regional Labour Commissioner, (Central),	Do.
13	Madras. Regional Labour Commissioner (Central),	Do
14	Hyderabad. Regional Labour Commissioner (Central),	Do.
15	Calcutta. Regional Labour Commissioner (Central),	Do.
16	Dhanbad. Officer on Special Duty and Regional Labour Commissioner (Implementation) (Central), Nagpur.	Do.
17 18	Conciliation Officer (Central), Kanpur Conciliation Officer (Central) (Verification), Kanpur.	The States of Punjab and Uttar Pradesh and the Union Territories of Delhi and Himachal Pradesh and the State of
19 20	0 W 1 OO 10 TO 11 TT	Jammu and Kashmir in relation to industrial disputes concerning workmen employed under the Government of India.
21 22	Conciliation Officer (Central), Jabalpur Conciliation Officer (Central), Ajmer	The States of Madhya Pradesh and Rajasthan.
23 24 25	Conciliation Officer (Central), Bombay-II.	The States of Gujarat and Maharashtra and the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu.
26 27	Conciliation Officer (Central), Nagpur	

579

2 T 3 Conciliation Officer (Central), Madras-I Conciliation Officer (Central), Madras-II (The States of Madras and Kerala and the 28 Union Territory of Pondicherry. 29 Conciliation Officer (Central), (Verification), 30 Madras. Conciliation Officer (Central), Ernakulam Conciliation Officer (Central), Visakhapatnam [The States of Andhra Pradesh and Conciliation Officer (Central), Secundera-Mysore. Conciliation Officer (Central), Kolar Gold 34 Fields. Conciliation Officer (Central), Calcutta-I (The States of West Bengal, Assam, Orissa Conciliation Officer (Central), Calcutta-II and Nagaland and the Union Territories Officer (Central), (Verificaof Manipur and Tripura. 37 tion), Calcutta. Conciliation Officer (Central), Shillong Conciliation Officer (Central), Raniganj Conciliation Officer (Central), Asansol 38 40 Conciliation Officer (Central), Jharsugudah 4 I Officer (Central), Calcutta Conciliation 42 (Headquarters). Conciliation Officer (Central), Dhanbad-I Conciliation Officer (Central), Dhanbad-II 43 The State of Bihar. 44 Conciliation Officer (Central), Dhanbad 45 (Headquarters). 46 Conciliation Officer (Central), (Verification), Dhanbad. Conciliation Officer (Central), Hazaribagh.

[No. 1/3/65-LR-I.]

STATE: Madhya Pradesh.

S.O. 534.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Nowrozabad Colliery of Messrs Associated Cement Companies Limited, Post Office Nowrozabad, District Shahdol (Madhya Pradesh) and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 1st February, 1965.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT BOMBAY.

REFERENCE No. CGIT-8 of 1964 REFERENCE No. CGIT-68 of 1964 REFERENCE No. CGIT-72 of 1964

Associated Cement Companies Limited., Nowrozabad Collicry, P.O. Nowrozabad, Dist. Shahdol, M.P.

AND

Its Workmen, as represented by Nowrozabad Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, P.O. Nowrozabad.

PRESENT:

INDUSTRY: Coal Mining.

Shri Salim M. Merchant.—Presiding Officer.

Bombay, the 27th day of January, 1965.

AWARD

The Central Government, by the following orders of the Ministry of Labour and Employment, made in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of subsection (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947), was

G1 , E 3.

pleased to refer the above three industrial disputes between the parties abovenamed to me for adjudication:—

S1. No.		Order o	dated				Published in the Gazette of India Part II, Section 3(ii)						
							Notification No.	Date	Page				
2	4-1-1964 8-7-1964 1-8-1964	· ·	<u> </u>	:	:	- :	S.O. 396 S.O. 2495 S.O. 2702	1-2-1964 18-7-1964 8-8-1964	461 2940 3096				

- 2. The subject matters of each of these disputes have been stated in the schedule annexed to the respective orders, which are reproduced in Annexure A to Annexure 1 hereto.
- 3. On the 18th January 1965, the parties signed a joint application (copy of which is annexed hereto and marked as Annexure I), in which they have stated that they have agreed to refer the subject matters of each of these three disputes and the other disputes mentiond therein, to the arbitration of Shri F. Jeejcebhoy, Ex-Chairman, Lahore Appellate Tribunal of India, and have prayed that permission may be granted to the parties to withdraw these three disputes.
- 4. I am satisfied that it would be in the interest of industrial peace to allow the application of the parties. These references are, therefore, disposed of as withdrawn, in terms recorded in Annexure I, which shall form part of this Award.
- 4. I am satisfied that it would be in the interest of industrial peace to allow the application of the parties. These references are, therefore, disposed of as withdrawn, in terms recorded in Annexure I, which shall form part of this Award. I am disposing of these three references under a single award as there is a common ground for their withdrawal stated in a single joint application on behalf of the parties concerned.
 - No order as to costs.

Sd./- SALIM M. MERCHANT,

Presiding Officer.

Annexure I

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BOMBAY.

In the matter of Reference Nos. CGIT 43 of 1962, 8 of 1964, 68 of 1964 and 72 of 1964.

And in the matter of complaints Nos. CGIT. 3 of 1963, 9 of 1963, 14 of 1963, 20 of 1963, 21 of 1963, 25 of 1963, 27 of 1963, 28 of 1963, 11 of 1964 and 23 of 1964 under Section 33A of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947.

BETWEEN:

The Associated Cement Cos. Ltd. Nowrozabad Collicry, P.O. Nowrozabad, Dist. Shahdol (MP).

AND

Its Workmen, as represented by Nowrozabad Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, P.O. Nowrozabad.

May it please the Hon'ble Tribunal,

Whereas the Central Government referred various disputes as are sspecified in the following Notifications (copies of which are annexed hereto and marked collectively as Annexure 'A') between The Associated Cement Cos. Ltd., Nowrozabad Colliery, P.O. Nowrozabad (hereinafter referred to as 'the Company') and its workmen as represented by the Nowrozabad Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, (Hereinafter

referred to as 'the Union') under Section 10(d)(1) of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 for adjudication to this Hon'ble Tribunal:—

S. No.	. Govt. of & Em	India ploym	Minis ent O	try of rder d	Labour lated.	I	Published in the Gazette of India Part II Sec. 3 (ii)						
						-	Notification No.	Date	Page				
	19-11-1962 24-1-1964					. :	S.O. 3549 S.O. 396	24-11-62 1-2-66	3 ⁸ 77 461				
3 4	8-7-1964 1-8-1964		:	:			S.O. 2495 S.O. 2702	18-7-64 8-8-64	2940 3096				

2. And Whereas the following workmen have filed complaints under Section 33A of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 and which complaints have been numbered by this Hon'ble Tribunal as follows:—

5. No.	Nam	Name(s) of the Complainant(s)						No. as given by the Tribunal					
r Shri	i Lurke Dass		- 							CGIT	3 of 1963		
	Ramadhar Ni				Ċ					12	9 of 1963		
	· ~ · ~ · ·									"	14 of 1963		
	. Ishwar Dass	& 9 ot	hers							91	20 of 1963		
	. K. K. Tewar.	i&iSy	ed N	oor						33	21 of 1963		
5. M/s 6. Shri	i Mullo Ram									,,	25 of 1963		
7. Shr: 8. Shr:	i Rattan .	-								,,	27 of 1963		
8. Shri	i Baldeo									33	28 of 1963		
9. Shri	i Bhim Sen									93	11 of 1964		
10. M/s	. Ramadhar Ni	cam 8	7 5 OF	hers						22	23 of 1964.		

- 3. And Whereas the said disputes and the complaints are pending before this Hon'ble Tribunal.
- 4. And Whereas after discussion an understanding was reached to refer Issues No. 1 and 5 of Reference No. CGIT-43 of 1962 (as this Hon'ble Tribunal has already concluded hearing in Issues Nos. 2, 3, 4 and 6 in Reference No. CGIT-43 of 1962) and all the other disputes as are specified in the Notification referred to in Para 1 above and also the complaints as specified in Para 2 above, for arbitration under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to Shri F. Jeejeebhoy, Ex. President of the Labour Appellate Tribunal of India residing at Firuz Ara', Churchgate Reclamation, Bombay 1.
- 5. And Whereas it was agreed that the parties will apply to this Hon'ble Tribunal for permission to withdraw all the said disputes and the said complaints.
- 6. The abovenamed partics, therefore, pray that this Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to pass an order permitting such withdrawal.

Dated at Katni this eighteenth day of January 1965.

For and on behalf of the Nowrozabad Collicry Mazdoor Sangh and authorised representative of the complainants.

Sd./- K. B. Chougle. General Secretary Nowrozahad Colliery Mazdoor Sangh. For and on behalf of the Associated Cement Cos. Ltd., Nowrozabad Colliery. Sd./- G. L. Govil, Senior Personnel Officer.

Reference No. 43 of 1962:

ANNEXURE "A"

(Collectively)

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 19th November, 1962

S.O. 3549.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that a dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Nowrozabad Collicry of Messrs Associated Cement Company Limited, P.O. Nowrozabad, District Shahdol, Madhya Pradesh and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of subsection (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

- 1. Whether the action of the management of Nowrozabad Colliery of Messrs Associated Cement Company Limited, in installing only one weigh bridge at the washery for all the three inclines of the colliery is in due conformity and compliance with the letter and spirit of the terms of agreement dated the 24th March 1960 forming a part of the compromise award dated the 31st March 1960 passed by the Central Government Additional Industrial Tribunal, Bombay in reference number 1 of 1960? If not, to what relief are the concerned miners entitled?
- 2. Whether the following categories of workmen employed in the coal washing plant of Nowrozabad Colliery of Messrs Associated Cement Company Limited are entitled to:-
 - (a) revision of wage rates including dearness and other allowances;
 - (b) change of designation or categorisation;
 - (c) supply of uniforms.

If so, to what extent and from which date? Categories of workmen,

- (i) Trammers.(ii) Trippler Operators.(iii) Washery Foreman.
- (iv) Washery Assistant Foreman.
- (v) Washery Attendants.(vi) Washery Helpers.
- (vii) Washery mazdoors.
 (viii) Crusher supervisors.
 (ix) Crusher helpers.

- (x) Crusher and chute attendants.
- (xi) Electricians.
- (xii) Assistant Electricians.
- (xiii) Fitters.
- (xiv) Assistant Fitters.
- (xv) Fitter and Electrician helpers.(xvi) Shale and reject pickers.
- (xvii) Bull-dozer operators.
- (xviii) Bull-dozer helpers.
- (xix) Welders.
- (xx) Greasers.
- (xxi) Oilmen.
- 3. Whether the management of Nowrozabad Colliery of Messrs Associated Cement Company Limited was justified in discontinuing the leave and gratuity facilities originally admissible to S/Shri Abdul Jalil Khan and Syed Noor in the Cement Works wherefrom they were transferred? If not, to what relief are these two workmen entitled in this respect?

- 4. Whether the management of Nowrozabad Colliery of Messrs Associated Cement Company Limited was justified in not granting the general increment given to colliery workers in June, 1960 to Shri Abdul Jalıl Khan who was appointed in the colliery on the tenth January 1960 on relief from Kymore Cement Works of the same Company? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?
- 5. Whether the present system of measurement adopted by the management of Nowrozabad Colliery of Messrs Associated Cement Company Limited to distinguish the quantity of coal mined by machine cut from those obtained by solid blasting is satisfactory? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?
- 6. Whether the crusher supervisors employed in the coal washing plant of Nowrozabad Colliery of Messrs Associated Cement Company Limited are entitled to the payment of any extra wages for doing the work of taking down the attendance of the workmen working under them? If so, at what rate and from which date?

[Extracted from the Gazette of India, November 24, 1962, Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii) page 3837-3878]

S.O. 396.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Nowrozabad Colliery, Post Office Nowrozabad, District Shahdol, (Madhya Pradesh) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, constituted under Section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

- (1) Whether the payment of 50 nP. per day per head made to the workmen by the Company for the lead and lift in excess of 150 feet and 25 feet respectively is adequate? If not, what should be the rate of payment to the workmen on this account and from which date?
- (2) Whether the extra payment on account of excessive lead and lift should be made to those workmen to whom the management is not allowing it at present? If so, to whom all and at what rate?

[No. 5/1/64-LR-II.]

New Delhi, the 8th July, 1964.

S.O. 2495.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Nowrozabad Colliery of the Messrs Associated Cement Company Limited. Post Office Nowrozabad and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, constituted under Section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

- 1. Whether the dismissal from service of Shri Raghubans, Trammer of No. 3 Incline was justified and proper?
 - 2. If not, to what relief is he entitled?

(Sd.) A. L. HANDA, Under Secy.

[No. 5-7-64—LR.II.]

New Delhi, the 1st August, 1964

S.O. .—Whereas, the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to Nowrozabad Collery of Messrs Associated Cement Cos. Ltd., Post Office Nowrozabad, Dist. Shahdol (Madhya Pradesh) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the schedulc hereto annexed;

And whereas, the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said edispute for adjudication;

Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, constituted under Section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

- (1) Whether the action of the management of Nowrozabad Colliery of Messrs Associated Cement Companies Limited in retiring Shri Inderpal (s/o. Matadin) with effect from 30th September, 1963, was wrongful?
 - (2) If so, to what relief is the workman entitled?

Sd./- A, L. HANDA, Under Secy.

[No. 5/10/64-LR.II.]

[No. 5/1/64-LR. II.]

New Delhi, the 8th February 1965

S.O. 535.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, in the matter of applications under Section 33A of the said Act from Shri Lurka Das and others of Nowrozabad Colliery, District Shahdol (Madhya Pradesh) which was received by the Central Government on the 1st February, 1965.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT BOMBAY

APPLICATION No. CGIT 3 of 1963 in Reference No. CGIT-43 of 1962

Shri Lurka Das

5ö4

--Complainant.

Vs.

The Associated Cement Companies Ltd., Nowrozabad Colliery

—Opposite Party.

Application No. CGIT 9 of 1963

in Reference No. CGIT-43 of 1962

Sarvashri Ramdhar Nigam & 17 others Vs.

-Complainants.

The Manager, Nowrozabad Colliery

-Opposite Party.

APPLCATION No. CGIT 14 of 1963 in Reference No. CGIT 43 of 1962

Shri Garibdass, Miner

-Complainant

Vs.

The Management of Nowrozabad Colliery

—Opposite Party.

N. GGT 00 1100	
Application No. CGIT 20 of 1963	
in Reference No. CGIT 43 of 1962	α .τ.: ·
M/s. Iswardass and 9 others	-Complainants
Vs.	A 1. B .
The Manager, Nowrozabad Colliery	-Opposite Party.
APPLICATION No. CGIT 21 of 1963	
in Reference No. CGIT 43 of 1962	
M/s. K. K. Tiwari and Syed Noor	—Complainants
$oldsymbol{V}$ 8,	
The Manager, Nowrozabad Colliery	-Opposite Party.
Application No. CGIT 25 of 1963	
in Reference No. CGIT-43 of 1962	
Shri Mullooram, Shovel/Bull Dozer Driver	-Complainant
,	—Computations
Vs.	0 " " "
The Management of Nowrozabad Colliery	-Opposite Party,
Application No. CGIT 27 of 1963	
in Reference No. CGIT 43 of 1962	
Shri Ratan, Miner	—Complainant
$oldsymbol{V} arepsilon.$	
The Associated Cement Cos. Ltd.,	
Nowrozabad Colliery	-Opposite Party.
Application No. CGIT 28 of 1963	
in Reference No. CGIT 19 of 1963	
Shri Baldeo, Shot Firer	Complainant
V۶.	
The Management of Nowrozabad Colliery	—Opposite Party.
APPLICATION NO. CGIT 11 OF 1964	
in Reference No. CGIT 43 of 1962	
Shri Bhimsen	—Complainant:
Vs.	
The Associated Community Ltd.	
The Associated Cement Companies Ltd., Nowrozabad Colliery	-Opposite Party
Application No. CGIT 23 of 1964	"
in Reference No. CGIT-43 of 1962	
M/s. Ramadhar Nigam and five others	-Complainants
Vs.	
The Manager, Nowrozabad Colliery	-Opposite Party.
Re:—Applications under Sec. 33A of the Industrial	
(Act XIV) of 1947.	<u>F</u>

PRESENT:

Shri Salim M. Merchant, Presiding Officer.

INDUSTRY: Coal Mining. STATE: Madhya Pradesh.

Dated at Bombay the 27th day of January 1965.

AWARD

By their joint application dated 18th January 1965 (Copy of which is annexed hereto and marked Annexure No. 1) the representatives of the parties above named have stated inter alia that they have agreed to refer the subject matter of each of these applications, and other disputes mentioned therein, to the arbitration of Shri F. Jeejeebhoy, Ex-Chairman of the Labour Appellate Tribunal of India, and have prayed that permission may be granted to the parties to withdraw each of these applications.

- 2. I am satisfied that it would be in the interest of industrial peace to allow the application of the parties. Each of these applications is therefore, disposed off as withdrawn in terms recorded in Annexure I hereto, which shall form part of this Award. I am disposing of all these 10 applications under a single Award as there is a common ground for their withdrawal stated in a single joint application on behalf of the parties concerned.
 - 3. No order as to costs.

Sd./- SALIM M. MERCHANT, Presiding Officer.

ANNEXURE I

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BOMBAY

In the matter of Reference Nos. CGIT 43 of 1962, 8 of 1964, 68 of 1964 and 72 of 1964.

And in the matter of complaints Nos. CGIT 3 of 1963, 9 of 1963, 14 of 1963, 20 of 1963, 21 of 1963, 25 of 1963, 27 of 1963, 28 of 1963, 11 of 1964 and 23 of 1964 under Section 33A of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947.

BETWEEN

The Associated Cement Cos. Ltd., Nowrozabad Colliery,

P.O. Nowrozabad, Dist. Shahdol (MP)

And

Its Workmen, as represented by
Nowrozabad Colliery Mazdoor Sangh,
P.O. Nowrozabad.

May it please the Hon'ble Tribunal,

Whereas the Central Government referred various disputes as are specified in the following Notifications (copies of which are annexed hereto and marked collectively as Annexure 'A') between The Associated Cement Cos. Ltd., Nowrozabad Colliery, P.O. Nowrozabad (hereinafter referred to as 'the Company') and its workmen as represented by the Nowrozabad Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, (hereinafter referred to as 'the Union') under Section 10(d)(1) of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 for adjudication to this Hon'ble Tribunal:—

S. N	o. Govt. of & Emp				Published in the Gazette of India Part II Sec. 3 (ii)						
				Notification No.	Date	Page					
I 2 3 4	19-11-1962 24-1-1964 8-7-1964 1-8-1964	· ·	:	S. O. 3549 S.O. 396 S.O. 2495 S.O. 2702	24-11-62 1-2-64 18-7-64 8-8-64	3877 461 2940 3096					

2. And whereas the following workmen have filed complaints under Section 33A of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 and which complaints have been numbered by this Hon'ble Tribunal as follows:—

, No.	Name(s) of the Complain	Name(s) of the Complainant(s)											
	Shri Lurke Dass			 -			CGIT	3 of 1963					
2.	M/s Ramadhar Nigam & 17 others						21	9 of 1963					
	Shri Garib Dass						,,,	14 of 1963					
4.	M/s. Ihswar Dass & 9 others						31	20 of 1963					
	M/s. K. K. Tewari & Syed Noor						33	21 of 1963					
	Shri Mullo Ram						33	25 of 1963					
	Shri Rattan						33	27 of 1963					
	Shri Baldeo						פר	28 of 1963					
9.	Shri Bhim Sen						33	11 of 1964					
10.	M/s. Ramadhar Nigam & 5 others						,,,	23 of 1964.					

- 3. And whereas the said disputes and the complaints are pending before this Hon'ble Tribunal.
- 4. And whereas after discussion an understanding was reached to refer Issues No. 1 and 5 of Reference No. CGIT-43 of 1962 (as this Hon'ble Tribunal has already concluded hearing in Issues Nos. 2, 3, 4 and 6 in Reference No. CGIT-43 of 1962) and all the other disputes as are specified in the Notification referred to in Para 1 above and also the complaints as specified in Para 2 above, for arbitration under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to Shri F. Jeejeebhoy, Ex President of the Labour Appellate Tribunal of India residing at 'Firuz Ara', Churchgate Reclamation, Bombay-1.
- 5. An whereas it was agreed that the parties will apply to this Hon'ble Tribunal for permission to withdraw all the said disputes and the said complaints.
- 6. The abovenamed parties, therefore, pray that this Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to pass an order permitting such withdrawal.

Dated at Katni this eighteenth day of January 1965. For and on behalf of the Nowrozabad Colliery Mazdoor Sangh and authorised representative of the complainants. Sd/- K. B. Chougle, General Secretary, Nowrozabad Colliery Mazdoor Sangh.

For and on behalf of the Associated Cement Cos. Ltd. Nowrozabad Colliery. Sd/- G. L. Govn.

Senior Personnel Officer.

REFERENCE No. 43 of 1962
ANNEXURE "A"
(Collectively)
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 19th November 1962

S.O. 3549.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that a dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Nowrozabad Colliery of Messrs. Associated Cement Company Limited, P.O. Nowrozabad, District Shahdol, Madhya Pradesh and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed:

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

- 1. Whether the action of the management of Nowrozabad Colliery of Messrs. Associated Cement Company Limited, in installing only one weigh bridge at the washery for all the three inclines of the colliery is in due conformity and compliance with the letter and spirit of the terms of agreement dated the 24th March 1960 forming a part of the compromise award dated the 31st March 1960 passed by the Central Government Additional Industrial Tribunal, Bombay in reference number 1 of 1960? If not, to what relief are the concerned miners entitled?
- 2. Whether the following categories of workmen employed in the coal washing plant of Nowrozabad Colliery of Messrs Associated Cement Company Limited are entitled to:—
 - (a) revision of wage rates including dearness and other allowances;
 - (b) change of designation or categorisation;
 - (c) supply of uniforms.

If so, to what extent and from which date?

Categories of workmen

(i) Trammers.

(ii) Trippler Operators.

(iii) Washery Foreman.
(iv) Washery Assistant Foreman.
(v) Washery Attendants.
(vi) Washery Helpers.
(vii) Washery Helpers.

(vii) Washery mazdoors. (viii) Crusher Supervisors. (ix) Crusher Helpers.

(x) Crusher and Chute Attendants,

(xi) Electricians.

(xii) Assistant Electricians.

(xiii) Fitters.

(xiv) Assistant Fitters.

(xv) Fitter and Electrician Helpers.

(xvi) Shale and reject pickers.

(xvii) Bull-dozer Operators,

(xviii) Bull-dozer helpers.

(xlx) Welders.

(xx) Greasers.

(xxi) Oilmen.

- 3. Whether the management of Nowrozabad Colliery of Messrs. Associated Cement Company Limited was justified in discontinuing the leave and gratuity facilities originally admissible to S/Shri Abdul Jalil Khan and Syed Noor in the Cement Works wherefrom they were transferred? If not, to what relief are these two workmen entitled in this respect?
- 4. Whether the management of Nowrozabad Colliery of Messrs. Associated Cement Company Limited was justified in not granting the general increment given to collicry workers in June, 1960 to Shri Abdul Jalii Khan who was appointed in the colliery on the tenth January 1960 on relief from Kymore Cement Works of the same Company? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?
- 5. Whether the present system of measurement adopted by the management of Nowrozabad Colliery of Messrs Associated Cement Company Limited to distinguish the quantity of coal mined by machine cut from those obtained by solid blasting is satisfactory? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?
- 6. Whether the crusher supervisors employed in the coal washing plant of Nowrozabad Colliery of Messrs Associated Cement Company Limited are entitled to the payment of any extra wages for doing the work of taking down the attendance of the workmen working under them? If so, at what rate and from which date?

(Extracted from the Gazette of India, Nov. 24, 1962, Part II, Section 3. Subsection (ii) pages 3837—3878).

S.O. 396.- Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Nowrozabad Colllery, Post Office Nowrozabad (District Shahdol, Madhya Pradesh) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And Whoreas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of subsection (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, constituted under Section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

- (1) Whether the payment of 50 nP, per day per head made to the workmen by the Company for the lead and lift in excess of 150 feet and 25 feet respectively is adequate? If not, what should be the rate of payment to the workmen on this account and from which date?
- (2) Whether the extra payment on account of excessive lead and lift should be made to those workmen to whom the management is not allowing it at present? If so, to whom all and at what rate?

[No. 5/1/64-LR-II.]

New Delhi, the 8th July 1964

S.O. 2495.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an Industiral Dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Nowrozabad Colliery of the Messrs. Associated Cement Company Limited, Post Office Nowrozabad and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed:

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal. Bombay, constituted under Section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

- Whether the dismissal from service of Shri Raghubans, Trammer of No. 3
 Incline was justified and proper?
- 2. If not, to what relief is he entitled?

(Sd.) A. L. Handa, Under Secy.

[5-7-64 LR.II.]

New Delhi, the 1st August 1964

S.O. —Whereas, the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to Nowrozabad Colliery of Messrs. Associated Cement (Madhya Pradesh) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas, the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, constituted under Section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

- (1) Whether the action of the management of Nowrozabad Colliery of Messrs. Associated Cement Companies Limited in retiring Shri Inderpal (s/o Matadin) with effect from 30th September 1963 was wrongful?
- (2) If so, to what relief is the workman entitled?

(Sd.) A. L. HANDA, Under Secy. [No. 5/10/64-LR.II.]

[No. 1/8/62-LR.II. II.]

S.O. 536.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Hyderabad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Kothagudium, Division Kothagudium, Andhra Pradesh, and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 30th January, 1965.

BEFORE THE HON'BLE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, ANDHRA PRADESH, HYDERABAD

PRESENT:

Dr. Mir Siadat Ali Khan, M.A.,LL.B., Fazel (Osm); B.C.L., (Oxon); D:Phil:, (Oxon); Bar-at-Law; (Lincoln's Inn) (London); Chairman, Industrial Tribunal, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 63 of 1964

BETWEEN:

Workmen of Singareni Collieries Company Ltd., Kothagudem.

AND

The Management of Singareni Collieries Company Ltd., Kothagudem.

APPEARANCES:

- Sri S. K. Srinivasan, General Secretary, Singereni Collierles Mazdoor Sangh,—for the Workmen.
- Sri B. G. Pradhan. Dy. General Manager, Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd.,—for Employers/Management.

AWARD

By Government of India, Ministry of Labour & Employment, New Delhi's Letter No. 7/31/64-LR II, dated 23rd December 1964, the industrial dispute between the Employers in relation to the Singareni Collieries Company Ltd., Kothagudem Division, Kothagudium, Andhra Pradesh and their workmen was referred for adjudication with the following issues framed in the Schedule to the order of reference, viz.,

- "Whether the Management of Singareni Collieries Company Limited is justified in treating Shri Mohd. Mansoor Ali, Fan Driver. No. 2 Incline Kothagudium Collieries, as an absconder and denying the lien on his appointment as Fan Driver from 18th October 1963 and then taking him back into employment as Hauler Driver with effect from the 2nd March 1964, as a fresh candidate without continuity of service? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled and from what date?"
- 2. The reference was registered here as industrial dispute No. 63/1964. Before any claims statement or counter to it could be filed the parties have reached a compromise and it has been filed today. The compromise is as follows:—
 - "(i) It has been agreed to treat the period from 18th October 1963 to 1st of March 1964 as leave on loss of pay and Mansoor Ali will get the benefits, if any, subsequent to the condonation of the break in service.
 - (ii) The dispute stands settled fully and completely."
- 3. It was explained that even though the workman Mansoor Ali, had been absent for more than four months on ground of illness, the employer has treated the period as leave with loss of pay. This means that he has been given continuity of service from 18th October 1963 to the 1st of March 1964, being the period on which he was absent owing to illness. It may be noted that he joined service on 2nd of March 1964 and though he was taken as Hauler Driver, yet, as a job of Fan Driver was vacant at Yelandu, he applied for the same and it was explained on behalf of the employer that this job has been given to him. The signatures on the compromise were duly identified. I am, therefore, satisfied that the compromise has been genuinely entered into. As the compromise covers both the issues referred, I direct that it should be recorded in the file.

Award accordingly, given under my hand any the seal of the Court, this the 22nd Day of January 1965.

M. S. ALI KHAN, Industrial Tribunal, [No. 7/31/64-LR, II.] S.O. 537.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Nowrozabad Colliery of Messrs Associated Cement Companies Limited, Post Office Nowrozabad, District Shahdol, Madhya Pradesh and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 1st February, 1965.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BOMBAY REFERENCE No. CGIT 43 of 1962

Messrs Associated Cement Companies Limited, Nowrozabad Colliery, P.O. Nowrozabad, District Shahdol, Madhya Pradesh.

AND

Its workmen, as represented by the Nowrozabad Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, P.O. Nowrozabad.

PRESENT:

Shri Salim M. Merchani, Presiding Officer.

Dated at Bombay this 27th day of Jan. 1965.

INDUSTRY: Coal Mining.

STATE: Madhya Pradesh.

AWARD PART I

The Ministry of Labour & Employment by its Order No. 1/8/62-LR II, dated 19th November 1962 (S.O. No. 3549 published in the Gazette of India Part II Sec. 3(ii) dated 24th November 1962 at page 3877) made in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of Sub-Section 1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947, was pleased to refer the industrial dispute between the parties above named in respect of the subject matters specified in the schdule to the said order to me for adjudication.

- 2 The representatives of the parties have filed a joint application dated 18th January 1965 (copy of which is annexed hereto and marked Annexure No. 1) in which they have stated that they have agreed to refer the issues Nos. 1 and 5 in this reference, and the other disputes mentioned therein, to the arbitration of Shri F. Jeejeebhoy, Ex-Chairman of the Labour Appellate Tribunal of India, and have inter alia prayed that permission may be granted to the parties to withdraw the dispute in respect of issues Nos. 1 and 5 in this reference.
- 3. I am satisfied that it would be in the interest of industrial peace to allow the application of the parties. Issues Nos. 1 and 5 in this reference, are, therefore, disposed of as withdrawn in terms recorded in annexure No. 1 hereto.
 - 4. My award on issue Nos. 2, 3, 4 and 6, in this reference will follow later.
 - 5. No order as to costs.

Sd./- SALIM M. MERCHANT,

Presiding Officer.

ANNEXURE 'I'

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BOMBAY

In the matter of Reference Nos. CGIT 43 of 1962, 8 of 1964, 68 of 1964 and 72 of 1964.

And in the matter of complaints Nos. CGIT 3 of 1963, 9 of 1963, 14 of 1963, 20 of 1963. 21 of 1963, 25 of 1963, 27 of 1963, 28 of 1963. 11 of 1964 and 23 of 1964 under Section 33A of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947.

Between

The Associated Cement Cos. Ltd., Nowrozabad Colliery, P.O. Nowrozabad, Dist. Shahdol (M.P.)

AND

Its Workmen, as represented by Nowrozabad Colllery Mazdoor Sangh, P.O. Nowrozabad.

May it please the Hon'ble Tribunal,

Whereas the Central Government referred various disputes as are specified in the following Notifications (copies of which are annexed hereto and marked collectively as Annexure 'A') between The Associated Cement Cos. Ltd., Nowrozabad Colliery, P.O. Nowrozabad (hereinafter referred to as 'the Company') and its workmen as represented by the Nowrozabad Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, (hereinafter referred to as 'the Union') under Section 10(d)(1) of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 for adjudication to this Hon'ble Tribunal:—

S. No.	Govt. o & Empl	f Indi	a Min at Ord	istry (ler dat	of Labou ted,	r	Published in the Gazette of India Part II Sec. 3 (ii)						
							Notification No.	Date	Page				
2 24- 3 8-	1-1962 1-1964 7-1964 8-1964		:				S.O. 3549 S.O. 396 S.O. 2495 S.O. 2702	24-11-62 1-2-64 18-7-64 8-8-64	3877 461 2 940 3096				

2. And Whereas the following workmen have filed complaints under Section 33A of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 and which complaints have been numbered by this Hon'ble Tribunal as follows:—

. No.	Name (s) of t	ne Co	mpla	inant(s) ——-		given by the Tribunal		
	Lurke Dass								CGIT	3 of 1963
	Ramadhar Nig	garn &	17 otl	ers.					,,	9 of 1963
	Garib Dass					•			"	14 of 1963
	Ishwar Dass			-					23	20 of 1963
	K. K. Tewar	& Sy	d No	or					,,	21 of 1963
	Mullo Ram		,						31	25 of 1963
	Rattan .	•		٠				-	33	27 of 1963
	Baldeo .		•						22	28 of 1963
	Bhim Sen						,		31	11 of 1964
to. M/s.	Ramadhar Ni	gam &	5 oth	ĊT3					כנ	23 of 1964

- 3. And Whereas the said disputes and the complaints are pending before this Hon'ble Tribunal.
- 4. And Whereas after discussion an understanding was reached to refer Issues Nos. 1 and 5 of Reference No. CGIT-43 of 1962 (as this Hon'ble Tribunal has already concluded hearing in Issues Nos. 2, 3, 4 and 6 in Reference No. CGIT-43 of 1962) and all the other disputes as are specified in the Notification referred to in Para 1 above and also the complaints as specified in Para 2 above, for arbitration under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to Shri F. Jeejeebhoy, Ex-President of the Labour Appellate Tribunal of India residing at 'Firuz Ara', Churchgate Reclamation, Bombay-1.
- 5. And Whereas it was agreed that the parties will apply to this Hon'ble Tribunal for permission to withdraw all the said disputes and the said complaints.
- 6. The abovenamed parties, therefore, pray that this Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to pass an order permitting such withdrawal.

Dated at Katni this eighteenth day of January 1965.

For and on behalf of the Nowrozabad Colliery Mazdoor Sangh and authorised representative of the complainants.

For and on behalf of the Associated Cement Cos. Ltd., Nowrozabad Colliery.

Sd./- K. B. CHOUGLE, General Secretary, Nowrozabąd Colliery Mazdoor Sangh. Sd./- G. L. Govil, Senior Personnel Officer.

Reference No. 43 of 1962

Annexure "A" (Collectively)

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

MINISTRY OF LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 19th November 1962

S.O. 3549.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that a dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Nowrozabad Colliery of Messrs Associated Cement Company Limited, P.O. Nowrozabad, District Shahdol, Madhya Pradesh and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule herto annexed:

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of subsection (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

- 1. Whether the action of the management of Nowrozabad Colliery of Messrs Associated Cement Company Limited, in installing only one weigh bridge at the washery for all the three inclines of the colliery is in due conformity and compliance with the letter and spirit of the terms of agreement dated the 24th March the Central Government Additional Industrial Tribunal, Bombay in reference number 1 of 1960? If not, to what relief are the concerned miners entitled?
- Whether the following categories of workmen employed in the coal washing plant of Nowrozabad Colliery of Messrs Associated Cement Company Limited are entitled to:-
 - (a) revision of wage rates including dearness and other allowances;
 - (b) change of designation or categorisation;
 - (c) supply of uniforms.

If so, to what extent and from which date? Categories of workmen

- (i) Trammers

- (i) Trammers
 (ii) Trippler Operators
 (iii) Washery Foreman
 (iv) Washery Assistant Foreman
 (v) Washery Attendants
 (vi) Washery Helpers
 (vii) Washery mazdoors
 (viii) Crusher supervisors
 (ix) Crusher helpers
 (x) Crusher and chute attendants
 (xi) Electricians

- (xi) Electricians
- (xii) Assistant Electricians
- (xiii) Fitters
- (xiv) Assistant Fitters (xv) Fitter and Electrician helpers (xvi) Shale and reject pickers
- (xvii) Bull-dozer operators
- (xviii) Bull-dozer helpers
 - (xix) Welders
 - (xx) Greasers
 - (xxi) Oilmen.
- 3. Whether the management of Nowrozabad Colliery of Messrs Associated Cement Company Limited was justified in discontinuing the leave and gratuity facilities originally admissable to S/Shri Abdul Jalil Khan and Syed Noor in the Cement Works wherefrom they were transferred? If not, to what relief are these two workmen entitled in this respect?
- 4. Whether the management of Nowrozabad Colliery of Messrs Associated Cement Company Limited was justified in not granting the general increment

given to colliery workers in June, 1960 to Shri Abdul Jalil Khan who was appointed in the colliery on the tenth January 1960 on relief from Kymore · Cement Works of the same Company? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?

- 5. Whether the present system of measurement adopted by the management of Nowrozabad Colliery of Messrs Associated Cement Company Limited to distinguish the quantity of coal mined by machine cut from those obtained by solid blasting is satisfactory? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?
- 6. Whether the crusher supervisors employed in the coal washing plant of Nowrozabad Collicry of Messrs Associated Cement Company Limited are entitled to the payment of any extra wages for doing the work of taking down the attendance of the workmen working under them? If so, at what rate and from which date?

[Extracted from the Gazette of India, Nov. 24, 1962, Part II, Section 3, Subsection (ii) pages 3837-3878]

S.O. 396.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Nowrozabad Colliery, Post Office Nowrozabad, District Shahdol (Madhya Pradesh) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of subsection (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, constituted under Section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

- (1) Whether the payment of 50 nP, per day per head made to the workmen by the Company for the lead and lift in excess of 150 feet and 25 feet respectively is adequate? If not, what should be the rate of payment to the workmen on this account and from which date?
- (2) Whether the extra payment on account of excessive lead and lift should be made to those workmen to whom the management is not allowing it at present? If so, to whom all and at what rate?

[No. 5/1/64-LR-II.]

New Delhi, the 8th July 1964

S.O. 2495.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Nowrozabad Colliery of the Messrs Associated Cement Company Limited, Post Office Nowrozabad and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of subsection (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, constituted under Section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

- 1. Whether the dismissal from service of Shri Raghubans, Trammer of No. 3 Incline was justified and proper?
- 2. If not, to what relief is he entitled?

Sd./~ A. L. HANDA, Under Secy.

[No. 5-7-64-LR.II.]

New Delhi, the 1st August 1964

S.O. .—Whereas, the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to Nowrozabad Colliery of Messrs Associated Cement Cos. Ltd., Post Office Nowrozabad, Dist. Shahdol (Madhya Pradesh) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas, the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferrd by clause (d) of subsection (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, constituted under Section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

- (1) Whether the action of the management of Nowrozabad Colliery of Messrs Associated Cement Companies Limited in retiring Shri Inderpal (s/o Matadin) with effect from 30th September 1963 was wrongful?
- (2) If so, to what relief is the workman entitled?

Sd./- A. L. HANDA, Under Secy. [No. 5/10/64-LR-II.]

[No. 1/8/62-LR-II-I.]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 1st February 1965

S.O. 538.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to Amehta Limestone Quarry belonging to Messrs R. B. Gour Lime Manufacturers, Katni (Madhya Pradesh) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the dismissal of Shri H. K. Masih by the management of Amehta Limestone Quarry was justified? If not, to what relief is Shri Masih entitled?

[No. 36/3/65/LR. I.]

S.O. 539.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Birsinghpur Colliery of Messrs Johilla Coalfields (Private) Limited, P.O. Birsinghpur Pall, District Shahdole (Madhya Pradesh) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

Schedule

 Whether the retrenchment of Shri Satyanarayan Shivhara, with effect from the 25th April, 1964 by the management of Birsinghpur Colliery is justified and if not to what relief is he entitled? 2. Whether Shri Satyanarayan Shivhara is entitled to any overtime allowance during the period from the 1st December, 1960 to the 31st March, 1963; if so what is amount payable to him on this account?

[No. 5/17/64/LEv. II.]

S.O. 540.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Gazlitand Colliery of Messrs Associated Cement Cos. Ltd. Post Office Nowrozabad, Dist. Shahdol (Madhya and their Managing Agents, Messrs Octavius Steel and Company Limited, Calcutta-1, Post Box, No. 51, of the one part and their workmen of the other part, in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management of the Gazlitand Colliery of Messrs New Manbhum Coal Company Limited and their Managing Agents, Messrs Octavius Steel and Company, Limited, in dismissing Shri S. K. Majumdar, Assistant Store Keeper, with effect from the 7th November, 1964, was justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 2/152/64/LR. II.]

S.O. 541.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the New Standard Lodna Colliery, Post Office Jharia, District Dhanbad, of Messrs New Standard Coal Company (Private) Limited, 85/86, Stephon House, 4, Dalhousie Square East, Calcutta-1, and Messrs Madhavji K. Verma and Sons (Private) Limited, New Standard Lodna Colliery, Post Office Jharia, District Dhanbad, of the one part and their workmen of the other part, in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of subsection (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

- (1) Whether the workmen of the New Standard Lodna Colliery, Post Office Jharia, District Dhanbad referred to in Annexure 'A' are entitled to be retained in service consequent on the transfer of the colliery management from Messrs New Standard Coal Company (Private) Limited to Messrs Madhavji K. Verma and Sons (Private) Limited? If so, to what relief are the workmen entitled?
- (2) To what relief are the workmen of the New Standard Lodna Colliery referred to in Annexure 'A' entitled in respect of their unpaid dues. if any, for the period prior to the 29th June. 1963, and for the transitory period beginning with the 29th June. 1963, and ending with the 6th February, 1964, and if so, from whom?

Annexure 'A'

Monthly staff

G. C. Mukherjee, Head Clerk.
 H. P. Banerjee, Cashier.
 Narendra Nath Goon, P. F. Clerk.
 Kalachand Garai, Bill Clerk.

- Ram Krishna Kundu, Reg. Cum-Bonus Clerk.
- 6. Jainti Pada Banerjee, Reg. Clerk. 7. Ram Kishoon Bhuia, Munshi.
- 8. Rameswar Ram, Munshi. 9. Umesh Prasad, Munshi. 10. Kesho Lohar, Fitter Mistry. 11. Chari Bawrin, Creche Aya.

- 12. Surjee Chamarin, Dai. 13. Sarban Mahato, Chain man.
- 14. Jadoo Mahato, Chain man (Weekly paid).

Weekly paid.

- Antoo Mahato, Truck Driver.
 Sarjoo Gope, Boiler Fire man.
 Basso Mia, Boiler Fireman.

- 18. Damri Mahato, Tendle Mazdoor, 19. Roaman Sow, Tendle Mazdoor,
- 20. Bhikari Mahato, Tendle Mazdoor.
 21. Basdco Koiree, Tendle Mazdoor.
 22. Ramraj Dusad, Tendle Mazdoor.
- 23. Sewnandan Singh, Tendle Mazdoor.24. Somar Mia, Line Mazdoor.
- 25. Gulam Hosen Mia, Pump Khalasi.

- 26. Manoranjan Roy, Oil Mazdoor,
 27. Bhui Sow, Oil Mazdoor,
 28. Dija Garai, Tagger Khalasi,
 29. Sudhir Garai, Tagger Khalasi,
 30. Bandhoo Paswan, Trammer Sirdar,
 31. Gurudayal Prayan, Trammer
- 31. Gurudayal Paswan, Trammer.
- 32. No. 1 Jageswar Paswan, Trammer.
 33. Ragho Paswan, Trammer.
 34. Baijoo Paswan, Trammer.
 35. Dhano Paswan, Trammer.
 36. Bhaso Bhuia, Trammer.

- 30. Blaso Bridia, Trammer.
 37. Basdeo Paswan, Trammer.
 38. Baldeo Mahato, Trammer.
 39. Budhan Ram, Trammer.
 40. Kaileswar Mahato, Trammer.
 41. No. 1 Ramdhani Paswan, Trammer.
 42. Amik Ram, Trammer.

- 43. Nankoo Paswan, Trammer.
 44. Bhola Mahato, Trammer.
 45. Gajadhar Saw, Trammer.
 46. Akhil Hari, Sanitary Peon.
 47. Pachu Hari, Sweeper.
 48. Butu Hari, Sweeper.

- 49. Manohar Hari, Sweeper.

Loading Mazdoor.

- 50. Sona Bhuian. 51. Guja Bhuia.
- Gendoa Bhuia.
- 53. Sumundri Bhuis-

- 54. Darogia Bhuia. 55. Mongia Bhuia. 56. No. 2 Jhari Bhuia. 57. Lakhia Bhuia.
- 58. Dahoo Bhuia.
- Chhatoa Bhuia.
- 60. Jashia Bhuia. 61. Sarswati Bhulan.
- 62. Jago Bhula.

Picking Mazdoor.

- 63. Shyama Bhuia.
- 64. Basoa Bhuia.
- 65. Rohaman Mia.

Miners of Babulal Sirdar.

- 66. Doman Dosad.
- 67. Jethan Bhuia.
- 68. Fagoo Malla. 69. Badri Mahato.
- 70. Fagoo Singh. 71. No. 2 Jageswar Bhuia.
- 72. Gangakoiree Mahato. 73. Terai Bhula.

- 74. Jamuna Malla.
- 75. Kishu Bhuia. 76. No. 1 Saharai Bhuia. 77. Janki Bhuia.

- 78. Kishan Bhuia. 79. Modia Bhuia. 80. Balkishun Bhuia.
- 81. Baleswar Bhuia.

- 82. Butoo Malla. 83. Karoo Malla. 84. Jageswar Bhuia. 85. No. 2 Bideshi Bhuia.
- 86. Ramdas Bhuia.
- 87. Mungeswar Mahato.
- 88. Jagoo Mahato. 89. Mangar Gope.

- 90. Jago Mahato. 91. Nakhid Malla. 92. Gobind Bhuia.
- 93. Amulaya Bowri.
- 94. Ramdeo Malla.

- 95. Bhim Malla. 96. Andha Bhuia. 97. Jagdish Pande.
- 98. Mahadeo Bhula.
- 99. Mohan Mahato.
- 100. Sundar Dusadh.
- 101. Shyamlal Bhuia.
 102. No. 1 Amrit Bhuia.
 103. No. 1 Jageswar Bhuia.
 104. Kesar Dusadh.
 105. Chuni Gope.
 106. Jitan Bhuia.
 107. Mungeswar Dusadh.

- 108. Narayan Bhuia.
- 109. Mangal Bhuia.
- 110. Sambhu Roy.111. Jago Gope.112. No. 2 Noor Mahammad Mia.

Miners of Dija Sirdar.

- 113. Laldhari Mahato.
- 114. Bhadar Kamar.
- 115. Fagoo Sow. 116. Rambrij Mahara.
- 117. Jalo Singh.
- 118. Lachman Dusadh.
- 119. Habib Mia. 120. Rahaman Mia. 121. Sahadul Mia. 122. Karmoo Bhuia. 123. Lakhan Dusad

- 124. Jhari Bhuia. 125. No. 2 Abdul Mia. 126. Gobardhan Sow.
- 127. Dewali Bhuia.
- 128. Ramkhelwan Mahato.
- 129. No. 1 Heman Sow.
- 130. Nirmal Sow.
- 131. Rameswar Hazam. 132. Agnoo Garai. 133. Kalipada Garai. 134. Natabar Garai.

- 135. Manik Garal.
- 136. Dharichand Das. 137. Bhadai Das. 138. Sewkishun Das.

- 139. Mungeswar Pashi. 140. Ruplal Sow.

- 141. Jageswar Bhuia.142. Rasoo Garai.143. Mahananda Garai.

- 144. Budhu Garai. 145. Hiroo Garai. 146. Pitam Rajwar. 147. No. 2 Pitam Rajwar
- 148.Kalipada Rajwar. 149. Khandu Garai.
- 150. Bhalo Garia. 151. Maniram Garai.
- 152. Khiroo Mahato
- 153. No. Heman Sow. 154. Mungeswar Pashi.

- 155. Saharai Bhuia. 156. Bishoo Bhuia.
- 157. Jaldhari. Bhuia. 158. Maharaj Bhuia.
- 159. Kishun Bhula. 160. Kameswar Mahato.
- 161. Bodi Mahato.
- 162. Domaan Mahato.
- 163. Ramdular Paswan.
- 164. Gorachand Garai. 165. Monohar Garai. 166. Mahadeo Garai.

- 167. Narayan Garai.
- 168. Ghanasyam Dusad. 169. Jumana Gope. 170. Saku Garai. 171. Moti Roy.

- 172. Biloo Rajwar.
- 173. Sitaram Rajwar. 174. Jageswar Rajwar. 175. Bipan Mondal.
- 176. Ramoo Rajwar. 177. Sahajada Mia.

- 178. Ajiz Mia. 179. Bilas Hazam. 180. No. Noor Mahammad.
- 181. Fulmahammad Mia.
- 182. Kalim Mia,
- 183. Rameswar Mahato.
- 184. Dodhi Mahato.
- 185. Doman Mahato.

Miners of Ramnath Sirdar.

- 186. Jhangoo Pashi.
- 187. Ramcharan Pashi.
- 188. Nachkoo Pashi.
- 189. Biswanath Pashi.
- 190. Chhabinath Pashi. 191. Munilal Pashi.
- 192. Mangroo Pashi.
- 193. Durgacharan Garai,
- 194. Mohan Mahato. 195. Mangar Bhuia. 196. Madan Bhuia.

- 197. Kamdeo Das.

- 198. Bhajan Das. 199. Sitan Bhuia. 200. Ramlal Pashi.
- 201. Latoo Ram.
- 202. Joykaran Pashi.
- 203. Sukhi Mahara. 204. Sibcharan Das.
- 205. Jagdeo Das.
- 206. Gaya Mahato.
- 207. Ghaanpat Koiree.
- 208. Chandeswar Mahato. 209. Rajendra Das.
- 210. Basdeo Dusadh,
- 211. Jogindra Das.
- 212. Ramsarup Dusadh.

213. Ramchalitar Dusadh.

214. Tilak Bhuia.

215. Meghan Dusadh. 216. Joyram Mahato. 217. Bhuneswar Dusadh. 218. Kolla Bhuia.

219. Siban Sow.

220. Bilas Mahara. 221. No. 2 Barho Sow. 222. Chalitar Mahara. 223. Kishuni Mahara.

224. Rambrich Mahara.

225. Bilas Mahara.

226. Sukhi Das.

227. Sukdeo Mahato. 228. Janoki Paswan. 229. Rajendra Singha.

230. Ramchandra Singh. 231. Chandeswar Singh.

Miners of Sukhu Sirdar.

232. Lakhu Bawri.

233. Bankoo Bawrl.

234. Kaloo Bowri. 235. No. 2 Hari Bowri.

236. Sambhu Mudi. 237. Jarman Kora.

238. Gambhira Bowri.

239. Sanatan Bowri. 240. Sadananda Bowri.

241. Malik Ram. 242. Rajbahadu Misri.

243. Chhatoo Sow.

Miners of Ramsahai.

244. Jiban Majhi.

245. Lakhiram Majhi.

246. Ganesh Majhı.

247. Siban Majhi.

248. Bhora Majhi

249. Burjoo Majhi. 250. Gopi Majhi. 251. Suna Majhi.

252. Surendra Majhi.

253. Bhusan Majhi.

254. Debi Majhi.

255. Paresh Majhi. 256. Sary Majhi.

257. Jagganath Orang.

258. Gobinda Kora. 259. Kali Kamar.

260. Saram Majhi. 261. Budhu Majhi. 262. Baira Kora.

263. Chunu Majhi.

264. Sitaram Majhi. 265. No. 2 Moti Kamar.

266. Chaitu Mallik. 267. Bhola Majhi. 368. Barka Majhu.

269. Binod Majhi.

270 Moti Majhi. 271. Ukil Majhi.

Miners of Bistoo Majhi Sirdar.

272. Bikram Majhi.

273. Biswanath Majhi.

274. Karam Majhi. 275. Kali Majhi.

- 276. Masha Majhi. 277. Joti Majhi. 278. Behari Kamar.

- 279. Fani Majhi.
- 280. Ganga Majhi.
- 281. Shyam Maihi.

- 282. Jihoor Majhi. 283. Aghnoo Majhi. 284. Raban Majhi. 285. Saheb Ram Majhi.
- 286. Budhu Mudi.
- 287. Badal Majhi.
- 288. Pancham Majhi. 289. Budheswar Majhi.

- 290. Mohan Majhi. 291. Nabin Majhi. 292. Piroo Majhi.
- 293. Jagar Majhi. 294. Kinoo Majhi.
- 295. Amulya Bowri. 296. Kali Bhuia.
- 297. Sibdayal Bhuia.
- 298. No. 2 Degan Mahato.
- 299. Bitoo Malla.
- 300. Ashinua Bhuia (Loading Mazdoor).
- 301, Nowranghi Bhuia (Loading Mazdoor).

[No. 1/22/64/LRII.]

New Delhi, the 2nd February 1965

S.O. 542.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Chinakuri 1 and 2 Pits Colliery of M/s. Bengal Coal Co. Ltd., P.O. Dishergarh, Burdwan and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of subsection (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

- 1. Whether dismissal of Shri Dhanu Mahato, Mining Sirdar of Chinakuri 1 and 2 Pits Colliery was justified? If not, to what relief is he entitled?
- 2. Whether termination of services of Sri Amir Mian, Conveyor Fitter of Chinakui 1 and 2 Pits Colliery under clause 21 of the Coal Mines Standing Orders was justified? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

[No. 6/5/65-LR.II.]

New Delhi, the 3rd February, 1965

S.O. 543.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Lime-stone Quarries specific ed in Schedule I and their workmen in respect of the metters specified in Schedule II hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Delhi, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE I

- 1. Messrs A. Dean and Company 12, Gandhi Road, Dehradun,
- 2. Messrs Doon Minerals, 76-C, Chandernagar, Dehradun.
- 3. Messrs Doon Valley L/S. and Minerals Company, 5-A, Raja Road, Dehradun.
- 4. Messrs Hari Chand, Limestone Contractor, 59, Gaudhi Road Dehradun.
- 5. Messrs Lakshmi Limestone Company, 14, Idgah Road, Dehradun,

- 6. Messrs N.I.L.M.A., 36, Rest Camp, Dehradun.
- 7. Messrs Punjab Lime and L/S. Company, 4-B, Raja Road, Dehradun
- 8. Messrs Radhakrishan Suri and Son, 36, Rest Camp, Dehradun.
- 9. Messrs Seth Ramautar, 2-A, Raja Road, Dehradun.
- 10. Messrs Sardarilal Oberai, 2-A, Race Course Road, Dehradun.
- 11. Messrs Shivnarainsingh Negi, 59, Lyton Road, Dehradun.
- 12. Messrs Chhattargun Gujral, 12, New Road, Dehradun.
- 13. Messrs Assa Nand, 306, Lakhi Bagh, Dehradun.
- 14. Messrs Lachhman Das Gujral, 1-D, Akhara Bazar, Dehradun.
- 15. Messrs Industrial L/S. Company, 12, Gandhi Road, Dehradun.
- 16. Messrs C. P. Sharma Industrial Corporation, Rishikesh (Dehradun).
- 17. Messrs Krishan Lal Oberai, 19, New Road, Dehradun.
- 18. Messrs Kaliram, 1. Hathibarkala, Dehradun.
- 19. Shri Jai Ram Singh Oberai, 10, Municipal Road, Dehradun.
- 20. Shri Amolok Ram Oberai, 10, Municipal Road, Dehradun-
- 21. Shri Kundan Lal Suri and Brothers, 2, Hardwar Road, Dehradun.

SCHEDULE II

Whether the following demands of the workmen are justified;--

- (1) Payment of Bonus at 10 per cent of the earnings of the workers for the year 1963-64;
- (2) Provision of Dispensary and Welfare Centres enabling the workers to have free medical aid and recreational facilities.

If so, to what relief are they entitled and from what date?

[No. 36/4/65-LRI.]

S.O. 544.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Singareni Collieries Company, Limited, Kothagudium Division and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal, with Dr. Mir Siadat Ali Khan as the Presiding Officer, with Headquarters at Somajiguda, Hyderabad, and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

Whether in view of the actual nature of duties performed by the workman Shrl J. Komariah turner in Category VII in Electrical and Mechanical Department at Yellandu Collieries, the management of the Singareni Collieries Company Limited is justified in not placing him in the monthly grade of Rs. 70—158 as charge hand?

If not, to what relief is he cligible and from what date?

INo. 7/37/64/LRII.]

S.O. 545.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Palachouri Colliery of Messrs N. H. Oiha and Company Private Limited. Post Office Junnardeo, (Madhya Pradesh) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the management of Palachouri Colliery of Messrs N. H. Ojha and Company (Private) Limited, Post Office Junardeo was justified in retrenching Sarvashri Ramdin, Sheo Mohan, Majku Lal and Masuruddin? If not, to what relief are these workmen entitled.

[No. 5/14/64-LRII.]

New Delhi, the 5th February 1965

S.O. 546.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Mandamari Division, Tandur Collieries, Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Mandamari Post Office, Andhra Pradesh, and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal, with Dr. Mir Siadat All Khan as the Presiding Officer, with headquarters at Somajiguda, Hyderabad, and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Industrial Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

Whether the management is justified, in having placed in Category I, as Mazdoor, the workman Shri N. S. Kumar at Kalyani Khani Mine Canteen, Mandamari Division, Tandur Collieries, Singareni Collieries Company Limited. in view of the actual nature of duties performed by him from the date of his appointment at the Canteen to 1st August 1960 from which date he was placed in the monthly scale of Rs. 28—1—45 as Assistant Cook? If not, to what relief is the said workman entitled?

[No. 7/27/64-LR-II.]

New Delhi, the 8th February 1965

S.O. 547.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Digwadih Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, Jamadoba, Post Office Jealgora, District Dhanbad, and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under Section 7A of the said Δ ct.

Schedulæ.

Whether the dismissal with effect from the 10th October, 1964, of Sarvashri Muneshwar, Hari Ahir, Jagarnath, Coalfield Recruiting Organisation Miners of the Digwadih Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited by the management of the said Colliery was justified? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?

[No. 2/4/65-LRII,]

S.O. 548.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the North Chirimiri Colliery, District Surguja, Madhya Pradesh and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, Wereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal Calcutta, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the dismissal of the following 180 workmen by the management of North Chirimiri Colliery after the 20th July, 1964 was justified? If not, to what relief are the workmen concerned entitled?

r.	Shri	Raghoo								_		C. Loader
2.	Shr	Babooram	_						-	•	•	C Loader
3.	Shri	Azamuddin	-	-	•	•	-	•	•	•	•	C. Loader
4.	Shr	Coffan, Ahme	ં		•	•	•	•	-	•	•	Č. Touder
4.	Char	Danque Annie	u	•	•	•	•	•		•	t	C. Loader
5.	Suri	Barsau	•	•	-	•	•			-		C. Loader
6.	Spri	Abdul Wahid	٠.			-			-			C. Loader
7.	Shri	Somai .						,				C. Loader
8.	Shri	Aziz s/o Jahoo	r							_		C Loader
9.	Shri	Nankoo s/o Na	nhoo						-		•	C. London
10.	She	Mirmal Singh	e/o Be	merai	:	•	•	•	•	•	•	C. Loader
II.	Chai	Cum Damed	lo Dor	T19191	<u>.</u> .	•	•	•	•	•	•	C. Loader
11.	SHLI	Guru Faisau s	O Kai	пизге	У	•	•	•	-	•		C. Loader
12.	Shri	Ramdas 8/0 M	lahabii	r								C. Loader
13.	Shri	Sobhit s/o Bal	SAI			,						C. Loader
14.	Shri	Majeed s/o Jal	hoor						-			C. Loader
15.	Shri	Rashid .									_	C. Loader
τĞ.	Shei	Rambrii Tiwa	ıri					•		•	•	C London
17.	Shri	Marayan Singl	,	•	•	-	•	•	•	•	-	D. Loader
18.	Chai	Malayan Dangi	.1			•	•	•	•	•	•	вискетная
10.	Suri	MORIUGU II	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		U/G Trammer
19.	Suri	Laknan .		•	•	•	•					U/G Trammer
20.	Shri	Ram) \										U/G Clipper
21.	Shri	Dayal Singh			_							Sr. Trammer
22.	Shri	Kewal						_		_		Driller
23.	Shri	Banadhari					-	•	•	•	•	Driller
24.	Chri	Mohad Ali	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	131111CT
24.	Shri	C.L. L	1	-		-	•	,		-		Mech. Khalasi
25.	Suri	Sanapraj Suig	n	:_	:		•			•		Bonus Clerk
26.	Shri	Raffiuddin s/o	Altai	Hussa	นท							C. Loader
27.	Shri	Keyamuddin s	o Imr	namu	ıddin							C. Loader
28.	Shri	Ismall								_		C. Loader
29 ·	Shri	Subrati	_		_						-	C Lorder
30.	Shei	Mohd Sahid	•	-	•	-	-	•	•	•	•	U/G. Trammer
30.	Ch-:	Manafali	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	-	U/G. Handider
ğı.	SHLI	Nazaran	i.	•	•	-	•	-	•	•		Mech. Mazdoor
32.	Shri	Cirdawaromig	n,	·	<u>.</u>	-			-			Oil Mazdoor
33.	Shri	Habib Ahmad	. s/o M	ohd.	Bux							C. Loader
34.	Shri	Abdul Jabbar							,			U/G Trammer
35.	Shri	Sital Prasad										C. Loader
36.	Shri	Ramnath s/o I	Mahab	ir	_					_		C. Loader
37	Shri	Marain alo Sar	at Pd			•	•	•	•	•		Sr. Trammer
3/	OL .:	The 11-	IL I U.		•	•		•	•	•	•	C I and a
38.	onn	ј папаг				-	•	•	•	•	•	C. Forger
39 .	Shri	Rameswar 8/0	Nann	00		-				4		C. Loader
40.	Shri	Ramphal				-						U/G Trammer
άI.	Shri	Girjashanker										U/G Trammer
42.	Shri	Teinath				_	_	_				Driller
42.	Shel	Rofati	-	-	-			•	•	-	•	C Lorder
43	Chai	Ligiali ,	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	C. London
44.	Suri	Janakra)	•	•			•		-	-	•	C. Loader
45.	Shri	Ciancsh	•			-	-				•	C. Loader
46.	Shri	Bismillah										C. Loader
47.	Shri	Babulal										W. Loader
48.	Shri	Nirmal									,	C. Loader
49.	Shri	Shyam Narain	ì									C. Loader
50.	Shei	Keyamuddin e	o Tah	imda	lin		•	-				C. Loader
50.	CP";	Damoundan	., ∪ jan	-, -	4111	•	•	•	•	•	•	C Loader
51.	Sun	Kannanger	•	-	-	•	-	•	•	•	•	Sr. Trammer
52.	Shri	Achaiber	. •	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	•	Sr. Tranuner
53-	Shri	Amarpal Singl	h			-	-		-		-	U/G Trammer
54.	Shri	Harihar										U/G Trammer
55-	Shri	Bhirgoo										U./G Trammer
٠,	She	Hirachand	ř									C. Loader U/G Trammer U/G Trammer C. Loader C. Trammer U/G Trammer
56.	CILLI CILL	Tinent:	•	-	•	•	-	•	•	-	-	Sr. Trammer
57.	Suri	Hazrati	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	T Mister
					•	•	•	•	•	•		
59.		S. P. Awasthi				•			•	•	•	B. Att
66.												Oil Mazdoor
61.		Karim			,							Sr. Trammer
62.	Shei	Nazir s/o Bade	da	_	_			_				C. Loader
				à		•	Ċ	٠.	•			C. Loader
63.		Aziz s/o Khan				•	•	•	•	•	•	U/G. Trammer
64.		Fakuruddin				•	•	•		•	•	
65.						•				•	•	Fitter
66.	Shri	Ramsewak		,								Welder
-0.												

												
	~											
67.	Shri	Santokh Singh										Fan. Att.
68.	Shri	Paharoo .										W. Loader
69.		Abdul Hamid s	lo Bu	dhoo								C. Loader
						•	•	•	•	•	•	C. Loader
70.		Ram Narayan				•	•		•	•	•	
71.		Baisuddin .		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	C/ Loader
72.	Shri	Kali Ram .										C. Loader
73.	Shri	Hardeo Singh										C. Loader
$7\overline{4}$	Shri	Rajbalam Singh	1									C. Loader
	Chat	Dost Mond s/o	Diam	The			•	•	•	•		C. Loader
75.			DINI	I Kuai	Ţ	•	•		-	•	,	
76.		Lalan Ram .				1		**				Sr. Trammer
77-	Shri	Mani Ram .										Haulage Kh.
78.	Shri	Jageshwar			_			_	_			B. Man
	Cha:	Sheo .		•	•			•	•	•	•	Sheaveman
79.			•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	
80.		Farzandali	٠			•	,	•	•			Mech. Kh.
81.	Shri	Dharamsai .										L. Mistry
82.	Shri	Tejamuddin										C. Loader
83.		Baban -				_						C. Loader
υ.,				•	,	•	•	•	•	•	•	C. Loader
84.	Onti	Belbhadar	٠, ٠	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	D. IZE 1 .:
85.	Shri	Ramkumar Sin	gn			•			•	•	•	P. Khalasi
86.	Shri	Pran Singh										Sr. Trammer
87.		Nazir s/o Jahir										C. Loader
88.		Madhoo		_							_	C. Loader
			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Mech. Khalasi
89.		Md. Sakrullah		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
90.	Shri	Ramtai		•			•	•	•			Mech. Khalasi
91.	Shri	Ishaque .										B. Man
92.		Nakched	_	_								Sr. Trammer
		Abdul Ilahi	-	•	-	-	-	•	•	•	-	C. Loader
'93 -	Shri	Abdul Hatu	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
94-	Shri	Dayashanker	•		•	•	•		•	•		Engine Driver
95.	Shri	Mangal Singh										Boiler Attend.
96.	Shri	Kanailal										Boiler Attend.
97.		Sukhan -										Fireman
			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Fireman
98.		Deonarayan	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
99.	Shri	Bachpolal	•		•	•				•	-	Fireman
100.	Shr	i Rohit Ram			-	4						Fireman
101.	Shr	i Balsai					,					Ash Mazodoor
102.		Hublal .										Ash Mazodoor
	_	_	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	-	•	Ash Mazdoor
103.		Jittan	•	-	•	•	•	-	•	•		
104.	Shri	Jansai				•	٠					Ash Mazdoor
105.	Shri	Dhansai			-							Ash Mazdoor
106.		Chanderbhan										Ash Mazdoor
107.								-	-		-	Ash Mazdoor
			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Ash Mazdoor
то8.		Ulang .	•	•	-	•		•	•	•	•	
109.	Shri	Jagatram			•		,	•	•		•	Ash Mazdoor
IIO.	Shri	Rajaram										Ash Mazdoor
TII.		Sukhdeo								-		Ash Mazdoor
		Ramcharan	-	•	-	-					-	Ash Mazdoor
IT2.			4	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Oil Mazdoor
113.		i Bishakhoo	•	•	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	
114.	Shr	i Rambrij				•				•		Pump Khalasi
115,		i Chandrika										Pump Khalasi
116		Munnu		_	_							Pump Khalasi
				-	-		-	-			-	Pump Khalasi
117.		i Holesni	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
т18.		i Anadram	•	-	•			•	•	1	٠	Pump Khalasi
119.		i Jageshwar			-	•		•			-	Pump Khalasi
12Ó.		i Bachandass										Pump Khalasi
121.		• •• - 1 - 1 - 1		_		_						Telephone Att.
				•	-	•	•	-	-	-	-	U/G Munshi
T22.		j TulsiRam	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	
123.		ı V. D. Diwedi				•		•		•	•	Engine Driver
124.	Shr	i Sunder Sa i										U/G Trammer
T25.		i Ganesh .										U/G Trammer
126.		i Roopdeo					-	_			,	U/G Trammer
			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		U/G Trammer
127.		i Rajhoo	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	
T28.		i Shakoor	٠	1	•					•		C. Loader
120.	Shr	i Scomohan s/o	Bhus:	ai								C. Loader
130.				_			_					U/G Trammer
		i Ghuttoo	-	•	•		-		-	-	-	Bucketman
131.			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Bucketman
132.		i Makhanlal		•	٠	•		-	•	•	•	
133.	Shr	i Lachhan	-									W. Loader
134.		i Nankoo s/o M	uría									\mathbf{W} . Loader
X35.		i Chhedi		-		_						W. Loader
			•	•	•	•	•	•		•	-	W. Loader
136.		i Katikram	•	•	•	•	•	•	-	•	•	
Т37.	Shr	i Chuttan		•		•		•	•	•	•	Drill Maz
- , -												

138.	Shri Jamil Khan										Elect, Maz.
	Shri Jamil Khan Shri Angad		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		
139. 140.	Shri Dudan	,	•	•	•	-			•		L. Mazdoor U/G Trammer
141.	Shri Rackaram	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		:	Sr. Trammer
142.	Shri Rudan Shri Barkaram Shri Bishahoo Ro Shri Ayoob Kho	ım	•	•	-	•	-	•	•		Drill Maz.
143.	Shri Ayoob Kha	J	•		:	:	•				C. Loader
144.	Shri Baharuddin		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		C. Loader
145.	Shri Baharuddin Shri Sewakram	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	C. Loader
146.					•	•	•	•	•		C. Loader.
147.	Shri S K Guha				-	•	•	•	•	·	W.B. Clerk
148.	Shri Razak Shri Indal	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	C. Loader
149.	Shri Indal	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		C. Loader
150.	Shri Sobbai	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	C. Loader
151.	Shri Sobhai Shri Hanif s/o M	Tohd.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	C. Loader
152.	Ch-i Tanasi				•	•	-	•	•		C. Loader
153.	Shri Chitan	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	U/G Clipper
154.	Shri Bhaggal	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	U/G Trammer
155	Shri Gazroon	•			-	•	•	•	-	•	U/G Trammer
156.	Shri Bundeshar	Sinoh	Ċ	Ē		•		•	•	•	U/G Trammer
157.	Shri Ram Singh					Ċ	•			•	U/G Trammer
158.	Shri Karan Sai					-	·	•		·	T. Mistry
159.	Shri Moti Ram	·	Ċ	·	•	•	•		•	•	Line Maz.
160.	Shri Jansar Shri Chitan . Shri Bhaggal . Shri Gazroop Shri Bundeshar . Shri Ram Singh Shri Karan Sai Shri Moti Ram Shri Tota Tam	-									Line Maz.
161.	Shri Kanchan B	ai.				-	-			·	W. Loader
162.	Shri Nankoo s/o	Bahoo	Ċ			·		·		·	C. Loader
163.	Shri Nankoo s/o Shri Dhansai		Ċ		Ċ		·	·			Peon
164.	Shri Ranglal										Peon
165.	Shri Ranglal Shri Rambahadi Shri Chander Bi	ır .				·					Guard
т6б.	Shri Chander Ba	ahadur			_	-	_				Guard
167.	Shri Amar Baha	dur								_	Guard
168.	Shri Raghoo . Shri Panna Lal Shri Hanif Khar Shri Moharddin										T. Kh.
169.	Shri Panna Lal										Ü/G Trammer
17Ó.	Shri Hanif Khan	ι.									C. Loader
171.	Suri Monarajum										C. Loader
172-	Shri Hab b Ahm	cd	,								C. Loader
173.	Shri Subrati										C. Loader
174.	Shri Bishram							-			W. Loader
175.	Shri Murrablan										W. Loader
176.	Shri Baban						-				B. Man.
177-	Sh-i Puri Ram										B. Man
178.	Shri Puri Ram Shri Thakar Par	shad						-			C. Loader
179.	Shri Khokha Shri Baboo Lal	,									B . Man
180.	Shri Baboo Lal			-							C. Loader

[No. 8/201/63-Vol. II LRII].

H. C. MANGHANI, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 30th January 1965

- S.O. 549.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948 (9 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following Scheme further to amend the Madras Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1956, the same having been previously published as required by the said sub-section, namely:—
 - This Scheme may be called the Madras Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Amendment Scheme, 1965.
 - 2. In the Madras Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1956 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) in clause 16, in sub-clause (2), after item (e) the following item shall be inserted, namely:—
 - "(f) signaller."
 - 3. In Schedule I of the said Scheme, in entry (2) after item (e), the following item shall be inserted, namely:—
 - "(f) signaller."

[No. 525/4/64-Fac.]

New Delhi, the 1st February 1965

S.O. 550.—In pursuance of sub-section (3) of section 1 of the Indian Dock Labourers Act, 1934 (19 of 1934), the Central Government hereby appoints the 1st.

February 1965 as the date on which the said Act shall come into force in the Unior Territory of Goa. Daman and Diu.

[No. 528/51/63-Fac.]

S.O. 551.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Indian Dock Labourers Act, 1934 (19 of 1934), the Central Government hereby appoints the following officers to be Inspectors for the purposes of the said Act within the limits of the Port of Marugao:—

Inspectors

Chief Adviser Factories, Ministry of Labour and Employment, New Delhi.

Joint Chief Adviser Factories. Ministry of Labour and Employment, New Delhi.

Deputy Chief Advisors Factories, Ministry of Labour and Employment. New Delhi.

Assistant Chief Advisers Factories, Ministry of Labour and Employment, New Delhi.

Inspector (Technical), Office of the Chief Adviser Factories, New Delhi.

Inspector, Dock Safety (Headquarters), Office of the Chief Adviser Factories, New Delhi.

Senior Inspector, Dock Safety, Ministry of Labour and Employment, Bombay, Inspector, Dock Safety, Ministry of Labour and Employment, Bombay.

[No. 528/51/63-Fac.]

K. D. HAJELA, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 1st February 1965

S.O. 552.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section I of section 5 of the Mines Act. 1952 (35 of 1952), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri B. K. Sharan as Inspector of Mines subordinate to the Chief Inspector of Mines and makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment S.O. 531 dated the 2nd March, 1961, namely:—

In the said notification, the following entry shall be added at the end, namely:—
"(70) Shri B. K. Sharan"

[No. 8/40/64-M-I.]

R. C. SAKSENA, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 2nd February 1965

S.O. 553.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Chandigarh in respect of an industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Hindustan Commercial Bank Ltd. and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 30th January, 1965.

BEFORE SHRI K. I. GOSAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CHANDIGARH

REFERENCE No. 7/C of 1964

In the matter of Industrial dispute

BETWEEN

The Hindustan Commercial Bank Ltd. and their workmen over termination of services of Shri Jagdish Chandra Dhawan.

PRESENT:

Shri Tek Chand Sharma and Shri V. S. Malli-for the workmen.

Shri S. S. Sethi and Shri Onkar Nath —for the Hindustan Commercial Bank Ltd., Amritsar.

AWARD

An industrial dispute having come into existence between the workmen and the management of M/s. Hindustan Commercial Bank Ltd., the Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Employment passed orders under Section 7-A read with clause (d) of Sub-Section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act constituting this as an industrial dispute and referring the said dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication vide Order No. 51(50)/64-LRIV, dated 14 August 1964. The only item of dispute mentioned in the said order is as follows:—

Whether the management of the Hindustan Commercial Bank Limited was justified in terminating the services of Shri Jagdish Chandra Dhawan with effect from the 21st January, 1964? If not, to what relief is the employee entitled?

Usual notices were issued to the parties and in response to the same the workmen filed their statement of claim and the management filed their written statement to the same. The pleadings of the parties gave rise only to one issue which is precisely the same as the item of dispute as mentioned in the reference and as quoted above.

Both the parties have led their evidence on the said issue and their representatives have also addressed their arguments to me. The facts giving rise to the reference are briefly as under:

Shri Jagdish Chandra Dhawan was appointed by the Bank as a clerk on probation for a period of six months vide letter Ext. A.1 dated 10th January 1963. He was asked to report for duty at Amritsar by 31st January 1963 but he actually reported for duty on 2nd March 1963. His probationary period of six months was in these circumstances to end on 1st September 1963. He proceeded on 15 days' leave with effect from 1st July 1963 and got this leave extended till 16th September 1963 by 3 applications one after the other. He ultimately resumed duty on 17th September 1963 and was absent from active duty in all for 2 months and 16 days i.e. from 1st July 1963 to 16th September 1963. The Bank deducted this period of his absence from duty from the probationary period and treated the and 16 days i.e. from 1st July 1963 to 16th September 1963. The Bank deducted this period of his absence from duty from the probationary period and treated the probationary period as ending on 18th November 1963 instead of 2nd September 1963 i.e. 2 months and 16 days from 2nd September 1963. According to the allegations of the Bank his work was not found satisfactory and he was not considered fit for confirmation on 18th November 1963. By means of Ext. R-1 dated 16th November 1963 the Bank extended his probationary period by another three months from 18th November 1963 to 18th February 1964. In this period, however, he again proceeded on leave from 6th January 1964 to 20th January 1964. He did not join on 21st January 1964 and according to the Bank his services were terminated with effect from 21st January 1964 partly because he had absented from duty and partly because his work was not found to be satisfactory. An intimation to the above effect was sent to him by Ext. R.2. Along with it 2 (two) pay orders Exts. R.3 and Ext. R.4 were sent to him in full and final settlement of the arrears of his salary etc. On 10th February 1964 he encashed the aforesaid pay orders and then approached the Punjab Bank Employees Federation and got the present reference made. The case of the workmen is that the termination of the present reference made. The case of the workmen is that the termination of the services of Shri Jagdish Chandra Dhawan is unjustified. The case of the Bank on the other hand is that Shri Jagdish Chandra Dhawan was only a probationer and if his work was found to be unsatisfactory the Management of the Bank had full authority to terminate his services even before the expiry of the period of probation. It is not denied by the management that the period of probation had been extended upto 18th February 1964 and the actual termination of the services of the workman concerned was made with effect from 21st January 1964 i.e. before the expiry of the period of probation. The management rely on Para. 495 of the All India Industrial Tribunal (Bank Disputes) Award popularly known as the Shastri award. Reliance is placed on the following words in that naragraph "In all other cases probationers after the expiry of the period of six months should be deemed to have been confirmed unless their services have been dispensed with on or before the expiry of the period of probation". The management lay great stress on the words "Unless their services are dispensed with on or before the period of probation". The argument is that the agreement of service in this particular case as also the aforesaid words of Para 495 of the Shastri award clothe them with complete powers of terminating the services of a probationer on

or before the expiry of the period of probation. The workmen on the other hand contend that this interpretation cannot be put either on the agreement of service or on Para 495 of the Shastri Award. More formidable point of the workmen, however, is that after the expiry of six months from the date of original appointment the workman concerned must be deemed to have been confirmed because his services were neither dispensed with nor was the period of his probation extended within the limited period for which he was given probation in the appointment order. The management extended the period of probation by means of a letter which is Ext. R-3 and this is dated 6th September 1963. It is admitted by the management that no communication either for dispensing with the service or for extension of probationary period was made to the workman concerned at any time earlier than 6th September 1963. The probationary period started on 2nd March 1963 and ended on 1st September 1963 or 2nd September 1963. I find 2nd March 1963 and ended on 1st September 1963 or 2nd September 1963. I find considerable force in this contention of the workman and I am not impressed at all by the argument of the employers that the workman concerned having remained on leave for two months and 16 days within the original probationary period his probationary period automatically got extended by two months and 16 days and that it was therefore to end on 18th November 1963. The above quoted extract from Para 495 of the Shastri Award makes it clear that the probationer after the expiry of the period of six months should be deemed to have been confirmed. The National Industrial Tribunal (Bank's Dispute) Award of June 1963 popularly known as Desai Award also makes a precisely similar provision in its paragraph 21 17. Shri Jagdish Chandra Dhawan should in my opinion be deemed paragraph 21.17. Shri Jagdish Chandra Dhawan should in my opinion be deemed to have been confirmed with effect from 2nd September 1963 and his services, therefore, could not be terminated merely by treating him as a probationer. If he was treated as a permanent hand (as in my judgment he must be) his services could be terminated either under Paragraph 521 of Shastri Award or under the first portion of paragraph 522 of the said award. Under the latter provision the services of a permanent employee could be terminated by three months' notice or on payment of 3 months' pay and allowances in lieu of notice. It is admitted by the Bank that none of the aforesaid provisions were complied with and that he was given one month's pay under the latter portion of Sub-Para (1) of Para 522 of Shastri Award on the basis that he was only a probationer. After giving my careful consideration to the matter I have no doubt that the termination of services of Shri Jagdish Chandra Dhawan with effect from 21st January 1964 was not justified. He must in these circumstances be reinstated and must also be paid back wages for the period of his unemployment. I direct the Bank to reinstate him with continuity of and without any change in the conditions of his service and also to pay him back wages from the date he was thrown out of employment to the date he is reinstated. paragraph 21.17. Shri Jagdish Chandra Dhawan should in my opinion be deemed

(Sd.) K. L. GOSAIN,

22.1.1965.

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Chandigar

[No. F. 51(50)/64-LRIV.]

New Delhi, the 5th February 1965

S.O. 554.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Lucknow in respect of an industrial dispute between the the Industrial Tribunal, Lucknow in respect of an industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the National and Grindlays Bank Limited, and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 30th January,

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, (CENTRAL) AT LUCKNOW. PRESENT:

Sri J. K. Tandon-Presiding Officer.

ADJ. CASE NO. 10 OF 1964 (CENTRAL) 19

In the matter of an industrial dispute between M/s. National & Grindlays Bank Limited, Kanpur.

Vs.

Their Workmen.

APPEARANCES:

For the employers: Sri A. Roy Choudhry, Labour Adviser of the Bank, & Sri K. H. Wilson, Accountant of the Bank,

For the workmen: 1. Sri V. N. Sekhri, President of C. P. Bank Karamchari Sangh 2. Sri Mahesh Bajpai, Member of Executive, U. P. Bank Employees' Union

INDUSTRY: Banking. District: Kanpur.

Dated January 23, 1965

AWARD/ORDER

The Central Government as per their Order No. 51(56)/64-LRIV dated September 22, 1964 have sent the following matters of dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal:--

- (1) Whether having regard to the practice obtaining in the Bank, the management of the National and Grindlays Bank, Kanpur was justified in not considering Shri Mahesh Chandra Dixit for absorption in the permanent subordinate cadre and also terminating his services from the pool of casual labour? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?
- (2) Whether the supersession by the management, of Shri Jahnavi Kumar Bajpai by Sarvshri Surajdeo Prasad, S. N. Chakravarty, Kawal Nath Mehrotra, K. P. Shukla and Pratap Narain Kapoor in the matter of giving officiating chances as checking clerk in leave vacancies was justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?
- (3) Whether the supersession by the management of Shri Sita Ram Tewari by Sarvshri Ishwar Sahai Srivastava, Sanuman Prasad Srivastava and Ram Autar Srivastava in the matter of promotion as Assistant was justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?
- (4) Whether action of the management in not giving Shri Sita Ram Tewari officiating chances as Assistant during the leave vacancies was justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?
- 2. It will appear from the Reference Order and the accompanying documents that the claim on behalf of the workmen was commenced through the U. P. Bank Karamchari Sangh, Kanpur. There are two Unions working in this institution, namely the National & Grindlays Bank Ltd., Kanpur Branch. The other Union in U. P. Bank Employees' Union. The parties, in response to the notice issued to them, filed their respective pleadings which gave rise to certain preliminary issues affecting the jurisdiction of this Tribunal. The employers pleaded that the dispute which had been sent to the Tribunal did not partake the nature of an industrial dispute,

inasmuch as it was not sponsored or aspoused by or on behalf of the workmen of the institution. The U.P. Bank Karamchari Sangh which started the dispute disputed this proposition and claimed that the dispute in fact fulfilled the conditions necessary for an industrial dispute. Since this Tribunal can proceed to hear and decide industrial disputes only, a question of jurisdiction was apparently raised.

- 3. The case came up yesterday and again today for hearing on this preliminary issue, namely whether this Tribunal had jurisdiction to proceed with the matter. The U.P. Bank Karamchari Sangh examined two witnesses and also produced some documents. The U.P. Bank Employees' Union also intervened in the matter with the permission of the Tribunal. Certain documents were also produced on behalf of U.P. Bank Karamchari Sangh and today a few by the U.P. Bank Employees' Union. It is unnecessary, in view of the latest development in this case, to express any opinion on the validity or otherwise of the preliminary objection raised on behalf of the employers. Sri Sekhri, representative for the workmen who is also President of U.P. Bank Karamchari Sangh has requested the Tribunal to allow this dispute to be withdrawn because he considers that, having regard to the interest of industrial peace and good relations between the employers and the workmen, and the workmen inter se, the matter is amicably settled through negotiations. He has further requested that this Tribunal may not proceed with the decision of the issue before it, on the other hand dispose of the matter in accordance with his request as above. Sri Mahesh Bajpai who is appearing on behalf of the U.P. Bank Employees Union has no objection to conceding, Sri Sekhri's request. The representatives for the employers too has no objection to allowing the withdrawal provided it is without prejudice to the Bank's rights.
- 4. I have considered the request made by Sri Sekhri and also paid due regard to what he has stated objectively, and although more than a day and a half has been spent on this case still I consider that his interest of industrial peace which is foremost before us demands that his request should be considered. Under the circumstances I do not make any order on the preliminary objections, at the same time dispose of this case as per request of Sri Sekhri.
 - 5. There shall be no order as to costs.

Sd/- J. K. Tandon,
Presiding Officer (Central)
23-1-65.
[No. 51(56)/64-LRIV.]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 2nd February 1965

S.O. 555.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Hongkong and Shanghai Banking Corporation and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether having regard to the directions contained in the Award dated the 21st July, 1962 of the National Industrial Tribunal (Bank Disputes), Bombay, published under notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment No. S.O. 2603, dated the 7th August, 1962, and the recommendations of the Bonus Commission as accepted by the Central Government in the Resolution No. WB.20 (3)64, dated the 2nd September 1964, the quantum of bonus paid by the Management of the Hongkong and Shanghai Banking Corporation to their workmen in respect of the year 1962 was inadequate? If so, to what relief are the workmen entitled?

[No. 51(80)/64-LRIV.]

New Delhi, the 6th February 1965

S.O. 556.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to Shri N. P. Nayak, Customs House Agent, Cochin and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of subsection (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of Shri N. P. Nayak, Customs House Agent, Cochin-2 in refusing employment of Shri B. L. Mallia, as Tally Clerk with effect from the 23rd November, 1964 is justified? If not, to what relief is the workmen entitled?

[No. 28/135/64-LRIV.]

O. P. TALWAR, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS

New Delhi, the 30th January 1965

S.O. 557.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 3728, dated the 13th October 1964 under subsection (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipelines;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (i) of section 6 of that said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And, whereas, the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands, shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of the publication of this declaration in the Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. free from all encumbrances.

c.	777	וויא'	TT.	rm

State -West Bengal	Distt.—B	urdwan	Tchsil/Thana—Jamuria		
Village	Survey Nos. (Plot Nos.)	Extent (Area)		Survey Nos. (Plot Nos.)	Extent (Area)
KANUSTARA, J.L. 54	394 396 397 398 646 648 660	· 02 · 06 · 04 · 14 · 30 · 01 · 09	KANUSTARA, J.L. 54—contd.	745 941 1422 1423 1424 1487	· 14 · 16 · 10 · 12 · 02 · 09

[No. 31(33)63-ONG-Vol.18.];

S.O. 558.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 361, dated the 21st February 1964 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule-appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipelines;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And, whereas, the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands, shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of the publication of this declaration in the Oll and Natural Gas Commission free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

State-Gujarat

Distt.-Brach

Taluka—Ankleshwar

Village	Survey No.	Acre	Guntha	Village	Survey No.	Acre	Guntha
Sarthan	63/4	O	I	Sarthan-	93/1	0	I
99	63/5 65/ t	0	2 ½ 2	contd.	94/1 94/3	0	21
"	65/2 65/3	0	2 1 8	"	96/1	0	1 }

[No. 31/67/63-ONG.]

- S.O. 559.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum between Barauni Refinery in Bihar State and Kanpur in Uttar Pradesh State pipelines should be laid by the Indian Oil Corporation Limited and that for the purpose of laying such pipelines, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the schedule annexed hereto;
- 2. Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.
- 3. Any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipelines under the land to the Competent Authority at 7/166, Swarupnagar, Kanpur. Every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE

Distt.-Fatchpur

Tehsil-Khaga

Village	Survey No.		Ext	ent	Village	Survey No.	E	xte	nt
		В.	В.	В.	·	<u> </u>	В.	В.	В.
I. MAGHTENI	. 116	0	3	10	2. TENI-contd.	4248	0	0	18
I. WINGELLE	305	0	3	ΙÓ		4283	O	I	0
	389	0	2	13		4284	O	O	5
	392	0	3	2		4288	0	2	10
	442	0	4	10					
	• • •		•		3. KUKRA	248	0	2	0
2. TENI	. 1629	0	7	9	i	254	Ó	3	0
4. 1211-	1630	0	O	10		255	0		10
	1662	0	6	10		283/1	0	I	0
	1665	0	5	0		284	0	0	_
	1666	0	I	0		383/3	0	2	
	1996	Q	2	Ó		386	Ó	I	_
	1697	0	3	5		387/1	O	I	
	1698	0		0		387/2	0	O	
	1699	0	1	0	i	555/5	0	0	
	2511	0	9			556	0	1	_
	2512	Q	6	ΙΦ		559	0	1	
	2527	O	6	0		573/2	0	I	
	2550	0	12			577/2	0	3	0
	3754	0	6	5					
	377 2	0	Ι		4. KUKRI	314/1	0		_
	3774	0	3	0		400	O		
	4225	0	0	_		402	0	0	
	4226	0	Ι			409	0	I	_
	424I	0	3			410	0	r	_
	4247	0	I	16		411	0	2	0

Village Su	ırvey No.	Extent	Village	Survey No.	Extent
		B. B. B.			В. В. В.
4. KUKRIcontd.	412	0 0 5	9. KATOGHAN	7449	0 4 5
	414	O O 15	contd.	7454	0 12 0
5. PAMIPUR .	424	0.70 5		7456	0 1 0
5. I AMITOR .	• 424 425	0 12 5		7457 7476	0 1 10
	4-5	Ų		7478/2	0 10 15
6. SAHZAD PUR	1227/1	0 0 10		7479	0 1 0
KHAGA	1227/2	0 5 0		7482	060
	1228 1229/1	0 10 0		7488/2 7489	I 5 15
	1231/1	0 2 10		7566	0 7 5
	1238	0 2 5		7567	0 2 0
	1239	0 2 10		7571	0 0 15
	1267/2 1268	0 2 5		7573 7574	010
	1200	0,0		7574 7575	0 8 10
7. HAR DON .	- 1373	0 1 0	ŀ	7593	0 0 10
	1375	0 I 0		7 5 95	0 4 0
	1376 1380/1	010		7596 7507	0 3 0
	1380/2	0 1 0		7597 7599	0 2 0 I IO
	1386/1	0 2 0	Ì	7600	0 1 0
	1409	0 0 10	}	760 r	0 1 0
	1413	0 2 0	Í	7602	0 2 0
	3330 3335	0 0 15		8102 8103	0 4 0
	3338	0 I 5		8111	0 4 0
	4379/1370	0 2 0		8112	o i o
8. CHAK KATOGHA		0 0 5	10. PURAIN .	. 841	0 1 10
	413/2	0 0 10	1	843	0 3 0
9. KATOGHAN	. 724	0 0 5		861 863	0 1 0
•	1078	ото		877	0 2 5
	1079	0 1 0		885	0 7 5
	1084	0 4 15 0 1 10		891 802	0 5 0
	1340 1785	0 3 10		893 897	0 0 10
	1788	0 3 5		898	0 0 10
	1789	0 1 0	1	904	0 0 10
	1791	0 6 0	II. CHHIMI		
	1793 1794	0 2 10 0 7 15	II. CIIIIIIVII	· 479 1427	0 1 15
	1997	0 12 15	,	1428	0 1 5
	1798	0 0 10		1432	015
	1799	0 5 0		1463	005
	1816 1800	0 3 15		1464	0 2 5
	1817	0 2 0		1469 1477	0 2 o
	1821	0 0 15		1479	0 0 5
	1822	0 2 0	1	1489	0 0 10
	2082 2174	0 6 0	1	1494 2581	0 0 10
	2176	0 0 10		2582	0 0 15
	2177	0 1 10		2584	OIO
	2180	0 7 0		2585	0 0 15
	2184 2186	0 2 10	1	2611 2612	015
	2187	0 1 10		2612 2618	0 0 5 0 I 5
	7138	0 11 10		2619	0 I 5
	7139	050	1	2623	0 I 5

[No. 31/50/63-ONG.]

S.O. 560.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum between Barauni Refinery in Bihar State and Kanpur in Uttar Pradesh State pipelines should be

laid by the Indian Oil Corporation Limited and that for the purpose of laying such pipelines, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the schedule annexed hereto;

- 2. Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.
- 3. Any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipelines under the land to the Competent Authority at 7/166, Swarupnagar, Kanpur. Every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE

		SCRE	SDOLE		
State-Uttar Pradesh	<u>. </u>	Tahsil—E	Bindki	Distt.,-	-Fatepur.
Village	Survey No.	Extent	Village	Survey No.	E xt ent
ı. MIRAI	903	B. B. B. O I 2	6. GUDRAULI—conta	I. 1514 1516	B. B. B. o 16 o o 1 6
2. SHADIPUR .	418 435 451 456 458 494 623	0 0 17 0 14 9 0 2 9 0 2 3 0 3 16 0 1 0	7. RAM PUR	1517 1519 1521 204 225 226	0 16 10 0 1 0 0 8 17 0 18 5 0 5 5 0 0 9
3. BASAWAN PUR	176 214 215	0 I I5 0 0 I0 0 0 I0		227 237 243 244 245B	1 8 0 0 8 2 0 7 2 1 6 10
4. KHADRA	24 42 60 65 81	0 2 10 0 2 7 0 1 10 0 9 2 0 4 13		257 259 260 261 262 282	0 3 2 0 3 10 0 1 15 0 4 15 0 2 15 0 0 15 0 6 10
5, AUNG	122 123	0 0 10 1 5 10		283 288 289	0 14 0 0 1 8 0 3 1
6. GÚDRAULI .	1166 1169 1209 1211 1212 1213 1248 1249 1253 1258	0 2 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 1 8 0 2 0 0 0 5 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 4 10 0 0 10	8. PAHUR	112 116 117 119 393 396 399 400	0 I 0 0 4 5 0 I5 I5 O I3 0 0 5 4 0 0 6 0 0 4 0 2 0
	1428/2 1436 1442 1446 1452 1454	0 1 10 0 6 7 0 11 8 0 9 0 0 5 11 0 5 9	9. HARSINGH PUR	145 149 151 156 157 158	0 6 15 0 0 15 0 0 15 0 6 4 0 3 9 0 0 15
	1457 1495 1500 1501	0 3 5 0 2 10 0 11 10 0 1 2	10. HARDAUR PUR	192 195 196 197	0 5 19 0 0 3 0 0 15 0 1 11

Village	Survey	Extent	Village	Survey No.	Extent
		B.B.B.			B.B.B.
10 HARDAUR PUR— contd.	198 206 207 232 238 239 240	0 I 2 0 0 I4 0 I0 8 0 0 5 0 I 5 0 9 7 0 7 8	13. KANS PUR GE GAULI—contd	J- 2301 2427 2430 2433 2437 2439 2497 2498	0 3 15 0 1 5 0 2 0 0 3 3 0 0 5 0 1 15 0 0 10
fi, MAUHAR	2048 2049 2050 2051/1 2080 2081/2,3 2082/2 2096 2099M	0 3 0 0 1 10 0 1 0 0 4 5 0 2 5 0 5 2 0 8 18	14. UMRAUDI KA	2506 2507 2508 2509 2515 2553 2560 2562 2566	0 0 10 0 0 12 0 1 2 0 0 13 0 1 10 0 2 10 0 4 6 0 2 1 0 3 15
	2099WL 2125 2138	0 I J4	YAN PUR .	, 1335	0 2 18
	2139 2142 2151 2152 2154/1 2156 2157 2167 2169/1 2182 3816 3817	0 0 15 0 4 6 0 3 11 0 0 12 0 1 5 0 0 6 0 1 14 0 0 7 0 0 14 0 5 0 0 0 8	15. HABIB PUR	. I/I 4 9 10/2 10/3 33 36 37 38/1 57/3 59 62	0 3 5 0 2 10 0 1 0 0 0 10 0 4 10 0 2 0 0 1 5 0 3 0 0 0 11 0 2 0
	3820 3826 3850 3851 3861 3863 3864 3989 3998 3998 4107 4110	0 I 3 0 I 4 0 0 5 0 I 4 0 I 9 0 I 0 0 I 5 0 0 I 5 0 0 I 14 0 0 I0	16. DARIYA PUR	. 568 582 777 785 906/1 910 912 918 919 928	0 0 15 0 2 5 0 2 5 0 0 5 0 2 0 0 3 5 0 2 15 0 0 5 0 1 0 0 2 0
12. YAD GAR PUR ,	227 266 271	0 1 0 0 5 0 0 1 10		9 32 936 941 945	0 0 10 0 3 15 0 0 7 0 2 10
13. KANS PUR GU- GAULĮ	2077 2101 2109 2114	0 1 11 0 0 5 0 2 5 0 4 10		951 953 957/2 969 976	0 2 5 0 1 0 0 0 5 0 1 2 0 1 15
	2201 2202 2205 2206 2207 2210 2252 2255 2256 2257 2289 2292 2293	0 2 10 0 5 0 0 0 5 0 0 2 5 0 2 15 0 0 5 0 2 13 0 2 19 0 0 5 0 7 3 0 1 15 0 1 0	17. HASNA PUR	284 285 286 287 312 313 314 315 316 355 356	0 8 0 0 7 5 0 1 0 0 0 15 0 0 10 0 2 5 0 12 3 0 10 10 0 11 15 0 2 5 0 2 0

Village	Survey No.	Extent	Village	Survey No.	Extent
		В.В.В.			В,В.В.
17. HASNA PUR—	358	0 5 15	18. MAMRAZPUR—	567	0 9 0
contd.	<u>3</u> 60	0 5 0	contd.	668	0 5 10
	618	0 4 0	SIEDONI	669	0 0 10
	619 620	0 5 5 0 I 7	19. SIKRORI .	, 34 ¹ 351	0 4 0
	621	0 6 5		352	0 1 10
	622	0 0 10		355	0 1 0
	623	○ I O		363	0 0 10
	642/1	0 4 0		372	0 2 0
	642/2	0 12 15	SE TIMAD CAUNTA		0 2 0
	665/2 666	ιτ 8	20. UMAR GAHNA	. 325 440	0 2 0
	667	0 2 4	1	441	0 5 15
	684	0 5 10		442	0 0 5
	68 <i>5</i>	0 2 0	,	443	0 14 5
	686	0 I O		444	0 I O
	687	0 1 10	DAG DAHYA	() a	
	688 689	0 7 0	21. DAG DAIYΛ	, 80 90	0 0 5
	691	0 1 10		9 1	0 0 10
	696	0 0 9		94	0 0 10
	697	o 1 5			
	700	0 2 0	22. PAH BETA .	. 106	0 I IO
	701	0 2 10		107	0 3 5
	702 701	0 9 5		110	0 2 I 0 7 IS
	704 705	0 4 1	1	112 113	0 7 15
	723	0 4 19		123	9 +)
	724	065	23, OKHRA	, 255	O 2 O
	725	0 I I0		258	0 4 10
	77 4	0 15 0		259	0 6 5
18. MAMRAZPUR	477/4	1 1 4		261 265	0 5 0
10. 1017) 111717 1 (.)(· 4///4 481	0 4 10		267	0 3 0
	482	0 0 5		268	0 3 0
	484	050		270	060
	486	080		271	0 I 10
	499	0 6 0		278	0 1 0
	500	C 7 0	}	279 526	0 18 10
	501 520	01 I Q		536 537	0 8 0
	524	0 0 5		538	0 4 10
	525	0 0 15		539	0 ż 5
	526	0 2 0		540	0 12 10
	527	0 6 0		541	0 13 10
	614 615	0 14 0		545 546	0 I 5 0 2 IO
	637	0 0 5		546 548	0 1 0
	638	0 7 0		549	0 16 0
	640	0 14 5		5 <u>5</u> 1	030
	663	0 4 0		553	0 I IO
	664	0 4 0		554	1 I o
	665 666	0 7 0		555 558	0 14 15 0 2

[No. 31/50/63-ONG(2).]

S.O. 561.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum from the Ankleshwar Oil field in Gujarat State to Baroda in Gujarat State, pipelines should be laid by the Oil and Natural Gas Commission and that for the purpose of laying such pipelines, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the Schedule annexed hereto.

^{2.} Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum Pipclines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire to acquire the right of user therein.

3. Any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipelines under the land to the competent authority at Elampeco, 4th Floor Savajiganj Opp. College Lokmanya Tilak Road, Baroda in the office of the Gujarat Pipelines Project, Oil and Natural Gas Commission. Every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner.

Schedule

State—Gujarat			Dist	rict~	-Broach	LomA—akulaT			
Villag	ge		 	 		Survey No.	Acre	Guntha	Sq. Yds.
Karena .						61	0	4	3
				 			[]	No. 31(38)	/63-ONG.]

New Delhi, the 1st February 1965

- S.O. 562.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum from the Ankleshwar Oil field in Gujarat State to Baroda in Gujarat State, pipelines should be laid by the Oil and Natural Gas Commission and that for the purpose of laying sucn pipelines, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the Schedule annexed hereto.
- 2. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.
- 3. Any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipelines under the land to the competent authority at Elampeco, 4th Floor Savajiganj Opp. College Lokmanyu Tilak Road, Baroda in the office of the Gujarat Pipeline Project, Oil and Natural Gas Commission. Every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE

State-Gujarat

District-Baroda

Taluka-Karjan

Villag	r						Survey No.	Acre	Guntha	Sq. Yds
Makan							. 198			
11141411	•	•	•	•	•	•	384/2	ó	ő	3
							386	ő	ō	10
							40 2	ŏ	6	5
							403/2	ō	7	_
							366	Ó	, TÎ	
							364	0	' 9	9
							364 363/1	O	4	3
							363/2	0	2	2
							362/1	0	2	I
							359/2	O	3	5
							358/1	0	4	
							357/2	0	Ī	1
							287/I/A	0	0	ç
							287/1/2	0	0	9

Village				S	urvey No.	Acre	Gunta	Sq. Yds.
Miyagam			,		1232/2	0	II	18
					1158	0	0	78
					166/2/A	0	17	35
					166/2/B	Q	15	52
					166/3	0	27	77
					165/4	0	I	18
					1323	0	23	17
Dhamanja					28/I	0	4	36
Karamadi					103	0	3	2
Khandha					48	0	17	75

[No. 31(38)/63-ONG.1

- S.O. 563.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum from the Ankleshwar Oil field in Gujarat State to Baroda in Gujarat State, pipelines should be laid by the Oil and Natural Gas Commission and that for the purpose of laying such pipelines, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the Schedule annexed hereto.
- 2. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.
- 3. Any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipelines under the land to the competent authority at Elampeco, 4th Floor Sayajiganj Opp. College Lokmanya Tilak Road, Baroda in the office of the Gujarat Pipeline Project, Oll and Natural Gas Commission. Every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE District-Baroda Taluka-Baroda State-Gujarat Guntha Sq. Yds. Village Survey No. Acre Bhaili 245I/I 102 2451/2 0 9 54 O 39 2451/3 1009 16 86 52 Undera 319/2 ΤÒ

[No. 31(38)/63-ONG/ii.]

- S.O. 564.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum from the Ankleshwar Oil field in Gujarat State to Baroda in Gujarat State, pipelines should be laid by the Oil and Natural Gas Commission and that for the purpose of laying such pipelines, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the Schedule annexed hereto.
- 2. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act. 1962 (50 of 1962) the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.

3. Any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipelines under the land to the competent authority at Elampeco, 4th Floor, Sayajiganj, Opp. College Lokmanya Tilak Road, Baroda in the office of the Gujarat Pipeline Project, Oil and Natural Gas Commission. Every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner.

State-GUJARAT

SCHEDULE
District—BROACH

Ta'uka-BROACH

Village									Survey No.	Асте	Guntha	Sq. Yds.
Ghodi					***				90/P	0	18	62
>>	•	•		•			•	•	90/P	0	<i>5</i> 3	17
29	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	90/P	0	3	26
>>	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	90/P	0	II I	46 112
33	•	•	•	•		•	•	-	89/P 89/P	0	6	
39	•	•	•	•	•	•			89/P	ī	5	5 61
37	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	:	82/P	ō	12	104
13		•	•	•	-			•	82/P	o	16	39
33									82/P	ō	3	100
Varedia									263	0	2	62
		•	•	•	•	•	•	:	260/2	ő	30	73
"	•	'	•	•	•	'	•	•	200/2	Ü	30	/3
Kahan			_						178	0	3	65
33						,			6/3	ŏ	12	34
									-,5			
Paghuth	ān								237/2	0	4	93
"			-						220	0	2	91
										_	6	
Kargat	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	167 161	0		52
33	•	•	-	•	•	,	•	•		0	17 22	51
33	•	•	•	•	•	'	•	•	34	U	22	29
Haldar	_			_					281/P	o	16	32
,))						· ·			390	ŏ	2	91
										•		
Maktam	pur	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	98	0	2	92
B ori	•			•			•		33	0	2	105
Nabipu				•					243/8	0	o	89
Sitpon						•			316/1	o	18	119
33					,				326/P	o	3	86
>>							-		326/P	0	20	112
,,			•		•		-	•	326/P	0	18	22
,,	•		٠	•		•	-	•	359 <u>/3</u>	0	14	17
*>	•	•		-	•	•	•	•	359/P	0	14	40
,,	•	•	-	-	•	•	•	•	362/P	0	4	79
**	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	362/P	0	4	.79
**	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	373/2	0	į	119
**		•		•	•	*	•	•	368/4 368/6	0	I 2	- 4
37	•	•	•	•	•	.*	•	•	312	0	20	14
32		•				•	•	:	321/2	ŏ	19	62
**	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	321/4	J	19	04
Kisnad		•	-		•	•		•	232/1	0	II	14
33	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	23/7	0	15	67
29	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	23/8 23/P	0	29	92
33	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	23/P 23/P	0	9 6	00
35	•	•	•	•	'.			•	76/I	0	0	101
3)	:	•	-	•	•	•	:	÷	22/5	Ö	22	55 46
33 (33		•			•	Ċ	:	÷	22/7	Ö	3	70
133	-		-	-	•	•	-	•	22/6	ŏ	12	70 59

[No. 31(38)/63-ONG/iii

S.O. 565.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 4090, dated the 20th November, 1964 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1:62 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipelines;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And, whereas, the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands, shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil and Natural Gas Commission free from all encumbrances.

SCHOOLL

State—GUJARAT			Di	strict—KAIRA	Tah	Taluka—MEHMADABAD			
Village			 ··		Survey No.	Acre	Guntha	Sq.	Yds.
Kaira.			 		. 102	0	21		33
33					Road	0	3		104
در					101/2	0	8		24
23					100	0	27		46 50
30					95	0	10		50
23					92	0	18		0
'n					92/1	0	12		6 <u>5</u> 86
23					89	0	16		86
 39					86/A	٥	1		33
2)					86/B	٥	7		36
25					Road	0	Í		33
30					100/1	0	3		33 36 33 65

[No. 31/41/64-ONG(i).]

S.O. 566.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 4092, dated the 20th November 1964 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipelines;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And, whereas, the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands, shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil and Natural Gas Commission free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

State-GUJARAT

District-KAIRA

Teluka-MATAR

Village	Survey No.	Acre	Guntha	Sq. Yds
Raghvanaj.	28	0	0	10
33	27	0	<u>3</u>	40
2)	26/1	0	5	52
25	26/2	o	4	95
33	20 19	0	29 5 3 17	53
2)	Road	ö	3	95 73
23		ŏ	17	113
33 37	19 Road	0	Ť	58
3)	4 74	0	6	5
33	475/2	0	7 2	5 51
35	476	O		46
33	479/I	Ö	24	59
33	472/2	0	16	55 28
35	480	0	5 4 6	28
33	476	0	4	60
n	465/1 462	0		114
ėt,	463/6	ő	13	95
33	463/ 5	ŏ	2	40 69
33	463/4	ō	- - -	111
7)))	Road	ō	15 2 2 5 1	73
"	435/4	o	5 1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
22	434/1	•	Ī	112
10	434/2	٥	14	33
33	436	0	3 5 13	17
33	433	0	_5	17
33	437 438	0	13	4:
33	430 458	0	2 3 16	70
37	43° 442	ő	16	55
39	44I	ă	19	104
33 22	Road	ō	1	112
»	4 44	0	26	109
33	Road	0	1	7
29	400	٥	26	27
"	399	O	17	54
Undhela	752	o	32	9
14	874	0	9	28
22	875/1 875/2	0	9	21 21
33	876	ő	2	
,	Road	ō	ī	5. 5.
29 25	739/1	Ö	12	3
i)	739/ 2	۵		4
 	938	٥	9 3	
33	733 718/1 718/2	O	20	8
,	71 <u>8/</u> 1	O	18	3
23	718/2	ä	15 0	9
33	720/I	0	o	11
39	720/2	0	3	10
"	720/3 690	o	3 4 3 3	10
33	689/B	0	3	IC
33	722/3	6	7	
35	689/ A	ő	1	:
,,	722/1	ā	33	
n 21	722/2	õ	11	1
<i>51</i>	Sima đa o		r	
*-	Vanasoli,			

624 THE GAZETTE OF INDIA: FEBRUARY 18, 1965/MAGHA 24, 1886 [PART II-

Village	Survey No.	Acre	Guntha S	Sq. Yds.
Vansoli	501 498	0	4	84 72
31		•	•	,-
33	499/I	0	2	70
))))	495 494	0	14 4	79 107
23	493	0	12	72
33	488 Road	0	24 I	13 35
»	370	ŏ	ô	92
33	371	0	13	94
	374 37 5	0	23 7	59 86
55 53	376	ŏ	7 16	1
»	378	0	8	66
**	Road 354	0	1 13	35 21
3) 3)	354 353	Ö	15	18
91	312	0	2	96
3)	313	0	19	104
2) 2)	302 303	Ö	20 18	46 52
p)	305	0	3	67
11	301	0	13	17
,,	304 300	0	I 10	49 15
3) 3)	299	ŏ	0	19
37 ,	214	0	7	102
33	Simada	0	2	38
Ratanpur	615	0	8	20
"	616 621	0	5 10	17 112
))))	620	ŏ	10	96
**	655	0	6	
	656 657/1	0	18 16	7
))))	<i>6</i> 5~/2	ŏ	10	11
33	659	0	6	2
27	Road	o	6	(
Sokhada	· 45	٥	11	116
2)3	36 35	o o	24	•
3))3	33 37	ò	4 16	11
n n n	31	0	4	
3 1	30 27 .	. 0	5 11	10
3 € 6 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	26	. 0	7	8
دو	Road	0	3	11
* ·	277	ō	12	2
,	389/3	0	10 9	4
))),	389/2	ŏ	5	111
23	389/1	0	25	6,
25	390 393/2	0	8 48	I:
:)))	393/2 392 Road	ŏ	9	3
,,	Road	0	I	3
»	394 Road	0	15 6	9
2) 3)	658 657 672 674 670	ŏ	18	6 7
"	657	0	19	4
33	672	0	17	10
))		O	0	2

Villago	Survey No.	Acre	Guntha	Sq. Yds.
Hariyala-,,contd.	452,	0	12	
" "	453/2	I	12	
27	453/I	0	30	41
**	635 Road	0	10 4	10 81
> 1	578	ŏ	2	54
11	579/ I	0	26	120
)) 	580	0	19	112
**	581 584/2	0	15 6	20 52
17	584/I	ŏ	16	70
J'	586/1	0	24	114
13 13	625/2	0	12	59
37	626/1 625/1	0	21	41 73
33	620	0	12 27	72 7 7
>)	621	ŏ	22	13
17	622/1	1	I	9
**	622/2	0	9	54
,,	622/3	0	15	75
Goblaj	23 509/1	0	12 9	80 31
57	509/2	ō	12	18
***	507/I	٥	15	106
55 27	535/1	0	6	64
3)	535/3/2	0	0	31
n	536/1 537/2	0	3 2	38
**	537/I	ŏ	a	19 89
11	537/2	ō	2	89
	538/I	0	10	19
+5 31	538/2	0	5 6	48
,,	538/2 Paiki.	0	6	75
19	530	0	5	17
11	438/ 3	ó	10	34
25 32	Road	0	3	10
4)	547/3	0	7	4 6:
**	548/1 548/2/T	0	9 11	9: 30
**	548/2/1 548/2/2	ŏ	5	118
	572	0	πó	
»	573 Road	0	12	34 8
**	Road	0	0	93
))	578 + 623 624	I 0	0	29 28
31	617+618	ŏ	15 9	15
,,	619/1	ō	13	45
31	619/2	0	3	13
*) <i>1</i> 7	614+615	0	23 9 2 7	. 5
31	610 613	0	9	66
**	Road	ŏ	7	15
93	666	ŏ	7	3 5 66 15 47 55 64 98 77
1)	6 67	0	7	64
))))	668	0	24	98
	681 707/1	0	29	77
33	707/1 7 07/2	0	15	21
"	707/3	ŏ	5	31 79 71
**	674	I	4	. 7
)	674 7 29/1 /2	0	23	1'
77 71	729/1/Y	0	22	14
,,	729/2 730 764	0	17 9 7	113
	720			

Village	Survey No.	Acre.	Guntha.	Sq. Yds.
Gcblajcontd.	565	0	2	57
11 22	766 767	0	2	57
**	767 770	0	1 4	31 14
D	769	ŏ	9	78
77	773	ō	ıí	38
79 23	774	0	12	2
;; ;;	775	0	10 6	73
3)	777 778	0	7	114 102
**	780	ŏ	25	101
Kajipura	. 126	0	11	100
"	Road.	ō	I	35
•••	125	0	16	20
>) >>	122/A	0	10	86
,,	127 122/B	0	4 8	51
71	122/B 120	Ö	21	7 4 80
**	114+115	2		55
Pansoli	117, 313,	2	18	81
	118, 314,		-0	0-
	165, 162,			
	161, 159,			
,,	&157. 144	0	18	20
> 2	•209~P	ŏ	2	39 85
1)	143	ō	8	43
91 1)	Road	0	I	43 35 86
33	207-P	0	34	86
"	210/1 Road	0	8	113
"	210/2	0	9	97 31
•)	221	ŏ	12	2
43	220/2	0	13	107
77	252	0	15	. 5
71	256 263	0	22 2	14 116
н	264	ö	19	65
17	267	ŏ	íś	52
))))	287	0	10	120
77 24	268	0	3	81
**	286 284/1	I 0	.5 16	7 7 8
73	284/2	0	6	36
11	292/1	ŏ	8	105
?) 2)	293/I	0	.5 18	103
31	294 + 295 296/ I	0	18	34
11	296/1 296/2	0	5	95 46
>>	296/3	ŏ	9 5	118
,,	297	0	7	16
Pinglaj	. III/I	0	15	92
- 11 ·	112'1-2	0	4	45
"	113	0	23	103
2)	114 120	O I	10 2	73 51
,	141	ô	õ	107
4 ,	142	0	16	117
>> >>	145	0	21	80
n	147	0	12	4
n	146 148	0	4 1	20
17	149	ő	٥	35 00
1)	150	ŏ	9 16	I(2
,,	Simada of Barc.a.	0	2	108

S.O. 567.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 4133, dated the 23rd November, 1964 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipelines;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (i) of section 6of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And, whereas, the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands, shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil and Natural Gas Commission free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

ite—Gujarat		District—Kaira	Taluka-Nadiad		
Village		Survey No.	Acre	Guntha	Sq. Yds.
Vadtal		Road			11
93	•	. Road 90/3	0	2	10
2)		9○/ I	0	I I	53
22		89/2	0	9	9:
37		89/3	0	7	4
,,		9/P	0	15	7
20		9/ P	0	14	4
		Road	0	I	3
33		12/ <u>P</u>	0	13	1 7
23		12/P	0	11	
25		12/P	o	8	Ι¢
3)		12/P	0	0	4
99		465	0	9	_9
>>		454 _{(D}	0	9 9 8	IO.
p.p		447/P	0	8	8
30		Road	0	2	_
23		21 D 1	0	10	7
93		Road	0	I	2
22		23	0	9	1 I
22		25	0	2	9
39		24 26	ი ბ	9	
35		Road Road		9 5 9 5 0 3 13	I
3)		26/P	0	0	11
59		26/P	0	12	10 2
))		26/P	ò	13	
"		Road	Ö	3	10
**		48/P	Ö	3 O O 3 3 8	10
,,,		48/P	ö	y	2
,,		46	ő	3	6
24		46 45/P	ŏ	10	5
30		43, 5	•	10	3

Village		Survey No.	Acre	Guntha.	Sq. Yds.
Vadtal-contd.		 . 45/P	0	10	73
.,		45/P 54/P	0	10	30 112
2)		54/P	ŏ	14	56
>7 >5		55/P	ŏ	9	116
"		Road	0	á	104
23		411 <u>/P</u>	0	10	50
2.9		411/P	0	11	77
22		411/P 411/P	0	6 2	114 85
35		411/P	o	8	0
;;		411/P	ő	13	39
,,		408/P	O.	5	95
22		408/P	0	9	116
22		407	0	9 9 9	္ဝ
*9		406	0	_9	62
"		405/P 405/P	0	10	112
,,		405/P	Ö	1	7 19
93 33		405/P Road	ő	ō	58
33			_		,
Palana , .	, ,	 . 565 571/1	0	27	97 32
2)		571/2	ŏ	3	80
33 33		569/2	ŏ	5 3 8 6	59
32		570/1	0		ží
,,,		570/2	0	4	14
29		Rond	0	2	30
>9		732/1	0	18	116
"		731 941/ 2	0	19 0	აი 97
35		941/1	ō	2	40
))))		943/2	ŏ	2 2	10
"		743/I	0	5	24
3 1		750/2	٥	5 0 5 0	52
ر د		749	٥	5	20
,,		748 750/1	0		6) 31
37		913/2	ő	9 0	117
)) .9		913/1	ŏ	5	31
21		912	0	ŏ	9:
J3		913/3	0	12	100
>		914	0	9 1	Ž-
٠,		916	0	2	6· 10
3)		910 906/3	0	21	7.
g- ut		906/2	ő	4	10.
y:		906/1	ō	4	Q
3,		905	ρ	4	71
3		904 903 Road	0	5 9 1	39
37		903	Ŏ	õ	10
22		1079	0	1 2 I	7
23		10/9	o o	11	'
35 25		1077 1074/2	ŏ	6	30 10 7 66 8 5 5 11 8
2)		1076	0	11	8
3)		1075	0		5
n		1094	O	13	5
2)		Rhad	0	o 8	11
, pa		1092	0	8	8.
19		106 1093	0	12 11	3
11 33		1(02	ŏ	1 I	
)) (1)		1102 128 /1	õ	1	9
		1284	0	11	

Palana—contd.	1281 1279 1280 1278 Road	0 0	12 4	95
))))))	1280 1278 Road		A	::
))))	1278 Road	•	4	37
33	Road	0	7 6	24 52
		ŏ	2	7
,,	1276	0	2	7 86
31	Road	0	1	56
37	1277	Ö	0	72
) 2	1301 1302	0	11 6	61 1 2
37	1303/1	ŏ	š	33
))))	1309	ŏ	5	82
53	Road	0	1	35
39	1323/2	0	10	97
55	1323/1	o	7	117
13	1322	0	.7	101
»	1326 1319	0	14 8	103
99 N1	1318	0	16	14 86
.,	1316	Ö	10	3
33	1317	O	12	80 80
173	1341	0	0	58 88
-33	1343	0	8	88
"	1344/1	0	7 5	102
25	1349 Road	ŏ	J	17 35
))))	1411	ö	Â	70
3)	1410	Ō	3	33 85 96
35 ·	1409	0	11	85
	1406	0	2	96
زر	1406/1	0	8	4 38
>>	1408 1409/2	0	11	38
3) 31	1423/1	I	17 5	59 108
30	1425	ō	10	34
25	1434/1	o	2	7
**	1434	0	1	12
n	1430/3	0	8	28
tt.	1430/2	0	9	78
3)	1430/1 1431/ 3	o	4	54 58
25 39	1432	ŏ	Ĝ	98
Akhadol	393	۵	34	8
,,	384	0	Q	97 86
39	384/3	0	7	
>	384/I 385/2	0	0	111 104
29 33	385/I	ò	16	8
3)	386/5	ő	ĭ	ŏ
25	386/ 5 386/4	0	6	107
29	386/2	0	0	44
77	386/T	0	14	39
39	387/1	0	0	97
· 3 3	370/2 372/3/D	0	14	25 18
5) 23	369/2	0	1 0	97
75.	372/C/4P	ŏ		24
))	372/C/I	0	9 6	99
31	372/C/P	0	0	89
27	372/B/P	0	6	52
>>	372/B	0	2	108
55 	372/B/P 372/B/P	0	4	29 97
36 31	339/B	ö	4	97 94
**	2571-	-	7	74

Village	Survey No.	Acre	Guntha	Sq. Yde.
Akhadol—contd.	339/A/1	0	8	43
,,	339/A/5 338/2	0	o I	36 44
59 59	338/I	ŏ	8	82
35	337/2 323/8	0	7	16
9 0 33	323/4	0	10 3	50 96
3)	323/3	0	12	29
))))	325/A/5 325/A/ 3	0	1 5	104 72
3)	325/A/4	0	o	36
39 	325/B 324/2	0	9	7 8 36
))))	307/5	ŏ	9	78
"	307/1 318/ 8	0	4	56
29 33	318/5	0	o 9	9 7 60
>9	318/4	0	7	47 -
n	318/3 310/2	0	o 6	10 18
59 57	310/1	ŏ	8	
>>	297/3/B	0	0	43 78
3) 33	296/P 296/P	0	14	I 10
**	296/P	0	7 16	32 86
,	293 292/3	0	17 3	74 26
))))	292 /5	ō) T	73
39	292/4 Pond	0	11	108
20 99	Road 289/2/P	0	1 8	35 43
2)	289/2/P	0	6	10
39	289/1/P 248/4/P	0	11	86
39 97	2 48/5	ŏ	8	13 43
J3	251/2 251	0	. 7	43 86
29 28	Road	ø	15 6	106 18
29	253	o	25	8
99 23	254 255/2	0	0 14	97
,, ,,	Road	0	2	1 7 69
37	194/2 194/1	0	6	97
))))	181/1	0	9 10	0 108
99	181/2	0	I	18
)) 29	182/1 182/2	0	0	69
))	188	0	12	44 86
,,	184 183/ 2/2	0	2	0
\$1 33	Road	0	2 1	91 35
"	145	0	0	97
))))	146/6 146/4	0	8 11	7 2
27	146/3	0	r	35
33	Road 136/3	0	1 6	35 0
35 33	136/1B/P	0	14	95
20	137	0	0	97 8
>> 23	135/2 135/1	0	16 0	8
92	134	0	8	44 36
دد	Road	0	O	113

Village	Strvey No.	Acre	Guntha	Sq Yo
Mitral—contd.	587/4 588/3/P	o	О	
22	588/3/ P	0	9 7	
79	588/3/P 588/2	0	7	
)) 	Road	0	5 1	
39 39	504/4	ō	8	
2)	504/3	0	7	
>>	503/ <u>3</u>	0	II	
25	503/2 504/2	0	9 0	
))))	516/ I	ő	ŏ	
2)	517/P	ō	21	1
33	517/P	0	õ	
33	500/2	0	6	
)	520/P 520/1+2	0	20 11	
31	+496	U	**	
25	524/2	0	6	
»	525/2	0	8	1
37	525/I/ 2	0	. 9	
,	458	0	14	
37	457/I	0 0	0	
29 39	459 456/1 + 3	ŏ	35	
33	455	ō	15	
23	Road	0	I	
39	454/1 Road	0	7 1	
» Pij	Road	0	1	
	876/I	0	2	
33 33	1080	Ö	II	
))	1079	O	9	
37	1081	٥	II	
"	1085 1086	0	17 5	
))))	1076	ŏ	0	
))))	1087	ŏ	12	
83	1089/2	0	0	
33	1089/1	0	11	
3)	1090	0	3 12	1
	1116 1117	0	0	•
))))	1114/3	ŏ	II	1
32	1114/2	0	0	
59	1114/1	0	6	
23	1137	0	0 11	
3)	1113 1113	0	0	
"	1139	ŏ	10	
19	1148/T	0	22	
v	1152/1	0	3	_
1)	1148/2	0	12	1
33	1153 Road	0	0	
19 33	1310	o	16	
1)	1311/2	ŏ	0	
*/	1311/1	0	0	
35	1309/1	0	7	
",	1308/3 1308/1	0	3 1	
25 73	1308/2	0	5	
29	1303/2	ŏ	I	
)	1303/1	0	7	
3.0	1302 1304	0	r	
11		0	O	

Village	Survey No.	Acre	Guntha S	q. Yds
Pij—contd.	. , 1300	0	14	1′ 50
p)	1346	0	5	5
فد	1347/1	0	10	3,4
2)	1347/2	0	4	60
3	1 <u>3</u> 48 1349	•	7 11	10
39 29	1350	ō	ō	6
33	1351	o	15	Q
2.5	1353/1	O	17	7
27	1353/2	O	ć	_
37	Road	0	1	7
>>	1649 Road	0	11	10
**	1657	ŏ	ó	3
55 25	1656	6	25	9
2)	1655	O	25 8	I
23	1654	0	II	8
>>	1652+165		24	9
23	1816	0	30	2
33	1813/2 1813/1	0	6	5
22	1819	ŏ	14 1	3
3) 20	1822/2	ŏ		2
λo	1822/4	٥	4	4
33	1823/3	0	Ĭ 6.	
53	1823/2	0	6.	9
33	1823/I	•	5 1	j
23	Road 1830	0		9
>> >>	1823+185		9 26,	10
		"	_	
D	Road 1952	0	1 14	
33 33	1929	ŏ	13	6
22	1936	ø	3	
33	1932	•	14	(
"	1942/3	•	3 7 0	2
33	1942/2	0	7	8
,,,	1942/1 1933	6	0	9
27	1941	ő	τĞ	i
39 30	19 '0	Ď	ō	
0)	193 9	0	14	
₂ 0	1938	0	7	
"	1936	۵	19	:
>>	1908 Road	0	1 0	,
,,	2089	0 0	6	
12 22	Road	Ď	1	
2)	1907/P°	Ö	14	
22	1907/P	0	14	
23	1907[P `	0	9 6	
58 98	1907/P' Road	0	0	
Vano	. , 1876	o	o o	
so ex	1877	g	8	
3 /	1881	0	.7 11	
£ (1879 1880	0	11	
3) 5)	1891/1	ŏ	5	
رو ص	1890	ŏ	ĩ	
20 20	Road	0	1	
AS	1891/2	0	6	
27	1909	0	14	
13	1902	0	38	

Villave	Survey No.	Acre	Guntha.	Sq. Yds.
Vaso-conid.	Road	0	1	35 72
22	1962/1	0	0	72
35	1946	0	24	93
23	1948	O-	10	42
33	Road	0	I 8	3.5 8.2
>>	1940 Road	0	1	24
35	NORG.	0	និ	3.5 8.5
29	36 88	Ö	š	9
23	87/2	ŏ	5 7 13	119
57 83	86	ŏ	13	70
23	83	- 0	10	73
22	84	Ō	5	9:
23	77	0	aΪ	6
2)	76	ø	18	2
22	75	0	o	4
33	67	٥	11	4
20	75 67 66	٥	0	9:
33	68	0	23	79
23	63	0	2 7	3
22	62	O	ĭ	1
99	Road	0	I	3
57	117	0	7	11
5)	Road	О	I	3
23	322	٥	10	7.
52	321	0	4	Į.
55	Road	0		∤ c
52	118	0	2	0
>>	119	0	I4 12	8
))	121	0	8	4°
"	120		11	1.
35	122	0	15	5 2:
32	279 282/1	0	0	
37	280	٥	14	5 8 4 3 2 2 8
37	268	ŏ	- 	4
32 22	269	ŏ	1	3
22	259	o	22	2
13	251	ŏ	7	2
23	249	0	25	8
35	Road	0	T	3 6
23	512	0	18	ő:
2)	512 Road	o	1	3.
27	549	0	5	3
2)	548	O	10	3.
37	546	ø	19	8:
) ;	556/1	0	19	111
כע	538/2	0	6	2
92	538/1 Road	0	33	5
23		0	1	3
22	5 79	0	_5	1
99	580	0	14	I
75	581	0	2	6
30	582 Road	0	23 I,	3:

[No. 31/41/64-ONG(iii).]"

S.O. 568.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 4011, dated the 20th November 1964, under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipelines;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (i) of section 61 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And, whereas, the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands, shall instead of vesting in the Central Government vest on the date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil and Natural Gas Commission free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

State—Gujarat		ate—Gujarat District—Ahmedabad		Taluka—Dascroi			
Vill	age			Survey No.	Acre	Guntha	Sq. Yds.
Badarabad	. ,			. 82	0	9	39
23				83	Q	21	98
99				84	0	8	97
22				105	0	4	45
25				85 86	0	2 29	4 2 30
99				Road	ò	29	93
37				Roud	Ū	Ŭ	
Bakrol				, 230	0	16	24
"				237	0	12	88
,,				238	0	4	56
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				239	0	15	5
95				236	0	12	41
33				242	0	29	22
"				241	0 I	I 22	53
"				255+253 254	0	0	75 58
**				256	ŏ	7	72
97				Road	ŏ	í	35
77				306	ō	ī	58
20 22				307	ō	15	74
,,				3 08	o	23	25
11				309	0	Ï	35
"				Road	0	2	100
33				413	2	28	108
Vanzar .	, .	,		. 176	0	26	74
33				Road	O	I	112
żż				180	0	.9	109
53				183	0	21	96
**				181 182	0	7 10	55
23				187	Ö	6	27 18
23				189	Ö	8	66
,,,				188	ő	24	28
37				203	ŏ	0	63
93 35				Road.	o	3	104
"				136	0	ğ	39
23				135	٥	16	47
15				137	0	12	34
,,				143	0	12	72
31				144	0	25	13
**				123	0	23 8	56
,,				124	0	8	90
23				121	τ	2	6
33				III	0	16	55
33				112	0	27 6	54
37				110	0	0	52

Road.

o

117

o

Village	Sur	vey No.	Acre	Guntha	Sq. Y
Vanzar—contd.	19		φ	5	6
2)	18		o	5	6
33	24		0	1	2
33	16		0	15	7
23	Pai	&15 iki.	0	2 6	
*	14-	+15	0	12	•
39		aiki. + 15 aiki.	٥	4	1
Fatewadi			•	28	į
	• • • 43 41	,U	0	18	
3) 3)	41		o o	33	1
33	40		õ	9	
22	39		ō	19	
22	39	96	ő	ī	
22	39	8	ŏ	14	
ود	40)I	0	37	
,,	40) 0	0	10	
33	33		О	13	
93	33		O	32	
		9+320	1	3	_
3)	31		0	33	1
1)	31		0	7	
2)	30		0	3 0	
22	25 20	50 60	o .	. 0	
57	25		0	- 9 8	
73 73		36+2 37	ĭ	17	
"	19	98 + 199	ō	24	
"		88 + 189	٥	4	1
	-,	3			
	18	38+189	0	24	
3)		6	Ü		
33		87 05+107	0	25	
"		I	o	16	
ود			0	5	
39		10+111	0	18	
		2			
))))	3 6	;	0	12 6	
Girmstha	2	41	o	28	
29	2	42	0	19	
2)		076	0	17	
29	.2	39	0	0	
33	2	37	0	17	
55	2	36	0	I	
3)	2	38	0	7	
,,,	I	189 189	0	19	
33	1	189 185	0	12 2	
33	1	187	Ö	3	
9) 9)	1	187 186	ö	5	

Village					Survey No.	Acre	Guntha	Sq. Yds.
Girmatha—conid.	•			•	. 154/P	0	7 6	79
30					154/P	0		0
27					154/P	0	0	78
39					154/P 154/P	0	3 0	8 5 33
)) 2)					154/P	6	ŏ	21
»					154/P	ō	5	25
31					101	0	5 35	25 58
34					99/2	0	5	56 28
37					100	0		28
)) 					73 72	0	12 6	41 44
33 30					72 75	ő	10	34
33					76	ŏ	9	79
39					77/2	0	9 6	52
))					77/3	Ö	7 8	43
;;					53	0	8	4
j)					52 56/2	0	9	97
37 31					36 36	0	15	52
3)					35/P	ō	5	52 48
32					35/P	0	5	64
ė					34	0	14	17
92					11	0	13	21
33					10 Road.	0	23	95 95
23 23					41	I	5 8	10 33
Naz .	•	•	•	•	• 513	0	27 0	77 62
33 33					52 3 5 22	0	12	76
33					550	Õ	14	78
37					552	0	o	97
33					549/2	0	7	95
33					55I	0	22	76
33					549/1 5 61	0	11 0	97 2
33 23					56 2	ŏ		81
33					563	Ö	3 3 7	81
,,,					580/4	0	7	86
22					580/3	0	5 0	6
33					580/2	0	o II	78 60
55					579/B 579/A	0	3	69 9
33 77					581	ő	10	ó
,,,					582/6	ō		67
33					582/5	0	5 3 1	114
>>					582/4	0	I	68
33					582/3 582/2	0	3 5 6	65 17
» »					582/I	0	8	11
22					583	ŏ	3 18	104
>>					594 602	0	18	46
33					602	0	10	58
23					615/2	0	14	56
53					630 628	0	13	95 26
33 33					629	0	3 12	00
))))					631	ŏ	· 2	9 8 36
29					6<1	0	2	36
>>					650/3	0	15	13
39					650/2	0	<u>a</u>	30
>>					634 645/P	٥	9	23
30					645/P	0	9 5 3	17 8
3)))					645/P	ŏ	2	58
					,			

18,	13	24,	

				Sq. Yds.
Naz-contd.	. 645/7	0	7	63
33	646	0	1	73 6
33	686/3 685/P	о О	5 6	6
22	686/4	0	0	13 28
	685/5	ŏ	5	8
))	684/P	O.	12	104
3)	684/P	0	8	105
))	684/P	O	2	91
33	696/P	0	9	111
39	696/P 696/P	0 0	0 11	68
3)	696/P	ö	9	35 18
33	696/P	ō	ó	56
35 33	698	0	6	52
33	699	0	18	62
33	5	0	12	104
Kamod	. 181	1	6	10
"	182	0	4	84
3)	179 Road	0	7	94
33	Koad	0	I	89
3)	177 166	0	12 10	1 19 78
,,	165	ő	30	78 49
5) 5)	156	0	20	22
33	158	I	32	17
33	155	0	I	7
33	175	0	14	103
"	2 14/A 2 14/B	0	IO IO	22 22
5 5	Road	ó	1	11
55	22 I	Õ	14	66
))))	220	0	6	80
33	224	0	18	46
33	226	0	5	66
"	227	0	10	112 8
22	228 236	o 0	25 6	36
2)	235	0	16	117
31 33	233	ō	28	118
33	234	0	0	22
Uda	- 670	0	31	
"	Road	0	7	40 62
5,	57 55	0	9	10
9) 3)	55 58	Ī	7 17	36
31	50 60	0	2 1	84
))	60	0	23	8
")	4 7	0	2	
19	62	0	20 18	69
,	6 1 128 paiki	ö	9	39
**	A 128 paiki	o	24	20
	B. 127	o	6	28
>>	127	ŏ	8	2
23 23	12 I	ŏ	Io	3; 5
33	120	0	IO	5
23	119	0	18	9
,,	116	0	19	3
33	Road	0	í	3
93	143 146	0	11 4	5 9
33 33	144	0	19	7

Village	Survey No.	Acre	Guntha	Sq. Yds.
Oda—contd	Road	0	0	117
33	155	0	0	117
37	162	0	21	83
"	163	0	32	I
))	179	0	24	36
>)	180	0	24	36 36
17	175	0	À	105
**	176	٥	18	78
1)	184	0	4	33

[No. 31/41/64-ONG(iv).]

Taluka-Petlad

8.0. 569.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 4132, dated the 23rd November 1964 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipelines;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And, whereas, the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands, shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil and Natural Gas Commission free from all encumbrances.

Schedule District—Kaira

State-Guirat

Village	Survey No.	Acre	Guntha	Sq. Yds.
Sanjaya	Road	0	0	78
33	78/3	0	6	52
,,	77/2	0	3	57
11	77/3	0	11	77
,,	77/1	0	8	4
11	74/2	0	6	21
,,	74/1	0	2	77
**	75	0	7	9
"	23	0	7	10
39	22	0	o 8	97
))	24	0		82
,,	Road	0	0	7 8
	25	0	17	27
33	26/2	0	I2	ro
**	55	0	О	36
>>	53	0	4	60
,,	52	0	15	75
>>	30	0	I	79
3)	51	0	I	35
,,	31	0	11	92
2)	32	0	0	92 83 7 8
2)	33/2	0	9	78

Village	Survey No.	Acre	Guntha.	Sq. Yds.
Sanjaya—contd.	33/1		7	47
2)	Road	0	I	59
33	34/1	0	0	44
39	451	0	13	45 86
33	4 44 ⁺ 445	0	16	86
	I I+2			
>>	442	0	4	60
33	439/4	0	4 18	39
32	439/3	0	2	30
93	439/I	0	0	44
23	438	0	13	60
37	436	0	6	52
37	387	0	0	97
11	388/2/B	0	7 6	24
31	388/2/A	0		13
,,	389	0	2	92
33	388/1	О	0	44
1)	39C/I	0	9 6	93
,,	390/2	0		52
33	Road	0	2	7
,,	392/2	0	7	71
"	392/1	0	L	
33	394/2	٥	4	92
"	397/5	0	10	58
33	397/2	0	0	56
13	3 97/ I	0		104
33	398	0		
33	Road	ø	2	69
33	37 I/I	o		18

[No. 31/41/64-ONG(iv).]

New Delhi, the 2nd February 1965

- S.O. 570.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum from drill sites to collecting stations within the Ankleshwar Oil Field in Gujarat State, pipelines should be laid by the Oil and Natural Gas Commission and that for the purpose of laying such pipelines it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the schedule annexed hereto.
- 2. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the Right of User therein.
- 3. Any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of pipeline under the land to the competent authority, Gujarat Pipeline Project, L. M. P. Building, 4th Floor, Sayaji Ganj, Baroda. Every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE District—Broach

State-Guiarat

011,000		— (Toward II. Wronither		
Village			Survey No.	Acre	Guntha	
Umarwada	•	·	235	0	41	

Taluka-Arkleshwar

New Delhi, the 5th February 1965

S.O. 571.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 3725 dated the 8th October, 1964 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipelines;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And, whereas, the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands, shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of the publication of this declaration in the Indian Oil Corporation Ltd., free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE.

State-Bihar		District	Thana-	Thana—Manor		
Village with thana No.	Survey No (Plot No.)	Extent in acre	Village with than No.	Survey No. (Plot No.)	Extent in acre	
Ibrahimpur No. 61 Th.— Maner	190 191	0·37 0·18	Ibrahimpur No. 61	215 216	0-02	
	192	0.005	1	229	0.17	
	185	0.065	1	230	0.09	
	184	0.24	1	2 31	0.07	
	183	0.012	•	232	o·08 5	
	182	0.005	1	234	0.04	
	181	0.04	}	237	0.07	
	176	0.06		238	0.08	
	175	0.025	j	242	0.08	
	170	0.05		2 43	0.125	
	171	0.045		246	0.025	
	172	0.025		245	0.195	
	204	0.09	}	244	0.02	
	205 206	0·07 0·07		439 438	0·285 0·04	
	113	0.065		254	0.15	
	112	0.065		4 34	0.13	
	110	0 00	Bisarpur No. 127	1305	0.14	
	109	0 07	Th. Bikaram	1267	0.12	
	108	0.06	2	1266	0.035	
	107	0.06	}	1265	0.06	
	106	0 045		1264	0.02	
	105	0.18	•	1263	0.14	
	104	0.095	1	1260	0.19	
	98`	0.11		1259	0.09	
	97	0.07		1258	0.05	
	96	0.05		1304	0.01	
				1257	0.005	
	94	0 045		1268	0.01	
	91	0.12		1262	0.00	
	9 2	0.14	0 11	•	_	
	84	0.05	Sadisopur No. 62	358	0.06	
	88	0.08	Th. Maner	356	0.12	
	87	0.035		355	0.13	
	86	o.c3	I	354	0.05	

	, Е		13, ,	. 24, .	
Village with thana No.	Survey No. (Plot No.)	Extent in acre	Village with thana No.	Survey No. (Plot No.)	Extent in acre
Sadisopur No. 62	352	0.01	Ghanshampur Th.—	391	0.07
Th.—Maner—contd.	350	0.19	Bikaram No. 136—contd	395	0.15
	351 348	0·09 0·175		396 400	0.02 0.02
	42 I	0.05		401	0 114
	422	0.01		404	0.002
	423 436	0·22 0·155		405 414	0.04 0.08
	430	0.01		415	0.022
	431	0.035		412	0.065
	432 433	0·06 0·14		319 522	0·015
	434	0.145			_
	445	0.03	Babupur Th.—Bikaram	746	0.069
	446 447	0.065	No. 137	553	0.003
	448	0.07	ļ	684	0.05
	460 461	0-04 0-34		668 669	0·04 0·14
	466	0.03		667	o·or
	970	0.09		670	0.19
	968 966	0.11		665 664	o∙o9 o∙o8
	967	0.002		663	0.02
	965	0.06		660	0.065
	963 961	0·135		6 59 658	0·13 0·05
	959	0.145		657	0.005
	958	0·155		649	0·045 0·29
	957 956	0.14		573 572	0.125
	955	0.14		571	0.092
	954 360	0·10 0·002		570 568	0·11 0·11
	357	0.002		566	0.03
	420	0.002		565	0.22
	424 462	0.002 0.002		564 563	0134 0103 5
	960	0.002			
	941	0.001	Gənawan Th.—Bikaram No. 135	280 281	0.07
			140. 133	279	0 005 0 14
Ghanshampur Th.→	327	0.16		2 78	0 04
Bikaram No. 136	328 329	0·22 0·03		99 100	0 17 0·26
	445	0.03		101	0.04
	444	0.14		103	0.25
	< 35 442	0·05 0·21		151 150	o o6
	44 I	0.07		149	0.05
	440 368	0·17 0·02		147 143	0 12 0 095
	369	0.07	Ì	142	0 12
	370	0.06		118	0.285
	371 365	0·03 0·14		122 121	0 18 0.08
	375	0.02		251	0.022
	376 362	0·12 0·03		1934	0 21
	377	0.18		1935 1936	0 16 0 21
	288	0.01		1938	0.012
	389	0.12		1937	0.04
				1939	0.135

644 GA	OF .	A: .	. ARY 18,	. 24,	[PART II-
Village with thans No.	Survey No. (Plot No.)	Extent in acre	Village with thana No.	Survey No. (Plot No.)	Extent in acre
Gonawan Th.— Bikaram	1944	0.125	Raunian Th.—Bikaram	41	0.003
No. 135—cont.1.	2029 2028	o·135	No. 140-contd.	40 51	0°07 0°02
	2027 2026 2025	0·28 0·08	Makhdumpur No. 75 Th.—Maner	401 400	0-21 0-02
	2024 2061	0·15 0·36		395 346	0 34 0 00 2
Ghanshampur Th.—		0.00		394 350	0.012
Bikaram No. 136	55 53	0.09		351 391	0.095
	56 54	0.02 0.025		389 390	0.003
	58 57	o.o∂ o.o8		382 388	0.00
	61 62	0·01		387 386	0 23 0:015
	63 88	0.09 0.18		385 384	0·06
	89 87	0.092 0.092		376 375	0.12
	9 0	o.o <u>r</u>	ĺ	373	0.17
	92 94	0.102		374 377	0.04
	95 96	0·08		372 745	0.002
	323 322	0 07 0 015		702 703	0.082 0.08
	321 318	0·16 0·02		704 740	0·07 0·005
	320 325	0.01		825 739	0·08 0·25
	326	0.001		826 850	0.23
Babupur Th.— Bikaram	580	0.02		827 828	0.02 0.06
No. 137	581	0.02		829	0.10
70 / FRI 70'S #	585	0.01		830 831	0·07 0·03
Raunian Th.—Bikaram No. 140	81	0.005		838 832	0.012
	79 78	0°45 0°08		837 833	0·045 0·02
	2. 77	0.16 0.08		834 835	0.025 0.15
	76 2201	0.02		1151 1147	0 025 0 025
	6	0·75 0·182		1148 1150	0+002 0+02
	5 4	0.19		1148	0.12
	10 9_	0·045 0·30		1149 1120	0.02
	11 12	0.14		1127	0.065
	13 14	0.06 0.18		1154 1138	0.03 0.01
	15 17	0·035		1128 1129	0°10 0 04
	16 19	0.112		1126 1130	0.002
	20	0 015		1274	0 04 0·09
	47 48	0 16		1281 1282	0.09
	49	0.145		1202	0.53

217

0.045

836

0.001

646 THE GAZETTE OF INDIA: FEBRUARY 13, 1965/MAGHA 24, 1886 [PART II-

VIlage with thana No.	Survey No. (Plot No.)	Extent in acre	Village with thana No.	Survey No. (Plot No.)	Extent in acre
Makhdumpur No. 75	1283	0.002		1229	0.06
20ntd.	1291	0.002	}	1230	○·○ ○5
	1294	0.003		1224	0.09
	1381	0.001	}	1220	0.17
	1152	0.002	ļ	1219	0.06
	1427	0.002	l l	1252	0.04
Karai Th. Bikaram No.	_		1	1268	0.02
141	16	0.22	Í	1270	0.26
	15	0.312		1271	0.31
	14	0.132		1162	0.025
	13	0.005		1286	0.06
	13 36 37 38	0.067	j	720	0.045
	37	0.14]	930	0.01
		0.06	i	1006	0.01
	42	0.02		1221	0.002
	1235	o·165		1163	0.032~
	1234	0.13	(1273	0.003
	1233	0.005		1236	0.005
	1228	0.30	ł	1014	0.002

[No. 31/47/63-ONG.2/PAT.]

S.O. 572.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 3980 dated the 9th November, 1964 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipelines;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And, whereas, the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands, shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of the publication of this declaration in the Indian Oil Corporation Ltd., free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE.

State—Bihar		Distric	t-Santhal Pargana	Thana—Deoghar.		
Village with thana No.	Survey No.	Extent in acre	/illage with thana No.	Survey No.	Extent in acre	
Chotta-Manikpur No. 116	354 353	0·05 0·05		167 165	0·30 0·58	
Badladih No. 117	156	0.34	3adladih No. 117—sent	1. 21 9 218 160 164	0·11 0·07 0·31 0·06	
200111	159A 159B	o∙ŏ6 o∙56	Sirsia No. 320	583	0.02	

- S.O. 573.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum between Barauni Refinery in Bihar State and Kanpur in Uttar Pradesh State pipelines should be laid by the Indian Oil Corporation Limited and that for the purpose of laying such pipelines, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the schedule annexed hereto.
- 2. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.
- 3. Any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipelines under the land to the Competent Authority at 7/166, Swarupnagar, Kanpur. Every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE

State—Uttar Pradesh		Ι	Dist	t.—	Pate	ehpur	Tahsil-	-Fatehpur			
Village	Survey No.		xte		-	Village		Survey No.		zte	
	 	ъ.	D,	В.	- -	<u></u>			В.	. В	. В.
A. Alawal Pur	185	o	2	10	ł			453	0	4	. 0
	186	0		10					o		15
	189	0	_		1			454 483	ō	9	
	191	0	6	10				484B	0	- ś	10
	192	0	,		1			504	0	4	
	193	0						509	0	2	0
	197	0	_		•			511	0	I	0
	198	0		0				512	0	7	0
	231	0			1	TZ:-					
	239	0			١.	Korain	•	1317	О	0	_
	244 281	0	_					1325	0	I	0
	281 281	0			17	Kandhi					
	293	o		-	/-	randii	•	47	0		10
	294 294	ő						52	0	0	
	299	ō			ĺ			53 66	0	O	_
	300	ō						7 5	0	4	_
	2							477		10	
2. Chakhedi	466	0	5	15				491	ō	.0	5
	•		·	-	1			77-	-	•	,
3. Madho Pur	2	0	I		۱8.	Khambha Pur	•	214	0	9	10
	3	0	2					215	0	6	
	4	0		-	ł			224	0	13	
	40	0	0					225	0	2	5
		0	5					234	0	3	5
	44 46	Ó	2		ļ			235	О		IO
		0	5 8	0	1			236	0	4	10
	47 62	0		IO	۵	Jhaupur .		181	_		
	105	ŏ		10	١,٠	Jimujiui ,	•	181	0	I	0
	106	ō	_	10	1			102	0	4	5
	107	ō	7		10.	Bhikari Pur	_	33	0	2	-
	108	0	ź		!		•	33	o	3	5 10
					;			36		13	0
]			40		II	ŏ
4. Chak Sada	79	0	5	15				67	ō		
	84	0		10	!	_		- /	-	_	
	91	0		10	II.	Ram Pur Khura	na	742	0	I	15
	97	0		10				800	0	I	
	99	O	11	5				803	o	2	5
5. Azamabad Bhaisahi .		. .			1			805	0	I	10
D. WANTING DIRECT DIRECT .	376	٠,	9	10	1			806	0	I	10
	449	0	I	0 10	1			881	0	4	0
	452	U	1	10				882	0	0	5

648 THE GAZETTE	OF IND) : AI	UARY 13,	24,	Įr,
Village	Survey No.	Extent B. B. B.	Village	Survey No.	Extent B.B.B.
	904 906 907 912 915 986	0 5 0 0 2 0 0 1 10 0 6 0 0 0 10		1457 1465 1556 1558 1580	0 7 15 0 7 5 0 4 15 0 1 0 0 0 10
12. Ranwa Panthuwa	987 990 68	0 5 0 0 12 10 1 3 10	15. Jamal Pur	78 81 84 87 108/2 108/3	0 17 0 0 1 0 0 0 2 0 15 0 0 7 10 0 3 0 0 0 10
12. Railwa I minasawa	89 307 321 315 325 326	0 2 0 0 14 15 0 19 0 0 10 0 0 6 0 0 1 15 0 11 15		126 134 235 242 263 245 246	0 0 10 0 4 0 0 2 0 0 14 0 0 7 15 0 0 5 0 1 10
	327 328 329 332	0 4 5 0 10 5 0 2 15	16. Haswa	1701 1809	0 1 0
			17. Veera Buddhan Pur	72 73 74	0 5 15 0 3 0 0 1 15
13. Attaha	165 169 172 173 174 178 182 185	0 15 10 0 5 0 0 6 0 0 7 10 0 2 0 0 2 10 0 14 10 0 12 10 1 4 0	18. Sangawan	985 1001 1002 1016 1032 1030 1033	0 5 10 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 3 0 0 0 5 0 0 15 0 1 0
	189 191 197 254 255	0 13 0 0 7 5 0 11 5 0 0 10 0 10 0	19. Bahram Pur 20. Chak Teksari.	623 624 625 631	0 0 5 0 7 0 0 6 0 0 1 0
	259	·	21. Teksari Khurd .	21 23/1	0 7 15 0 1 5 0 2 0
14. Ekari	1250 1251 1265 1266 1272 1274 1290	0 8 0 0 1 10 0 4 10 0 0 5 0 15 0 0 2 15 0 1 0 0 7 10	22. Musaid Pur	177 326 327 328 332 400 409	0 1 15 0 1 10 0 1 5 0 1 5 0 1 10 0 6 10 0 6 10
	1302 1309 1311 1343 1413 1420 1425 1427 1428	0 7 10 0 3 0	23. Bhoj Pur	414 512 546 558 560 565 567 575 576	0 I 0 0 2 I0 0 I 0 0 4 0 0 6 0 0 I I0 0 I 0 0 0 I0

(11)	
	(ii)]

Village	Survey No.	Е В.	xte B.		Village	Survey No.	В.	xte B.	
23. Bhojpur—contd.	577 583 585 586 601 609	0 0 0 0 0	3 5 2 5 1 1		24. Teksari Bujurg—	contd. 994 1034 1078 1080 1081 1085	0 0 0 0	3	0 10 0 10
24. Teksari Bujurg	931 975	0	1 4	10	25. Emadpur	369	0	0	15

[No. 31/50/63-ONG.]

CORRIGENDA

New Delhi, the 3rd February, 1965

- S.O. 574.—In the Schedule to the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 1604 dated the 30th April, 1964, published in the Gazette of India Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii) dated the 9th May, 1964:
 - I. At page 1892 and at Village Kisnad
 - (i) Omit S. Nos. 22 Paiki and 23 Paiki
 - (ii) For S. No. 225 A. G. Sq. Yds."

 0-21 10

 Read S. No. 225 0 -14 -113"

and S. No. 225/6/2 A. G. Sq. Yds."

0 6 18

(iii) For A. G. Sq. Yds of S. No. 232/2

0 23 16
read 0 16 19"

[No. 31/38/63-ONG.]

- S.O. 575.—In the Schedule to the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 991 dated the 11th March, 1964 published in the Gazette of India Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii) dated the 21st March, 1964.
 - At page 1230 and at Village Undera
 - (i) For S. No. "319 A. G. Sq. Yds."

 0 25 84

 Read S. No. "319/1 A. G. Sq. Yds."

0 16 90

 At page 1231 and at Village Bhaili Omit S. No. 2451.

[No. 31/38/63-ONG.]

- **S.O.** 576.—In the Schedule to the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 4093 dated the 28th November, 1964 published in the Gazette of India Part II. Section 3, Sub-section (ii) dated the 28th November, 1964:
 - At Page No. 4551 and at Village Anand

For S. No. 1644 (Kheluadi) Read S. No. 1644 (Khetiwadi)"

[No. 31/41/64-ONG.]

ERRATA

New Delhi, the 3rd February 1965

S.O. 577.—In the Schedule to the notification of Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals, S.O. No. 503 dated 31st January, 1964 published in the Gazette of India Part II, Section 3 sub-section (ii) dated the 8th February, 1964:

I. At Page 654

- A. G. Sq. Yds (i) For S. No. "260 " of Village Varedia 13 120
 - Read S. No. "260/1 0 22 37"
- (ii) Omit S. Nos. 90, 89 and 82 of Village Ghodi.
- (iii) For S. No. "182/2+3" of Village Kahan Read "S. No. 187/2+3".

II. At page 655

- (i) For "S. No. 62/2/2" of Village Kahan Read "S. No. 64/2/2".
- (ii) Omit S. No. 130 of Village Kargat,
- Sq. Yds G. of S. No. 163 of Village Kargat (iii) For 46 18 Read "0 67" 11
- 78" of S. No. 138 of Village Kargat, (iv) For "0 9 Read "0 60"

III. At page 656

- (i) For "S. No. 237" of Village Paguthan Read "237/22".
- (ii) For "S. No. 58" of Village Vadadla Read "48".

IV. At page 657

(i) Omit S. Nos. 316/1 paiki, 316/1 paiki, 326/4P, 326/4P, 326/2. 359/5/1. 5/2, 359/2+4, 359/1 of Village Sitpon.

G. Sq. Yds. " of S. No. 373/1 of Village Sitpon (ii) For 0 - 25 - 117Read "0-3-26".

[No. 31/38/63-ONG.]

S.O. 578.—In the Schedule to the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 623 dated 12th February, 1964 published in the Gazette of India Part II Section 3 sub-section (ii) dated the 22nd February, 1964:

I. At Page 839

A. G. Sq. Yds.

- " of S. No. 358/2 of Village Makan (i) For 14 40 Read 91 0 0 For 76 O " of S. No. 359/3 of Village Makan (ii) Read o I 48 (iii) For o 8 82 " of S. No. 361 of Village Makan 8 Read ۵ 59 For " of S. No. 287/4 of Village Makan (iv) 0 14 Read 104 0 3 Omit S. No. 363/3 and 407 of Village Makan (v) G. Sq. Yds. " of S. No. 404 of Village Makan (vi) For 8 o 97 Read o 1 35 " of S. No. 403/1 of Village Makan (vii) For 6 36 0 11
- Read 5
- " of S. No. 405 of Village Makan (viil) For 76 0 22 0 15 Read52
- " of S. No. 387 of Village Makan For (ix) 3 Read 0 18 73

- (x) For " 0 20 53 " of S. No. 1157 of Village Miyagam Read " 0 5 29 "
- (ix) For " 0 32 87 " of S. No. 1159 of Village Miyagam Read " 0 30 26 "
- (xii) For "S. No. 1232" of Village Miyagam read "S. No. 1232/2".

II. At page 840

A. G. Sq. Yds.

- (i) For "S. No. 151 o 33 o" of Village Miyagam Read "S. No. 151 o 27 93"
- (ii) For "S. No. 179 o 33 118" of Village Miyagam
 Read " o 11 37".

 A. G. Sq. Yds.
- (iii) For " 1 11 24" of S. No. 166/1 of Village Miyagam
 Read " 0 19 89".
- (iv) Omit 23 of Village Dhamanja.

A. G. Sq. Yds,

(v) For S. No. 21/2 o 2 34 of Village Dhamanja Reud S. No. 21/2/A o 1 16 and S. No. 21/2/B o o 95

[No. 31/38/64-ONG.]

P. P. GUPTA, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS

(Railway Board)

New Delhi, the 3th February 1965

8.0. 579.—In pursuance of clause (c) of section 2 of the Terminal Tax on Railway Passengers Act, 1956 (69 of 1956), the Central Government hereby directs that the following further amendment shall be made in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Railways (Railway Board) No. F(X)II-57/TX-19/12-1, dated the 18th July, 1961, namely:—

In the Schedule to the said notification, the following shall be omitted, namely:—

"10. Jhusi."

2. This notification shall come into force on the 1st March, 1965.

[No. F(X)II-57/TX-19/12-I.]

P. C. MATHEW Secy.

रेल मंत्रालय

(रेलवे बोर्ड)

नई दिल्ली, 8 फरवरी, 1965

एस० भो० 580— रेल यात्री सीमा का श्रिधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 69) की धारा 2 के उपखंड (ग) के श्रन्सरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार निदेश करती है कि भारत सरकार के रेलकें मंत्रालय (रेलवे बोर्ड) की 18 जुलाई, 1961 की श्रधिसूचना सं० एफ० (एक्स) II-57/टी एक्स-19/12-1 में निम्नलिखित संशोधन और किया जायेगा :---

उपर्युक्त श्रधिसूचना की श्रनुसूची में से निम्नलिखित को हटा दिया जायेगा :--"10 झूसी"

यह ऋधिस्चना 1 मार्च, 1965 में लागू होगी।

[सं॰ एक (एक्स) II-57/टी एक्स 19/12-

पी० सी० मैथ्यू, मिचव, रेलवे बोर्ड ।

MINISTRY OF FOOD & AGRICULTURE

(Department of Food)

New Delhi, the 2nd February 1965

S.O. 581.—In pursuance of Sub-rule (2) of rule 11, clause (b) of sub-rule (2) of rule 14 and sub-rule (1) of rule 23 of the Central Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1957, the President hereby makes the following further amendments in the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Department of Food) No. G.S.R. 68 dated the 21st February, 1958, namely:—

In the said notification-

(1) in Part I of the Schedule, for the heading 'Office of the Regional Director (Food), Bombay/Calcutta/Madras/New Delhi including outstation units thereunder' and the entries thereunder in columns I to 5, the following heading and entries shall respectively be substituted, namely—

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Office of the Regional Director (Food), Bombay/Calcutta/Madras/New Delhi including Units thereunder.				
				

All posts (i) Headquarters offices in- cluding Dock and Port Operations Office.	Regional Director (Food)	Regional Director (Food)	All	Director General of Food.
		Deputy Director	(i) to (iii)	Regional Director (Food)
(il) Other Units (Sub-Regional and Zonal Offices/Depots).	Regional Director (Food)	Regional Director (Food) Deputy Director	All (i) to (iii)	Director General of Food Regional Director (Food)

⁽²⁾ in Part II of the said Schedule,

⁽i) for the heading 'Office of the Regional Director (Food), Calcutta/ Madras/New Delhi, including out-station units thereunder' and the

entries thereunder in entries shall respecti				heading and
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Office of the Regional Director (Food), Bombay/Calcutta/Madras/New Delhi including Units thereunder			<u> </u>	
All posts (i) Headquarters Offices including Dock and Port Operations Office.		Asstt. Director.	All	Regional Director (Food)
(ii) Cither Units (Sub-Regional and Zonal Offices/Depots)	Asstt. Director.	Asstt. Director	Aii	Regional Director (Food).

(ii) the heading 'Office of the Regional Director (Food) Bombay' and the entries thereunder in columns 1 to 5 shall be omitted.

[No. 7(23)/64/AVU.]

R. RAMASWAMY, Dy. Secy.

(Department of Food)

New Delhi, the 5th February 1965

- **5.0.** 582.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Essential Commodities Act, 1955 (10 of 1955), the Central Government hereby makes the following order further to amend the Fruit Products Order, 1955, namely:—
 - 1. This Order may be called the Fruit Products (Amendment) Order, 1965.
 - In the Fruit Products Order, 1955—
 - (i) in clause 4, at the end of sub-clause (1) the following words shall be inserted, namely:—
 - "nor shall he make use of the number of a licence issued under this Order on labels of non-fruit products";
 - (ii) for sub-clause (2a) of clause 5, the following sub-clause shall be inserted, namely:—
 - "A manufacturer using different premises for the manufacture of fruit and vegetable products shall take out a separate licence in respect of each of such premises. A common licence number prefixed with the abbreviation of the name of the place of location of the head office of the business and suffixed with a serial number shall be issued to him in respect of every such premises and this shall be exhibited on the label affixed to the container of such products.
 - (iii) in the Second Schedule, in Part XX, after paragraph 2, the following paragraph shall be inserted, namely:—
 - "3. The manufacturers of fruit products licensed under this Order shall, however, be allowed to export the products, if necessary, without indicating the name of the place of manufacture provided that the declaration "Made in India" or 'Produce of India' along with the licence number issued to them under this Order is indicated properly on the labels affixed to the container of such fruit product or vegetable product".

[No. 21(34)/64-Tech. I.]

K. S. MURTHY, Dy. Secy.

(Department of Agriculture)

New Delhi, the 5th February 1965

- S.O. 583.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to article 309 of the Constitution, the President hereby makes the following rules regulating the method of recruitment to the Class II (non-gazetted) (non-Ministerial) posts of Senior Research Assistant and Technical Assistant in the Central Potato Research Institute, Simla, namely:—
- 1. Short Title.—These rules may be called the Central Potato Research Institute, Simla, Class II (non-gazetted) (Non-Ministerial) Posts Recruitment Rules, 1965.
- 2. Application.—These rules shall apply to the Class II (non-gazetted) (non-Ministerial) posts in the Central Potato Research Institute, Simia. specified in column 1 of the Schedule annexed to these rules.
- 3. Number, Classification and Scale of Pay.—The number of the said posts, the classification thereof and the scales of pay attached thereto, shall be as specified in columns 2 to 4 of the said Schedule.
- 4. Method of Recruitment, Age limit and other Qualifications.—The method of recruitment to the said posts, age limit, qualifications, and other matters connected therewith shall be as specified in columns 5 to 13 of the Schedule aforesaid.

Provided that the upper age limit prescribed for direct recruitment may be relaxed in the case of:—

- (a) Government servants; and
- (b) Candidates belonging to the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes and other Special categories of persons in accordance with the general orders issued by the Government of India from time to time.
- 5. Disqualification.—(1) No male candidate who has more than one wife living or who, having a spouse living, marries in any case in which such marriage is void by reason of its taking place during the life-time of such spouse, shall be eligible for appointment to any of the said posts; and
- (2) No female candidate whose marriage is void by reason of the husband having a wife living at the time of such marriage or who has married a person who has a wife living at the time of such marriage, shall be eligible for appointment to any of the said posts.

Provided that the Central Government may, if satisfied that there are special grounds for so ordering, exempt any person from the operation of this rule:

SCHEDULE

Recruitment Rules for the posts of Senior Research Assistants and Technical Assistant at Central Potato Research Institute, Simla.

Name of post	No. of posts	Classifica- tion	Scale of pay	Whether selection post or non- selection post		Educational and other qualifications required for direct recruits	Whether age and educational qualifications prescribed for the direct recruits will apply in the case of promotess		putation/ transfer & percent-	ment by promotion/deputation/transfer grades from which promotion/deputation/transfer to be	exists what is its com-	Circumstances in which U. P'S.C. is to be consulted in making recruitment
ī	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Senior Resear Assistant (Botany/ Genetics/ Physiology/ Pathology/ Agronomy/ Entomology etc.	rch II	G.C.S. Class II (Non- Gazetted) (Non-Min- isterial)	Rs.325- 15-475- EP-20- 575.	N.A.	30 years and below (Relaxable for Government servants).	Essential: M.Sc. degree in Botany or Agriculture from a recognised University with specialisation in the subject concerned or Associateship of I.A.R.I. with special reference to the subject concerned or equivalent. (Onalifications relaxable at Commission's discretion in case of candidates otherwise well qualified.	-	2 years	Direct recruit- ment	N,A.	N.A.	As required under the rules.

I	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
						Desirable: (i) Knowledge of points every and problems of its culture. (ii) Research experience in the relevant subject with special reference to potatoes.	1					
echnical As- istant.	1	G.C.S. Class II (Non-Gaz- etted) (Non-Mi- nisterial)	Rs. 325- 15-475- EB-20- 575	N.A.	30 years and below (Relaxable to Govern- ment ser- vants).	Essential: (i) Degree of a recognised University, preferably with science subject. (ii) About 2 years experience in Journalism on a newspaper or news agency and/or publicity/public relation work under Government or any organisation of standing. (Qualifications relaxable at Commission's discretion in case of candidates otherwise well qualified). Desirable: 1. Diploma in Journalism.	N.A.	2 years	Direct recruit- ment.	N.A.	N.A.	As required under the rules.

[No. 17-22/64-Instt.II.]
R. M. L. VAISH, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 8th February 1965

S.O. 584.—The following draft of certain rules to amend the Cotton Grading and Marking Rules, 1962, which the Central Government proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Agricultural produce (Grading and Marking) Act, 1937 (1 of 1937) is published as required by the said section, for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby and notice is hereby given that the draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 27th February, 1965.

Any objection or suggestion which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft before the aforesaid date, will be considered by the Central Government.

Draft Rules

- 1. These rules may be called the Cotton Grading and Marking (Amendments) Rules, 1965.
- 2. In the Cotton Grading and Marking Rules, 1962. in Schedule I, against Item 1, after the entries in column 2, the following entries shall be inserted, namely:—
 - "11. AK-235
 - 12. AK-277"

[No. F. 15-1/65-AM.]

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 8th February 1965

S.O. 585.—In the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Department of Agriculture) No. S.O. 3652, dated the 7th October, 1964, published at pages 4095 to 4096 of the Gazette of India, Part II, section 3(ii) dated the 17th October, 1964, at page 4096—

1. for "

Special Characteristics

Damaged and disco-

Maximum limits percentages by weight.

Pods Moisture Loose Foreign Broken

seeds matter Childes."

loured pods. stalk

read "

Special Characteristics

Maximum limits

percentages by weight.

Damaged and discoloured pods

Pods without stalk

without

Moisture Loose Foreign Broken Seeds matter Chillies."

2. for "Dull shade upto; of the pods permissible.", read

"Dull shade upto 50% of the pods permissible.".

[No. F. 15-2/65-A,M.]

SANTOKH SINGH, Under Secy.

DEPARTMENT OF ATOMIC ENERGY

Bombay, the 3rd February 1965

S.O. 586.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (2) of rule 11, clause (b) of sub-rule (2) of rule 14 and sub-rule (1) of rule 23, of the Central Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal Rules), 1957, the President

hereby makes the following further amendments in the notification of the Government of India in the Department of Atomic Energy, No. S.R.O. 637 dated the 28th February, 1957, namely:—

In the Schedule to the said notification :-

- In Part III, "General Central Service, Class IV" against entry (vi) in column 1,
 - (i) in column 2, for the words "Administrative Officer, Jaduguda Mines" the words "Administrative and Accounts Officer, Jaduguda Mines" shall be substituted;
 - (ii) in column 3, for the words "Administrative Officer, Jaduguda Mines", the words "Administrative and Accounts Officer, Jaduguda, Mines" shall be substituted.

[No. 28/6/64-Adm.]

P. MURALIDHARAN, Dy. Secy.